

NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

Fiscal Year 2008

PERFORMANCE EVALUATION REPORT

OF

SANDIA CORPORATION

For the Management and Operation of

SANDIA NATIONAL LABORATORIES Contract No. DE-AC04-94-AL85000

December 2, 2008

	•		
•			

NNSA FY2007 PERFORMANCE EVALUATION REPORT (PER) OF SANDIA NATIONAL LABORATORIES TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	2
SCORING OF FY2008 PERFORMANCE	
PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVE 1 - DEFENSE PROGRAMS	15
PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVE 2 - DEFENSE PROGRAMS	18
PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVE 3 - DEFENSE PROGRAMS	22
PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE 1 - Stretch Goals related to Nuclear Weapons Work:	30
PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE 2 - WEARONS QUALITY ASSURANCE	34
PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVE 4 - Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation (NA-20)	40
PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVE 5 - SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY	50
PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVE 6 - Integrated Safety Management System and Emer	rgency
Management	67
PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE 3 – Removal of Materials from SNL	91
PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVE 7- Facilities and Project Management	
PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVE 8 – Safeguards and Security	
PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVE 9 – Business System Performance	
PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVE 10 – Contractor Assurance System (CAS)	
PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE 4 – Process Efficiency Transformation	
PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE 5 - NA-10 Multi-Site	
AWARD TERM INCENTIVE 1 – Parent Contributions and Sandia Reachback	
AWARD TERM INCENTIVE 2 – Litigation Management	
AWARD TERM INCENTIVE 3 – Systems Integration Technical Support	
AWARD TERM INCENTIVE 4 - Complex Transformation Implementation Activities	. 153
ACRONYM LIST	. 155

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This Performance Evaluation Report (PER) presents the U.S. Department of Energy/National Nuclear Security Administration's (DOE/NNSA) evaluation of Sandia Corporation's (Sandia) performance in managing and operating the Sandia National Laboratories (SNL) for Fiscal Year (FY) 2008 under Contract Number DE-AC04-94AL85000.

The report details NNSA's evaluation of Sandia's performance for meeting objectives, measures and targets within each of the three performance groups: Performance Objectives (POs), Performance Incentives (PIs) and Award Term Incentives (ATIs). Consistent with the Performance Evaluation Plan (PEP) issued pursuant to the contract, presented below are highlights of Sandia's accomplishments and weaknesses during this fiscal year followed by Exhibit 1, *Scoring of FY 2008 Performance*, which delineates the adjectival ratings for each PO, PI and ATI.

Sandia's overall performance and their Mission related performance is rated as Outstanding. Sandia continues to demonstrate exceptional leadership across the Nuclear Weapons Complex (NWC) and provide high quality scientific and engineering support of the United States' national security interests. Sandia successfully removed all remaining security Category I and II Special Nuclear Material in February 2008, thereby completing the objective well ahead of the NNSA Getting the Job Done in FY 2008 schedule. Weapons quality remains a concern and the impact has been recognized in several areas. Sandia continues to provide outstanding science, technology and engineering solutions for a broad spectrum of national security challenges. coupled with maintaining essential technical competencies for DOE, NNSA, other government agencies and multiple constituencies at the federal, state, and local levels. Sandia is continuously striving to be at the forefront of science, technology and engineering in order to be prepared to respond to emerging threats. Sandia is making sound strategic investments in science and technology programs that enable readiness and the ability to respond to technology surprise and other national security challenges. Sandia received numerous prestigious awards and honors for scientific, technology and engineering advances, including three R&D 100 Awards.

Sandia's performance in Operations is rated as Outstanding. Evidence of this level of improvement is their meeting of the Total Recordable Case Rate goal of 1.72, which is an improvement of 55 percent over the 2003 rate and 9 percent reduction from the previous year. The Days Away Case Rate of 0.68 is a reduction of 51 percent from 2003 to 2008 and a 20 percent reduction from the previous year. Sandia exhibited outstanding project management for the Microsystems and Engineering Sciences Applications (MESA) and Test Capabilities Revitalization (TCR) Phase II projects. Sandia demonstrated adherence to applicable physical security and counterintelligence requirements to include protection and control of special nuclear materials and classified matter and continued excellence in most business functions. Sandia continued improvement during implementation of their Integrated Laboratory Management System (ILMS)/Contractor Assurance System (CAS) that is currently the most robust CAS in the NNSA complex.

PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVES

MISSION PERFORMANCE

Defense Programs (DP)

Once again, Sandia was instrumental to NNSA's success in meeting the mission and providing the necessary leadership for the NWC. Sandia continued to demonstrate proficiency in addressing a wide variety of complex issues and in some cases exceeding expectations. Significant accomplishments during this fiscal year included:

 Sandia completed 138 of 140 NNSA Level II milestones for PO 1 (51 LII milestones), PO2 (15 LII milestones) and PO3 (74 LII milestones).

(b)(2)High
Sandia
provided expertise that threatened to delay the W76-1/Mk4A FPU in FY 2008. (b)(2)High
(b)(2)High
Sandia's accomplishments for the W76-1/Mk4A FPU

included completion of the final Inter-laboratory Peer Review and Response, the draft Final Weapon Development Report, release of the Major Assembly Release, and preparation for the DoD Design Review and Acceptance Group (DRAAG) scheduled for October 2008. Sandia successfully completed this work on time and within cost required to the W76-1/Mk4A.

- Sandia completed within costs all scheduled deliveries of all First Production Unit (FPU) components in the W76-1 Life Extension program (LEP) and B61 Alt 356/357/358/359
 Programs for the Canned Sub-Assembly (CSA) and Spin Rocket Motor (SRM). Sandia completed the Combined Environment (CE-3) shock and vibration test series for the B61 ALT 357 required to remove DRAAG concerns about the completeness of the qualification technical basis of the B61 ALT 357 and resolving ALT 356/358/359 Spin Rocket Motor (SRM) component production issues.
- Completing the MESA project achieved a Defense Programs "Getting the Job Done" goal for 2008.
- Sandia successfully removed all remaining security Category I and II Special Nuclear Material in February 2008, thereby completing the objective well ahead of the NNSA Getting the Job Done in FY 2008 schedule.
- The Neutron Generator (NG) Enterprise delivered on all commitments, completing all Level II Milestones and improving processes. Sandia's accomplishments included: reduction of neutron generator span time from 171 days to 114 days, 56 percent reduction in errors detected at Quality Assurance Inspection Procedures (QAIP), and 100 percent first time acceptance by NNSA. The NG Enterprise took on 3 new mission assignments while decreasing the costs by 6 percent. The NG Enterprise received the Shingo Prize, the first awarded to a public sector organization. The Shingo Prize is regarded as the premier operational excellence award recognition program for North America. As part of the Shingo Prize mission and model, the Prize highlights the value of using lean/world-class

- manufacturing practices to attain world-class operational status. NNSA also recognized the NG Enterprise during the HS-64 Assessment as "best in the complex" for implementation of "state of the art" work control and waste management processes.
- Sandia significantly exceeded the requirement (20,000 lbs) for the removal of explosive material including rocket motors.
- Sandia is to be commended, not only on the project completion, but for delivering parts
 during the MESA facility construction. Some key components for the active stockpile were
 fabricated in Microelectronics Development Laboratory (MDL) during its construction.
- Sandia successfully completed, on-time, qualification testing of re-entry bodies to approximate their stockpile to target sequence environmental stresses. This testing allowed the NNSA to meet its FPU date for the W76-1.
- The success to date of the Qualification Alternatives to the Sandia Pulse Reactor (QASPR) is noteworthy.
- Sandia met all their milestones in the enhanced surveillance subprogram. In addition, Sandia also made progress on the outyear milestones for component and material evaluations, Weapons Evaluation Test Laboratory (WETL) tester development, and material studies to support the enduring stockpile and LEPs.
- Sandia significantly exceeded the goal [of 6000 Gross Square Footage (GSF)] for demolished excess square footage (146,883 GSF).
- Sandia completed the second year of the Surveillance Transformation Program. Sandia expanded WETL testing to explore the worst-case Stockpile-to-Target Sequence (STS) requirements through mechanical preconditioning and tests conducted under extreme STS temperatures and electrical signal inputs. Sandia contributed to the completion of Stockpile Flight Tests (SFT) and conducted Stockpile Lab Tests (SLT) and Component and Materials Evaluation (CME) testing across all fielded weapon systems. The expanded technical basis and QMU results, enhanced with the critical stockpile evaluation data obtained annually from Sandia's Core Surveillance activities and the Surveillance Transformation Program, provided information for each weapon system's annual assessment. The annual assessment results were in the briefings and reports provided in the U.S. Strategic Command's (STRATCOM) Strategic Advisory Group Stockpile Assessment Team (SAGSAT) Annual Assessment of the Stockpile.
- Sandia is to be commended for work started in the latter part of the fiscal year as a follow-on to the Joint Nuclear Surety Study (JNSS). This work will be coordinated with the secure transportation activities and will employ some concepts identified in the earlier JNSS activities but not explored with the DoD.
- The Sandia Advanced Scientific Computing (ASC) program has made good progress during the year in (1) code development with achievements such as a successful QASPR blind prediction and XYCE improvements and recognition through an R&D 100 award; (2) strengthening cooperative agreements to make more effective use of resources such as an agreement with Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL) to consolidate some code activities, establishing the Alliance for Computing at Extreme Scale with Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) and partnering with Oak Ridge National Laboratory to standup the Institute for Advanced Architectures; and (3) supporting the DoD Missile Defense Agency with unprecedented simulations to assist with the national priority to safely bring down an errant U.S. satellite.

- Immune Studies Laboratory (MISL) Laboratory Directed Research and Development Grand Challenge project.
- Sandia has made significant science and technology advances to support other select DOE programs in FY 2008, including supporting the Office of Fossil Energy (FE), Office of Environmental Management (EM), and Office of Civilian and Radioactive Waste Management (OCRWM). Numerous advances in scientific discovery and innovation were achieved by Sandia including:1) geological and geomechanical scientific information for the further characterization and analysis of Strategic Petroleum Reserve (SPR) sites and advances in Clean Coal/Upstream Oil and Gas Technologies (Sandia consistently met milestone targets and has successfully supported the national Fossil Energy program in both upstream and downstream technology needs for enhanced U.S. fossil energy production); 2) ongoing support of the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP) project as lead scientific and technical advisor to the DOE for permanent disposal of transuranic (TRU) waste generated by defense programs; and 3) ongoing support of OCRWM sponsored transportation technology development (separate from lead lab License Application support for Yucca Mountain Project work activities), including advances in the Spent Fuel Sabotage Project and the Radioactive Material Transportation (RADTRAN) computer software package developed at Sandia and used around the world for evaluating the risks of shipping radioactive materials.
- Sandia continues to provide critical support to multiple programs within the Department of Homeland Security (DHS). Sandia has successfully demonstrated an outstanding level of performance by meeting the very demanding criteria and schedules established by DHS. Sandia has broadened its level of collaborations across DHS, supporting multiple DHS directorates including Science and Technology, Domestic Nuclear Detection, Infrastructure and Protection, and other interagency agreements with the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) and Customs and Border Protection. DHS is very complementary of Sandia support.
- Sandia continues to excel in the management of Technology Partnerships Program, inclusive of administering Cooperative Research and Development Agreements, Funds-in Agreements, and management of Intellectual Property and Licensing. Strategic Partnerships are being developed which contribute to the diversification of science, technology, engineering and innovation within the laboratory – this directly contributes towards strengthening of our national security missions across a broad spectrum of multiple constituents.
- Sandia's performance as the lead laboratory for the Yucca Mountain Project (YMP) has been outstanding. Sandia has been instrumental in risk identification, risk mitigation and risk management. Sandia has delivered high quality work products in support of the YMP License Application. In addition, Sandia's support in the development of presentations and responses to questions in their numerous interactions with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), the Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board and the Advisory Committee for Nuclear Waste and Materials has been outstanding. Safety Analysis Report (SAR) sections of License Applications were all delivered on schedule in support of OCRWM.

- Sandia demonstrated improvement in many of their safety programs. Evidence of this level of improvement is their meeting of the Total Recordable Case Rate goal of 1.72, which is an improvement of 55 percent over the 2003 rate and 9 percent reduction from the previous year. The Days Away Case Rate of 0.68 is a reduction of 51 percent from 2003 to 2008 and a 20 percent reduction from the previous year.
- In FY 2008, Sandia successfully closed one major 10CFR 851 compliance gap (Occupational Exposure Assessments) but other key gaps are yet to be resolved (e.g. subcontractors' hazard identification and control, work planning and control, and occupational medicine for subcontractors). The majority of new noncompliances reported were related to electrical safety issues. Sandia efforts to improve electrical safety are noted. However, the number of incidences and their potential for serious negative outcome continues to identify this as a class of hazard to be closely monitored and effectively managed by Sandia line organizations and subcontractors.
- Sandia exceeded performance standards and improved processes to be more
 efficient and effective in the areas of Facilities and Project Management. This
 resulted in outstanding program management of Microsystems and Engineering
 Sciences Applications (MESA) and Test Capabilities Revitalization (TCR) Phase II
 projects. Additionally, Sandia continued to improve in terms of decreasing total
 recordable case rate and days away. Finally, The HS-64 Environment, Safety and
 Health (ES&H) Audit results provided validation that improvement was noted in
 several areas.
- Sandia demonstrated adherence to applicable physical security and
 counterintelligence requirements to include protection and control of special nuclear
 materials and classified matter through cost-effective implementation of safeguards
 and security (S&S) and counterintelligence (CI) programs that are compliant with
 applicable DOE Orders and NNSA Policy Letters (NAPS). The Sandia Security
 organization, as well as the line organizations, demonstrated the ability to sustain
 satisfactory levels of performance in most elements of the S&S programs at the New
 Mexico and California sites as well as at Sandia's remote site operations.
- Effective business programs and functions are integrated into all work activities
 throughout Sandia to maintain effective and efficient operations and support mission
 objectives. To sustain improvement and mature work processes, Sandia continued
 their effort to seek or maintain third party certification in several business areas.
 Additionally, Sandia demonstrated complex-wide leadership with implementation of
 initiatives in cyber security and supply chain
- Sandia has implemented the Model Contract and developed an Integrated Laboratory Management System (ILMS)/Contractor Assurance System (CAS). Sandia's CAS is currently one of the most robust CAS in the NNSA complex. Sandia has made significant strides in improvement and is currently formally providing lessons learned around the NNSA complex.

OPPORTUNITIES FOR IMPROVEMENT:

Opportunities for improvement in operational support areas include:

- Weapons quality assurance program requires focused management attention
- Full implementation of work planning and control system
- Improving electrical safety programs
- Continued focus in the area of Tech Area V Nuclear Operations
- Improvement in the Fire Protection program
- Encryption of electronic transmissions of sensitive unclassified information
- Communication with NNSA on critical business decisions
- Failed to implement Safety Software Quality Assurance.
- Improvement in implementation, use, communication, and assurance results from ILMS to include improvement in self-assessment, identification of deficiencies, and implementation of corrective actions

the W76-1, the W76, the W78 and the W88. Sandia's efforts enabled dismantlement of the B53 as well. Sandia supported NWC infrastructure improvements including supply chain management, information technology management, and requirements update and clarification. Sandia contributions enabled NWC capability enhancements, both in high performance computing and in Pantex operations. Sandia provided support for Complex Transformation activities and accomplished disposition of special nuclear material (SNM).

AWARD TERM INCENTIVES

Under the provisions of Sandia's contract, they may be considered for an extension to contract term at the discretion of the NNSA Administrator (the Term Determining Official). To support this potential determination, NNSA has evaluated Sandia's performance against four ATIs. The ATIs are not adjectivally or numerically rated, but are evaluated on a pass/fail basis. NNSA determined that Sandia passed all four ATIs.

Award Term Incentive 1, Parent Contributions and Sandia Reachback. Sandia met NNSA performance objective expectations for the parent to provide measurable contribution to improve performance and site management and Sandia effectively reached back to the parent organization for support.

Award Term Incentive 2, *Litigation Management*. Sandia has made significant progress in developing corporate strategies for the prevention and mitigation of legal risks that increase the likelihood of litigation. Two notable achievements include the development and implementation of a rigorous litigation lessons-learned process and the establishment of a formal mechanism for communication of legal risk mitigation measures and recommendations to Sandia management.

Award Term Incentive 3, *Systems Integration Technical Support*. Overall, Systems Integration Technical Support (SITS) provided outstanding support to the Defense Programs Federal Program Managers. SITS did an outstanding job in facilitating discussions to determine technical drivers for weapon refurbishments and the appropriate programmatic response. SITS provided outstanding support to the *Complex Transformation Supplemental Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement* was a significant contributor to the Complex Transformation initiative.

Award Term Incentive 4, Complex Transformation Implementation Activities. Overall, Sandia did excellent work in this area, providing outstanding support in the Complex Transformation activities, Requirements Modernization and Integration (RMI) project and the National Work Breakdown Structure (NWBS).

Paperations Pentor manage Enough

Avaid from mountive (Aith

FY 2008 Rating Scale (Table 1)

	<u> </u>
Adjectival Rating	Definition
Outstanding	Significantly exceeds the standard of performance in all areas. Achieves noteworthy results. For projectized work, significantly exceeds either or both of the budget and schedule expectations.
Good	Exceeds the standard of performance although there may be room for improvement in some elements. Performance in critical and mission area remained at a high level. For projectized work, exceeds either or both of the budget and schedule expectations.
Satisfactory	Meets the standard of performance although there may be room for improvement in some elements - deficiencies do not substantively affect performance; assigned tasks are carried out in an acceptable manner - timely, efficiently and economically. For projectized work, accomplished the work on schedule and within budget.
Unsatisfactory	Significantly below the standard of performance. Deficiencies are serious, may affect overall results, and urgently require senior management attention. Prompt corrective action is required. For projectized work, falls behind schedule and results in delays of project completion and/or increased costs.

PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVE 1 - DEFENSE PROGRAMS

Defense Programs Capabilities, Facilities and Research: Develop and maintain the science and engineering capabilities, facilities, and associated infrastructure needed to contribute to a flexible and responsive nuclear weapons complex

Adjectival Rating

Summary of Performance

PO1 is comprised of four major Defense Programs categories [Readiness in Technical Base and Facilities (RTBF), Advanced Scientific Computing (ASC), Inertial Confinement Fusion (ICF) Campaign, and Science Campaigns]. Sandia was evaluated based on their performance against 51 Level II milestones. Two (Milestones 2797 and 2461) of the original 53 Level II milestones were moved into FY 2009 per NNSA/HQ direction. The NNSA/Sandia Site Office and NNSA/HQ/NA-10 have jointly reviewed and commented on Sandia's self-assessment and rating. Overall, Sandia successfully completed (blue) all 50 Level II milestones and one Level II milestone (2784) did not reach its required goal. While Sandia experienced success in meeting the Defense Programs (DP) mission, there are still areas that need improvement. In the NNSA final assessment, Sandia rated a Good in Performance Target 1.1.1 and Outstanding in Performance Targets 1.2.1, 1.3.1 and 1.4.1.

Significant Accomplishments

- Sandia successfully removed all remaining security Category I and II Special Nuclear Material
 in February 2008, thereby completing the objective well ahead of the NNSA Getting the Job
 Done in FY 2008 schedule.
- Sandia significantly exceeded the requirement (20,000 lbs) for the removal of explosive material including rocket motors.
- Sandia significantly exceeded the goal [of 6000 Gross Square Footage (GSF)] for demolished excess square footage (146, 883 GSF).
- The Sandia ASC program has made good progress during the year in: (1) code development with achievements such as a successful Qualification Alternatives to the Sandia Pulse Reactor (QASPR) blind prediction and XYCE improvements and recognition through a Research and Development (R&D) 100 award; (2) strengthening cooperative agreements to make more effective use of resources such as an agreement with Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL) to consolidate some code activities, establishing the Alliance for Computing at Extreme Scale with Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) and partnering with Oak Ridge National Laboratory to standup the Institute for Advanced Architectures and Algorithms; and (3) supporting the DoD Missile Defense Agency with unprecedented simulations to assist with the national priority to safely bring down an errant U.S. satellite.

-

Street Street, and the street of the street	Control of the Author of the Author of the State of the S	CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE	ALSO A SUSTAINABLE AND PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT	TO BE THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF	AND ADMINISTRATION OF THE PARTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PA	Charles and the Control of the Contr	新元素的 100mm
223.00(20) 0.747 4.74	The state of the s	SECTION OF STREET	 1	A Charles and A Charles and Ch	1.1.33(1.27) 1.43(0.40) 100° 127° 137° 138° 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 10	A and a reflect that the rest of the second	2015年11月 NGC 发生和各种发生。企业中国企业的发现。4014年41年2日的企业
CONTROL BOOK OF A LOCK	1.1.51 1.1.32 (2000) 2.28	CONTRACTOR OF THE LAND STREET		ST 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	CONT. 24 A. A. S. S. C.	- Comments	· "2、1520年代,其本国内在共享的社会的企业的企业的企业。
A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY.	6. 通信公司等款公司公司等款公司等款的公司	C. Empley Statement of the Control of Control	A. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	Company of the Compan	CONTROL AND AND A PARTY OF THE	BURE OF THE CASE OF THE PARTY O	TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT
	CRAME PROPERTY OF THE SECOND	的复数电影 医多种性 医多种性 医多种性 医多种性 医多种性 医多种性 医多种性 医多种性	2000年1月1日 · 1000年1月1日 · 1000年1月 · 1000年1日 · 1		AND RESERVED TO A PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF	SALES CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	173 to 1870 1970 1970 1980 1970 1980 1970 1980 1970 1980 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 1970 197
State of the real of the same at the same	COATO A PROPERTY OF STATE	THE RESERVE AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE	Service and the service of the servi	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	とも、そのものとしたとなるとくという。となっている。これは、これには、	你们是这位为他是共同与国际的 2000年,他又是1.5。	15 4 41 2 5 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6
Card St. 40.54CV C. 10000 T. 1	g land	Administration of the Control of the	THE REPORT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE	ge ein mie :	Control of the Contro	前の表現である。「全体をはいます」というないできます。これです。	COA 9000 X200 X200 X200 X200 X200 X200 X200
The state of the s	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	THE RESIDENCE AND ASSESSMENT AND ASSESSMENT AND ASSESSMENT AND ASSESSMENT ASS	が、100mmの開発を開発している。 対象の表現を表現を表現を表現を表現を表現を表現を表現を表現を表現を表現を表現を表現を表	and the second s	图17-2016/16/16/2016 大学2016 2016/2016 11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-	THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY.	(1) (2) (A) [1] [1] [1] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2
的复数形式 化化合金 医阴道性神经炎	The state of the s	CONTRACTOR AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF	2000年1月1日 - 100 PM -		THE CONTRACT OF STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF	(AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY	《公司》等以及公司》等以及其他公司等的。
\$25,000 S. F. C.	APPLICATIONS OF THE PROPERTY AND ADMINISTRATION OF THE PARTY A	NUMBER OF STREET OF STREET	CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE	The Control of the Co	E2072 (1991) 1207 (1992) 1107 (1992) 1107 (1992) 1207	Control of the Contro	 TOTAL STREET CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE
20 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Annual Control of the	26/28/2012/5/25 A + 46 1 1911	ESMINISTRATE F. 2. 52 5 5 5 5 5 5 6 6 1	CONTRACTOR SPRINGS TO A SECURITION OF THE SECURITIES OF THE SECURITION OF THE SECURITIES OF THE SECURITION OF THE SECURI	The second of th	The state of the s	the state of the s
9995 8 PC V 277 PC COR	EARLY SECTION DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF T	STATE OF THE PARTY	AND THE PERSON OF THE PERSON O	\$10.00 pt 10.00 pt 10	THE RESERVE THE PARTY OF THE PA	Control of the Contro	CONTRACTOR AND
The same of the sa	7.2 PART A 2010 A 2	THE REPORT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY.	2. BEAUTHORNSON 1971 CO. 38	Control of the Contro	THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE	ALCO SECURITY TO SECURITY SERVICES AND AND AND AND ADDRESS OF THE SECURITY	Principles of the Control of the Con

Performance Measure 1.3

Support achievement of Inertial Confinement Fusion (ICF) campaign ignition and high yield goals through application of high energy density physics capabilities.

The second secon	Maria San San San San San San San San San Sa	denotey priyotoo c	apabilities.
Performance Target	Sandia Self Assessment Rating	NNSA Agreement	Comments
1.3.1 Meet Level II Milestones associated with the ICF Campaign.	Outstanding	Agree	Sandia successfully completed all their ICF milestones. They especially deserve credit for completing their level-1 milestone, measuring the dynamic materials properties of Tantalum up to four megabars pressure. This was a joint milestone with the Science Campaign. To complete this milestone, Sandia had to complete the Z facility and work towards commissioning the Z while completing significant experiments in terms of value to stockpile stewardship. Given the problems with commissioning the refurbished Z, Sandia completed the scientific work on schedule.

Performance Measure 1.4

Integrate science and predictive and experimental simulation in support of stockpile stewardship, development, and certification.

Performance Target	Sandla Self- Assessment	Agreement	Comments
1.4.1. Meet Level II Milestones associated with the Science Campaign	Outstanding	Agree	Sandia has successfully completed Science Campaign milestones, and continues to be responsive to national program needs. Particularly noteworthy has been the outstanding work in materials dynamics. Of concern is the loss of radiation science expertise in support of weapon effects.

Other Considerations

None

PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVE 2 – DEFENSE PROGRAMS

Development and Maturation of Nuclear Weapons Technologies and Tools: Develop capabilities to assess and improve the safety, security, reliability, and performance of the non-nuclear components in nuclear weapons without further underground testing and predict the response of all non-nuclear components and subsystems to external stimuli and the effects of aging.

Adjectival Rating

Summary of Performance

PO2 is comprised of Engineering Campaigns and Capital construction for non-nuclear technologies (Performance Measure # 2.5). Specifically, Campaigns 5 – 8 all come under Engineering Campaigns which make up four performance measures in PO2. The NNSA/Sandia Site Office and NNSA/HQ/NA-10 have jointly reviewed and commented on Sandia's self-assessment and rating. Overall, Sandia successfully completed (blue) all 15 Level II milestones either on schedule or ahead of schedule. While Sandia experienced success in meeting the DP mission, there are opportunities for improvement. From the NNSA final assessment, Sandia rated an Outstanding in Performance Targets 2.1.1, 2.2.1, 2.3.1, 2.4.1 and 2.5.1.

Significant Accomplishments

- Completing the Microsystem and Engineering Sciences Application (MESA) project achieved a Defense Programs "Getting the Job Done" goal for 2008.
- Sandia is to be commended, not only on the project completion, but for delivering parts
 during the MESA facility construction. Some key components for the active stockpile were
 fabricated in Microelectronics Development Laboratory (MDL) during its construction.
- Sandia successfully completed, on-time, qualification testing of re-entry bodies to approximate their stockpile to target sequence environmental stresses. This testing allowed the NNSA to meet its First Production Unit (FPU) date for the W76-1.
- The success to date of the Qualification Alternatives to the Sandia Pulse Reactor (QASPR) is noteworthy.
- Sandia met all their milestones in the enhanced surveillance subprogram but they also
 made progress on the outyear milestones for component and material evaluations,
 Weapon Evaluation Test Laboratory (WETL) tester development, and material studies to
 support the enduring stockpile and Life Extension Programs (LEPs).
- Sandia is to be commended for work started in the latter part of the fiscal year as a followon to the Joint Nuclear Surety Study (JNSS). This work will be coordinated with the secure transportation activities and will employ some concepts identified in the earlier JNSS activities but not explored with the DoD.

Performance sandia Soif: INSA Comments Larger Assissments (Agreened): 2016 Colling - 2016
AND CONTRACTOR OF STATE OF ST

concern to meet all the deliverables of the original
milestone and their ability to take on a new project in
the middle of the fiscal year and still manage to
deliver a prototype is noteworthy.

Performance Measure 2.3

Develop and apply tools to support stockpile stewardship, development, and certification, including quantification of margins and uncertainties in engineered systems.

Performance Target	Sandia Self- Assessment Rating	NNSA Agreement	Comments
2.3. Meet Level II Milestones associated with Quantity, Margins, and Uncertainties (QMU), as well as other tools to support stewardship, development, and certification of the stockpile, as identified in the Weapon System Engineering and Assessment sub- program of the Engineering Campaign.	Outstanding	Agree	Work from Sandia has been exemplary in this subprogram. Sandia completed the preparation of the joint handbook, which for the first time has allowed a greater understanding how stress propagates across complex geometries and how to model the structural loading. Additionally, Sandia completed testing on specialized surety components as predicted.

Performance Measure 2.4

Develop the tools and technologies needed to design and qualify components, subsystems, and systems to meet requirements for radiation environments, including space and hostile environments.

Performance Target	Sandia Self- Assessment Rating	NNSA Agreement	Comments
2.4.1 Meet Level II Milestones associated with development of tools and technologies needed to foster survivability of weapons in radiation environments, as identified in the Nuclear Surviva- bility sub-program of the Engineering Campaign.	Outstanding	Agree	Although this program is severely limited in funding, Sandia demonstrated tremendous success. The program was monitored by an independent review group and Sandia was successful in soliciting direct involvement by their DoD customers in order to build a constituency for this non-traditional test strategy.

Performance Measure 2.5 Develop capital construction projects that support testing of non-nuclear components and technologies in nuclear weapons. Performance Sandia Self-NNSA Comments				
Target	Assessment Rating	NNSA Agreement	Comments	
2.5.1 Meet Level II Milestones associated with engineering Campaign construction projects.	Outstanding	Agree	The Campaign funded FY 2008 project included the construction of the MESA, with the Campaign functioning as the Program supporter for both the construction of the Ion Beam Laboratory (IBL) and the construction of the Test Capabilities Revitalization Phase II (TCR-2) projects. The final MESA facility, the Weapons Integration Facility, was completed and Critical Decision (CD) 4 was issued in April 2008. Overall project completion occurred in August 2008, three years ahead of schedule and \$48M under budget. Sandia also did an outstanding job justifying the scope of TCR-2 and with their persistence and dedication, CD-2A was approved and both CD-2B and CD-3 were accomplished in FY 2008.	

Other Considerations None

PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVE 3 - DEFENSE PROGRAMS

Defense Programs Directed Stockpile Work (DSW) and Readiness Activities: Conduct design and development, maintain the existing stockpile while supporting stockpile transformation, and develop modern production capabilities and capacity for LEPs and future stockpile requirements.

Adjectival Rating.

Summary of Performance

FY 2008 has been extremely challenging, and Sandia staff has demonstrated good leadership in handling emerging technical issues with the W76-1. The Sandia team acted quickly and with a sense of urgency to coordinate with other organizations in resolving issues in a timely manner so that the W76-1 LEP FPU can be achieved.

In FY 2008, Sandia has provided outstanding support for the B61 and B83 weapon systems ensuring critical needs are addressed. Especially impressive was Sandia's level of professionalism and the stewardship for the B61 and B83 program provided by the weapon system groups. There are several examples where Sandia exceeded NNSA expectations. These include the outstanding work supporting the Alt 357 LEP, Alt 356/8/9 Spin Rocket Motor (SRM) retrofits, 9977 project, CMS implementation, efforts to resolve B83 flight test concerns with the AFTU study, and development of DJTA-1B. With regards to the DJTA effort, the Sandia led team faced unique challenges that had to be overcome as well as a compressed time scale. Nevertheless, this "last-of-its-kind" surveillance flight test unit was successfully delivered and flight tested at the Tonopah Test Range (TTR) and all of the unique data was retrieved, post-test, in the field.

Activities for this performance objective included completing the Level II Milestones associated with the engineering support for the enduring stockpile. The scope of these Level II Milestones included the W76 LEP; development and production of weapon components that require replacement due to aging through alterations (ALTs) and limited life component exchanges (LLCEs); activities that strengthen the technical basis for the stockpile, including surveillance tests and component and material evaluations, significant finding investigations (SFIs), reliability assessments, nuclear explosive safety analyses and annual assessment; engineering support required for weapon operations at Pantex, including nuclear explosive safety studies, issue resolution and engineering drawing configuration management; and finally, support for the Nuclear Weapon Complex infrastructure, including engineering release systems, databases and communication systems. Sandia successfully completed or exceeded commitments to the Enduring Stockpile and scored Blue 73 Level II Milestones under this performance objective, while continuing support for the production agencies and making significant improvements to the stockpile's technical basis. In the NNSA final assessment, Sandia rated Good in Performance Targets 3.1.1 and 3.5.1 and Outstanding in Performance Targets 3.2.1, 3.3.1, 3.3.2, 3.4.2, 3.4.2 and 3.6.1.

Significant Accomplishments

Delivering the W76-1 LEP in September 2008 is the highest priority on the list of actions established by the Deputy Administrator for Defense Programs. This milestone represents the most significant product delivery made by the Nuclear Weapon Complex in over a decade, made all the more significant by the fact that Los Alamos National Laboratory was able to certify the warhead without an underground test. This accomplishment demonstrates that the Stockpile Stewardship Program is working. The W76-1 team, as a whole, could not *Get the Job Done*, without Sandia meeting its commitments. There have been many accomplishments, and Sandia's W76-1 team should be proud for this one in particular, and the team is to be commended for its service, and contribution to NNSA's mission of stewarding the Nation's stockpile.

(b)(2)High

Sandia provided engineering expertise to help overcome several technical obstacles that threatened to delay the W76-1/Mk4A FPU in FY 2008. Sandia successfully completed this work on time and within cost with no resulting redesign required to the W76-1/Mk4A.

- Sandia successfully completed the Combined Environment (CE-3) shock and vibration test series for the B61 ALT 357 required to remove DoD DRAAG concerns about the completeness of the qualification technical basis of the B61 ALT 357. Sandia provided ongoing B61 ALT 357 and ALTs 356/358/359 production support for Pantex in addition to performing the DRAAG required ALT 357 CE-3 test and resolving ALT 356/358/359 SRM component production issues.
- Sandia completed all Level II Milestones associated with Readiness and re-organized its
 technology maturation activities around Sandia's five Core Products. Sandia accomplished
 significant progress in technology maturation in each of these Core Product areas,
 including both system architecture accomplishments as well as subsystem and component
 maturation accomplishments. These accomplishments are on track to support a potential
 B61 refurbishment.
- The Neutron Generator (NG) Enterprise continued to deliver on all production and development commitments, completing all Level II Milestones and improving processes including: reduction of neutron generator span time from 171 days to 114 days, 56 percent reduction in errors detected at Quality Assurance Inspection Procedures (QAIP), and 100 percent first time acceptance by NNSA. The NG Enterprise took on 3 new mission assignments while decreasing the costs by 6 percent. The NG Enterprise received the first Shingo Prize awarded to a public sector organization for using lean/world-class manufacturing practices to attain world-class operational status. NNSA also recognized the NG Enterprise during the HS-64 Assessment as "best in the complex" for implementation of "state of the art" work control and waste management processes.
- Sandia completed the second year of the Surveillance Transformation Program continuing
 to expand WETL testing to explore the worst-case Stockpile-to-Target Sequence (STS)
 requirements through mechanical preconditioning and tests conducted under extreme
 STS temperatures and electrical signal inputs. Sandia contributed to the completion of
 scheduled Stockpile Flight Tests (SFT) and conducted Stockpile Lab Tests (SLT) and

Sandia Site Office (SSO) Programs Liaisons.

- As a Systems Engineering Organization, Sandia should ensure that appropriate reviews be in place to ensure that traceability of requirements is maintained and that validation of requirements is appropriately documented.
- NNSA has recently issued the D&P manual Chapter 3.7 to establish requirements on Peer Reviews. Recommend for future Peer Reviews that Sandia involves as many experts from external organizations as schedule and resource constraints make possible to bolster the peer review process and improve transparency and potentially minimize design weaknesses.

Performance	Measure 3.1
r en continance	Micasaic J. I

Ensure the safety, security and reliability of the stockpile warheads and perform authorized refurbishments to extend their lifetimes.

Performance Target	Sandla Self- Assessment & Rating	NNSA Agreement	Comments
3.1.1 Meet Level II milestones associated with DSW for Production & Planning Directive (P&PD) delivery requirements, Annual Assessments, Stockpile Maintenance, Life Extension Options, and authorized refurbishments.	Outstanding	Good .	Sandia exceeded expectation set in the PIP for the Level 2 milestones concerning Annual Assessment. FY 2008 has been extremely challenging, and Sandia technical staff has demonstrated good leadership in handling emerging technical issues with the W76-1. Sandia has completed all W76-1 qualification activities, and successfully qualified the alternative Y-12 material for use in the W76-1 design. There have been many accomplishments worthy of commendation. Sandia has delivered the draft Final Weapon Development Report, and the Major Release Assembly to support the DRAAG review. Annual Assessment – Sandia Supported the required deliverables by providing them on time per the schedule. Deliverables provided: 1) Warhead briefings to SAG SAT; 2) 2008 Warhead Annual Assessment Reports; and 3) 2008 SNL Director's Letter. During the review of the qualification evidence for the W76-1/Mk4A Design Review and Acceptance Group, there was no evidence to support or demonstrate that a component had been previously qualified to the Military Characteristics (MC) for 1 Amp / 1 Watt No-Fire for Electro-Explosive Devices (EEDs). The requirement applies to both the W76-0/MK4 and the W76-1/Mk4A. The requirement had not been flowed down as a design requirement to the lower level component. This was a failure of the design agency to adequately assure that MC requirement was completely flowed down to the component level and subsequently to the sub-component level. The requirement should have been flowed down prior to 1996 when the Complete Engineering Release was issued in April 1996. The requirement was not added

to the lower level component until the revision of CD414240 in May 2007. Also, the lack of sufficient qualification evidence was identified in August 2008 to support the requirement by the W76-1 systems department.
Other recent issues have impacted the production at Kansas City Plant. However, since NNSA was able to re-negotiate the deployment schedules with the DoD, these issues will not impact the current DoD planning. However, it has resulted in additional costs due to screening performed on the components. Some component issues have impacted production at the Kansas City Plant, primarily associated with additional costs due to component screening. Because these issues impacted FY 2008 costs at KCP for the W76-1, this measure has been rated as Good. These issues and additional issues that involved the W76-1/Mk4A are discussed in Performance Incentive 2.

Performance Measure 3.2

Execute the Integrated Stockpile Evaluation program to develop and use methodologies which enable continuous and effective improvement of the stockpile technical basis, and assessment and certification of the stockpile without underground testing.

Margett	Sandia Self- Assessment Ratinge		Comments
"3.2.1 Meet Level II milestones associated with the Stockpile Evaluation schedules and implement the Surveillance Transformation Plan initiatives.	Outstanding	Agree	Sandia exceeded expectation set in the Stockpile Evaluation for the Level 2 milestones.

Performance Measure 3.3

Develop and apply advanced design options for a transformed stockpile which improve responsiveness and provide robust safety, security, reliability and survivability.

		i salety, security,	reliability and survivability.
Performance eg Target	Sandia/Self- Assessment Rating	NNSA Agreement	Comments
3.3.1 Meet Level II milestones for RRW-1 to achieve successful execution of an RRW-1 development program if approved by the Administration and Congress.	Outstanding	Agree	Sandia completed Level II milestones defining and documenting stakeholder requirements, understanding risks associated with those requirements and identifying handling strategies, and agreeing on scope of work and deliverables for Stage 2.
3.3.2 Meet Level II milestones for advanced systems development, stockpile services and development of common adaptable system architectures for use in future weapon systems.	Outstanding	Agree	Sandia has made a significant effort to have a member of the staff interact with the Federal Program Managers (PMs) to keep them abreast with Common Adaptable System Architecture (CASA) as it develops.

Mentonments Sandle Solf. UNISA S. Con Enget See Assessments Afternant of Reling	nmonts

L'ejionnence de Sandie Sell. HMSA	Comment
Assessment	Comments
是不是一种,我们就是一种,我们就是一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个一个	发展等 化双基磺胺 机电子产品

PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE 1 – Stretch Goals related to Nuclear Weapons Work

Achieve stretch goals described in the performance targets below to increase Sandia's effectiveness as a leading contributor to the success of the Nuclear Weapons Complex (NWC).

Adjectival Rating OUTST/ANDING

Summary of Performance

Overall, Sandia has done an outstanding job in achieving the stretch goals in Engineering Campaigns and DSW. Based on the RTBF evidence provided by Sandia, Sandia did a good job working with the other NWC sites.

Sandia made significant progress in developing the Common Adaptable System Architecture (CASA) and in peer reviewing against a broader set of program space and organizing Sandia against a framework that will allow the creation of core product roadmaps that can be reviewed for CASA compliance. Sandia made significant progress in identifying and executing relevant technology maturation projects with AWE from the United Kingdom.

Significant Accomplishments

Sandia completed the baseline description of the CASA (Level II Milestone #2684) principles, and engaged systems engineers from both air delivered (AD) systems and reentry systems (RS) to review and apply the principles developed by CASA.

Sandia continued to make progress in establishing enhanced collaborations with the UK AWE. Sandia made significant progress in defining a mutually beneficial replacement effort under the auspices of the Mk5 Advanced Arming, Fuzing and Firing (AF&F) Technology Subcommittee, with strong support from the Navy. Designs were traded for peer review in multiple areas, including direct optical initiation (DOI) stronglink technologies, magnetic barrier switch design, gas transfer system (GTS) valve designs, SCORE microprocessor design and functionality, and collaborative efforts to identify conceptual long-life GTS approaches. A US/UK Enhanced collaboration agreement on condition monitoring was finalized and approved at the Seconds meeting held in April 2008.

Opportunity for Improvement

None:

I/e/formance seeSairdia Selfe PMNBA or 1.5. Geminants 1.5.

The second contract with the second second second contract and the second secon	
aterión mance (Sami)a Salf (Sami) NNSA (Sami) Samina de Communita (San Manta)	The same of
The state of the s	
larget Assessment Agreement	
The state of the s	TO PERFORM TO
	1 2 2

synergistic with our NNSA work.	design and functionality, and collaborative efforts to identify conceptual long-life GTS approaches. A US/UK Enhanced collaboration agreement on condition monitoring was finalized and approved at the Seconds meeting held in April 2008. This agreement engages Sandia from a system-requirements perspective as well as from a collaborative testbed perspective. Sandia engaged to perform a complete review of Sandia's enhanced collaborations under DSW funding to tie the activities together more from a systems perspective and to
	together more from a systems perspective and to identify technology demonstration opportunities and incorporate them into the program.

Performance Measure 1.4

In FY 2008, continuously improve upon measures taken in FY 2007 to transform non-nuclear component production (in partnership with the KCP) as described in the target below.

component produ			do acochoca in the target below:
Performance Target	Sandia Self- Assessment Rating		Comments.
17.40 SA SA SA	建筑是一个人的	1. 数学证 2. 图	
1.4.1 Develop and	Outstanding	Agree	
deploy methods to incorporate QMU		nethods to	
and other			
quantitative			
measures of			
product and			
process			
performance into qualification and			
product assurance.			
Demonstrate that			
the application of			•
these data-driven			
product assurance			
activities results in reduced costs			
without sacrificing			
product quality.			

Other Considerations

None

PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE 2 - WEAPONS QUALITY ASSURANCE

Sandia will improve the Weapons Quality (WQ) Program for the Nuclear Weapons activities. Those improvements are identified with SNL's Nuclear Weapon Product Quality Improvement Plan (NWPQIP), dated September 20, 2007.

Adjectival Rating SATISFACTORY

Summary of Performance

Although there were some improvements due to the interim actions, performance has been below NNSA expectations in several areas, such as supplier management, corrective action, design and drawing control. Supplier management quality deficiencies have affected performance. There have been issues identified in the Sandia Supplier Quality Management System that have not been resolved. The Sandia supplier management issues that have repeated at Sandia in their contracting with a new supplier this year are also issues that have been identified for each of the last four years at Sandia in their contracting with the previous supplier. The impacts have resulted in production being stopped for about two months at the new supplier further impacting other production activities. Impacts included time and cost increases for production to scrub production activities to resolve issues, adding an entire timer production line at Sandia along with new system improvements to prevent these issues in the future. In addition, quality issues have impacted other production activities at Sandia and KC where additional screens and replacement materials have also impacted time and cost increases at both sites. Also, DoD was impacted in their operations by one component at the very end of this fiscal year.

Significant Accomplishments

Sandia initiated and executed a formal root cause analysis for the CDM components to address a Quality Assurance Survey (QAS) QAS 3.0 Finding Number 7. The conduct of the assessment, actions to identify issues, teaming with internal and external organizations and the formality of the causal analysis all contributed to a process that should at the minimum be used by Sandia routinely for repeat and systemic issues.

The MC4379A Team assembled to mitigate Sandia management issues of purchased components by making the product at Sandia has been exceptionally well done, transparency with SSO into what they are doing, feedback was openly requested and quickly incorporated, is very well organized with very tight timetables, and SSO experienced very technically sound decisions, paths taken and judgments for all discussions that we have engaged has been impressive.

Opportunity for Improvement

The overall effectiveness of the Quality Management System (QMS) to include the Sandia self assessment program and corrective action processes need to improve. Although, there is adequate evidence that issues, gaps or inconsistencies in systems and procedures as well as other quality concerns are identified routinely and in a timely manner, the resolution of internal Sandia differences of opinion regarding Sandia and NNSA findings have resulted in delays and ineffective closure of these internal and external audit reports. For example, one self assessment on the Effectiveness of Supplier Quality Management System (SQMS) and Supplier Performance Tracking System (SPTS), the initial report was completed February 2, 2008, updated on September 3, 2008 and the Findings still have not been entered into CATs and a causal analysis has not been performed. In addition, a disposition memo for this self – assessment's Finding #1 indicates a more serious systemic issue than the Finding itself. (b)(2)High

(b)(2)High There is nothing in the response that addresses any actions to investigate or correct such implementation issues leaving the issue unresolved. Another example, a QAS 3.0 report went through a number of reviews and factual checks with Sandia and the supplier and then Sandia management called to say they did not understand and did not agree and later provided a formal response that took some further exceptions to the findings. Sandia management not accepting issues and not performing causal analysis for findings before attempting to correct conditions that led to the repeat issues is a failure of the quality management system. Additionally, to neglect indicators such as gaps in procedures, inadequate processes, or non-compliance with existing procedures invites repeat issues that may impact mission performance. Issues identified in self, independent or external assessments should drive continuous process improvement.

Performance M Improve Weapons Performance Target	Quality (WQ) As	surance. NNSA Agreement	Comments
2.1.1 Validate effectiveness of actions associated with the NWPQIP.	Good	Disagree Unsatisfactory	Sandia implemented interim and long-term actions associated with the NWPQIP. Data indicate that there were some improvements due to the interim actions: NNSA has accepted several components without issue 49 lots have been accepted The pre-acceptance pass rate has improved The NNSA conducted a QAS site visit in July and a QAS in September on Perkin Elmer Optoelectronics in which there were no findings. However, there is data that questions the effectiveness of both interim and long term actions and additional products and issues surfaced from March to the end of the fiscal year that are detailed below.

Assessments of the Interim Procedures to Address SQMS

The Sandia effectiveness reviews of actions associated with the NWPQIP were completed and indicate that the processes need some improvements. One self-assessment signed in February 2008 and then updated with an addendum signed in September, found 8 findings associated with the Procedures that were put in place in July 2007. Sandia management has not entered these issues into a corrective action system and continues to spend more time challenging the assessment than to make improvements. This report has been reviewed by a NNSA/SSO/HQ Team and this Team agrees that the issues are major findings and should be dealt with accordingly.

There were other Sandia self-assessments that described some additional improvements needed. The product acceptance assessment had one finding. The end-product assessment had three observations. The roles and responsibilities assessment resulted had one observation. The training assessment had one finding.

Internal and External Assessments

The internal Sandia Nuclear Weapons Strategic Management Unit (NWSMU) FY 2008 self assessment questions the effectiveness of the interim and long-term actions and states: "The quality management analysis demonstrates that there has not been a significant change between the two specific time periods. Looking back over the period from June, 2007 through June 2008, there continues to be a lack of effective resolution of identified deficiencies. Clear definitions and responsibilities of workers and managers are needed with respect to quality in terms of conformance to requirements. The assessments continue to identify issues related to quality leadership (policy/quidance), product development (procedures/processes), systems design/development (planning), and manufacturing controls, reliability, and maintainability."

(b)(2)High

		The NWPQIP was designed to correct these systemic and repeat issues. However, the evidence questions the effectiveness of the NWPQIP actions. (b)(2)High (b)(2)High (b)(2)High The NNSA estimated cost at Sandia and supplier due to reestablishing controls of engineering drawings, component production materials and manufacturing processes is over \$250,000. (b)(2)High
		(b)(2)High
		(b)(2)High In addition, Sandia initiated an additional root cause analysis to address the QAS 3.0 Finding 7 and identified several new issues requiring corrective action that had not been previously identified. The results of this latest analysis will require more time to work through a new corrective action plan and might have been avoided if there had been this same emphasis for a formal causal analysis in 2007. Due to this, the opportunity for improvements was lost for FY 2008 and those impacts may still be felt in FY 2009. Other Evidence There were three examples of components that have Sandia design quality issues that have affected production during FY 2008 at the Kansas City Plant. Cost impacts were felt by KCP exceeding \$1 million for screens, rework, and scrap. Additional costs will be incurred in the future for redesign and requalification activities at both KC and Sandia. The three examples include: 1) (b)(2)High
		(b)(2)High
		2) (b)(2)High
		(b)(2)High
	37	

			(b)(2)High (b)(2)High
			(b)(2)High
			As a result of these occurrences, NNSA confidence in the Contractor Assurance System (CAS) was shaken. Due to the lack of confidence, the Interproject (IP) Acceptance delegations were withdrawn for explosive components and ASICs. The Joint Performance Council (JPC) discussions raised the issues as Red for the second and third quarters of FY 2008.
2.1.2 Perform a site-wide WQ self-assessment in preparation for NNSA QAS 1.0.	Outstanding	Disagree Satisfactory	Sandia performed a site-wide self assessment in preparation for the NNSA QAS 1.0. The Sandia self-assessment identified major findings. However, these findings have not been entered into the corrective action tracking system and a thorough causal analysis has not been performed. The NNSA QAS 1.0 identified 2 major findings and three remarks that Sandia's self assessment did not identify. Those included:
			Finding 1 – Inadequate grading criteria for systemic and repeat issues in the Sandia Risk CPR leads to conditions adverse to quality not being appropriately identified to ensure proper analysis and action is

			taken to prevent recurrence.
			Finding 2 – Sandia has not entered two assessments into the corrective action tracking system.
			Remark 1 Informal analysis has replaced formal causal analysis for some assessments.
			Remark 2 Lack of continuous improvement process to identify systemic issues may impact other Sandia organizations.
			Remark 3 Unclear that RPPs had been verified to have incorporated solutions to past Findings from QAS and Sandia assessments.
2.1.3 Review the results of the SNL product realization process for trends in the adequacy of the design drawings relative to design changes, e.g., post Complete Engineering Release dates and Specification Exception Releases to determine whether processes could be improved.	Outstanding	Disagree Good	Sandia has made good progress in product realization trending information that was primarily limited to the neutron generator and CDM products. The data gathering has made substantial progress but has not been completed or evaluated against current quality improvement plans.
2.1.4 Develop and deploy ISO 9001 and AS9100 compliant product realization procedures	Outstanding ·	Disagree Good	Sandia mapped RPSS process areas and individual Realize Product Procedures. The procedures received SME and integration reviews and are available on the Enterprise Model. Requirements or procedural gaps have been identified and are being addressed.
			The deployment approach for RPSS included multiple meetings between the NW Chief Engineer and key directors to establish expectations for improvements and to review progress, leadership training for senior management, management and staff training for high-risk areas. Every NW center completed gap analyses and gap closure action plan that are in the Laboratory Enterprise Self-Assessment (LESA) system.
			The director-level Realize Product Council was established as the governing body for the whole system. It meets monthly to ensure leadership engagement on a regular basis, sustainability of continuous improvement in performance and system.

PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVE 4 – Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation (NA-20)

Develop and maintain science and engineering capabilities and facilities required to support detection, prevention, and reversal of the proliferation of weapons of mass destruction.

Adjectival Rating
OUTS TANDING

Summary of Performance

Outstanding in nearly every critical mission area. Some uneven performance in maintaining the best communications with Headquarters, but technical performance and completion of specific contract deliverables are first-rate. In the NNSA final assessment, Sandia received a rating of Good in Performance Targets 4.4.5 and 4.4.8 and Outstanding in all other Performance Targets.

Significant Accomplishments

Sandia had several key accomplishments this year contributing to their outstanding performance in this objective area: They were integral to GTRI in document development, physical protection designs, and security upgrades, provided technical leadership for a major multi-laboratory exercise (Full Toss), provided excellent support to Highly Enriched Uranium (HEU) Transparency Program, and successfully executed an aggressive schedule for the Bratislava sites.

Opportunity for Improvement

Sandia continues to present challenges to NNSA's ability to manage the Department's reputation as a disciplined and responsible participant in the U.S. Government's interagency process to develop and implement U.S. foreign policy and national security policy. Sandia pursues an aggressive Work for Others (WFO) program, for good and defensible reasons; however, Sandia does not do an adequate job of keeping headquarters informed of its efforts to pursue foreignfunded Work for Others. In addition, some Work for Others on behalf of other U.S. Government agencies also conflicts with NNSA's efforts in similar or closely-related areas. NNSA had hoped last year that greater communication between Sandia and headquarters this year would ameliorate some of these problems, and while conversations started well last year this communication will have to be improved in FY 2009. NNSA has particular concerns about some of the Sandia's work in China, the Middle East, South- and Central Asia. Some of these efforts are not large projects in financial terms, but they can have significant impact on the standing in Washington and its Department's mission throughout the world. On a positive note, NNSA has found a very receptive response to issues of this sort from senior Vice Presidents. However, NNSA shouldn't have to call Sandia at such levels to get a satisfactory response, and would prefer to institutionalize a mechanism to prevent such problems in the first place.

Performance Measure 4.1

Strengthen global nuclear and radiological security through the application of capabilities to assess the vulnerabilities of, and secure, remove, and facilitate the disposition of high-risk nuclear and other radiological materials. (NA-21).

nuclear and other radiological materials. (NA-21).					
Performance Target	Assessment Rating	NNSA Agreement	Comments		
4.1.1 Support Global Threat Reduction Initiative (GTRI) efforts to protect domestic and international radiological sites, including the development and provision of training courses on radioactive source security, and	Outstanding	Agree	Sandia is an integral and ongoing part of the development of the Office of Global Threat Reduction's (GTRI) "Protection and Sustainability Criteria" document. In addition, Sandia has been instrumental in establishing and executing the Domestic Security Program which is reducing the risk of theft or diversion of radiological materials to be used for malicious events affecting national security. By improving the security of these materials in the U.S., Sandia assists in reducing the availability of materials for use in a radiological dispersal device (RDD).		
initiation of GTRI activities in at least three additional countries and three domestic facilities.			Sandia has also provided significant technical advice to the interagency working group reexamining the list of radioactive materials of concern. In so doing, Sandia has made consequential contributions in enabling the Federal Government identify what constitutes a significant RDD. They have also significantly assisted in the increase of the number of countries cooperating with GTRI.		
4.1.2 Complete the physical protection activities related to an additional 17 percent of the work toward long-term storage of the BN-350 spent fuel for a cumulative 79 percent project completion using Earned Value Management System criteria.	Outstanding	Agree	In FY 2008, the Sandia team was responsible for the completion of the physical security design at the Baikal-1 Cask Storage Facility and the completion of the physical security installation work at the Mangyshlak Atomic Energy Complex (MAEC) Cask Storage Facility, as well as the management of team logistics and management of the Technology Management Consultants (TMC) contract. The Sandia team did an outstanding job of implementing this work under difficult conditions while maintaining the project schedule and milestones.		
4.1.3 Complete security upgrades for one additional reactor under the Global Research Reactor Security program, for a cumulative total of 20 research reactors secured).	Outstanding	Agree	Sandia did an outstanding job of implementing security upgrades at the Dalat Nuclear Research Institute in Dalat, Vietnam by March 2008 to secure their nuclear materials from theft or diversion for use in an improvised nuclear device.		

Parlomiande Sandi Self. MISA Pargoda Assosimental Agricuntum Parlomiande Ratino	76 J. (77)
State Transfer Control and State State Control and State State Control and State Sta	TOTAL PROPERTY OF STREET

m.	
of encounter of Section Settle MNSA (Comments) (argut Assessment Agreement acR≥ting	STATE SAMES
Target Assessment Agreement	1931
ecR≥ting p 5	

launched and delivered, but not yet launched, Space Nuclear Explosion Monitoring systems				
4.3.5 Develop new geophysical data processing and analysis technologies, investigate and characterize sensor technologies, and support integration of NNSA laboratory geophysical research products into the NNSA Knowledge Base to improve ground-based nuclear explosion monitoring capabilities.	Outstanding	Agree		

Terformance & Resamina Soft NNSA 1 tg: t : Assessment To Agreement 3 Halling	Communis
mine across a merit is in the William of the control of the contro	The state of the s

provide training and	,		the lack of progress is by no means Sandia's fault;
support of the safe and secure civil nuclear energy			but Sandia needs to work with headquarters to reframe or transition these projects to more productive topics.
infrastructure development.			Sandia's involvement in Infrastructure development has also been extremely valuable. Their expertise and relationships have provided great advantages to this program. As the focus of infrastructure development transitions to the Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) states, Sandia will be relied on to be the lead laboratory in many of these countries.
4.4.4 Achieve a cumulative total of 400 Former Soviet Union Weapons of Mass Destruction scientists engaged through Global	Outstanding	Agree	(b)(2)High It is a complex, time-intensive, area that presents unique challenges to any project manager. Over the last 4 years, however, Sandia has managed well the balance of costs, mission and shifting ground realities. (b)(2)High
Initiatives for Proliferation Prevention (GIPP)			(b)(2)High
grants in ongoing GIPP projects and 2 GIPP projects developed to the point of commercialization by a U.S. or Russian industry partner			Sandia has engaged a cumulative total of 400 Former Soviet Union (FSU) scientists, engineers and technicians, and has brought several projects at Spektr-Konversia in the Russian closed city of Snezhinsk to the point of commercialization, with the industry partner getting private venture capital and long-term loans based on those projects.
4.4.5 Provide technical analyses and studies on interdiction and proliferation networks.	Good	Agree	Enhancing the technical depth and reachback to technical experts would provide a more robust evaluation.
4.4.6 Conduct 8 physical protection Regional Training Courses or an International Training Course; 10 physical protection bilateral consultations; and support 5 International Atomic Energy Agency physical protection assessment missions.	Outstanding	Agree	

4.4.7 Provide timely technical reviews and training on commerce dual-use export license applications for missile technology.	Outstanding	Agree	
4.4.8 Achieve timely and thorough performance on the FY 2008 Draft Additional Protocol (AP) Update Declaration exercise, and safeguards technology applications deliverables.	Good	Agree	NNSA appreciates Sandia acknowledgment that this area was less than outstanding. They participated in the AP Declaration exercise and training in FY 2008, but they did not complete the process by developing the associated draft AP plan and associated security plan to complete the AP exercise. However, in mid October, Sandia delivered an acceptable draft security plan for the AP exercise.
4.4.9 Provide timely support to the NA- 24 Policy Office for both rapid turnaround and longer term studies and analysis of key nonproliferation issues.	Outstanding	Agree	The Cooperative Monitoring Center (CMC) in Albuquerque has demonstrated exceptional technical expertise and project management ability in carrying out directed studies and analyses for the NNSA policy office. In conducting an evaluation of nuclear energy infrastructure sharing options in the Middle East, they have provided valuable insight on nonproliferation engagement strategies in the region. The CMC demonstrated the same high-quality value-added and professionalism in its support of the Policy Office's outreach in East Asia and NGO partnerships in Southeast Asia and the Middle East.

Performance Measure 4.5

Enhance global nuclear warhead and weapons material security by supporting development and application of upgraded security systems at nuclear sites, consolidation of materials at secure sites, and development and application of detection and interdiction systems at international borders. (NA-25)

borders. (NA-25)			
Performance:// #i_Target;	Sandia Self Assessment Rating	NNSA Agreement	*Comments
4.5.1 Support comprehensive upgrades for a number of buildings containing weapons-usable material. (The number of buildings will be established in FY 2008 based on funding.).	Outstanding	Disagree Good	NNSA does not agree with the "Outstanding" rating for this performance target. Sandia "met" and at times "exceeded" the project expectations, but there was room for management improvement, especially in the earlier phases of project implementation. Assurance reports and quarterly reports were sometimes late, and lacked quality. The NNSA manager occasionally had to re-accomplish the work to get it done properly. Sandia's PI was changed too frequently and too abruptly to either develop necessary continuity or rapport with the NNSA manager at Headquarters. Ultimately, Sandia did complete the necessary deliverables and worked hard to complete the work by the deadline. However, that final success does not merit an "Outstanding" rating.
4.5.2. Provide effective management oversight of Russian contractors installing security systems at Russian Ministry of Defense nuclear storage sites to support an on-time completion of upgrades to meet Bratislava deadline (end of CY2008)	Outstanding	Agree	Monitoring the Russian contractors charged with installing the physical security upgrade systems, and protective force facilities, at five (5) Russian Ministry of Defense 12th Main Directorate sites has been a very daunting task. Sandia has enabled this organization to report to senior management on the status of each site, through monthly reports and project management schedules. Additionally, their efforts in characterizing schedule expectations have enabled this organization to project completion metrics and adjust, where necessary, to address shortfalls. Although most sites require two construction seasons to complete the upgrades process, these large sites were addressed in less than two complete seasons. Sandia's ability to monitor the Russian contractors' progress has allowed this organization to ensure that the projects stay on schedule for completion by the end of CY 2008.
4.5.3 Support radiation detection system upgrades at 20 Russian border crossings as part of the Second Line of Defense (SLD)- Russian cooperative	Outstanding	Agree	
		40	

program to upgrade 100 percent of their border crossings.				
4.5.4 Support radiation detection system upgrades in 25 countries as part of the SLD Megaports Initiative and Core non-Russia countries.	Outstanding	Agree		
Other Conside	rations			
None				

Enhance and foster a strong science and technology base in support of DOE/NNSA's mission and strategic objectives. Maintain an enduring Homeland Security and Defense Program that is both relevant and responsive to the needs of the nation. Note: Specific programs within each measure are evaluated on an alternating basis as specified in Evaluation Planning Guide, Performance Objective 5 Table in Appendix A

Adjectival retinul

Laboratory Directed Research and Development is helping to accelerate discovery and innovation through strategic partnerships with industry and academia that offer the opportunity for a broader integration of premier science, technology and engineering to create breakthrough results for national security mission needs.

NNSA has also observed Sandia make impressive progress in Opportunities for Improvement areas as identified in FY 2007 PER related to WFO Program. Sandia continues to improve WFO Corporate Survey process and scheduling, further development of WFO business models for enhancing NNSA's ability to administer WFO projects, pursue strategic partnerships in other government agencies, and is working towards implementation of management assurance processes using Sandia's Integrated Laboratory Management System.

5.1 - Sandia Research Foundations

Computer and Information Sciences. Sandia has exceeded expectations in computer and information sciences by continuing to enhance the development and maturation of high performance computing hardware and software technology for a variety of national security applications. Sandia has managed to deliver advanced computational and information tools through a very dynamic year of programmatic and funding uncertainties. Sandia continues to perform at an outstanding level with respect to performance measures and targets established in the Sandia Performance Evaluation Plan. The technical thrusts established during this evaluation period are commendable, including efforts to work towards the development of next generation scalability through advanced applications, transformation of modeling and simulation tools for optimization, uncertainty quantification, visualization, risk assessment, and decision support and other tools and techniques for dealing with informatics problems.

Pulsed Power Sciences. Pulsed power sciences performed at Sandia during FY 2008 has significantly contributed towards national security missions, particularly in the areas of nuclear stockpile stewardship. Sandia is regarded as the nation's steward for fast pulsed power technology and applications that has proven to be an enabling and transforming capability for national security. The commissioning of refurbished Z has progressed extremely well during this evaluation period and outstanding progress has been made in all technical research areas.

Biosciences. In an ongoing quest by Sandia to prepare for emerging threats, Sandia has moved forward to expand the portfolio of research foundations to now include Biosciences. Sandia has provided outstanding science and technology developments in the area of Biosciences and has managed to incorporate ongoing Biothreats and Biofuels research into a comprehensive research foundation focused on emerging threats that require a better understanding of biosciences in order to counter potential biological attacks against the United States, protect military personnel, strengthen energy security, and protect the environment. Sandia remains prepared to respond to these new types of threats and is diligently working towards further diversifying enduring scientific and technical competencies.

5.2 - DOE non-NNSA Programs

Office of Fossil Energy (FE)

Sandia's support for FE sponsored programs is outstanding, specifically in areas including the Strategic Petroleum Reserve (SPR), Clean Coal, and Upstream Oil and Gas Technology. Sandia has consistently met milestone targets and has successfully supported the national Fossil

Energy program in both upstream and downstream technology needs for enhanced U.S. fossil energy production.

Office of Environmental Management (EM), WIPP. Sandia's role in support of the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP) project continues to be outstanding, primarily as the scientific and technical advisor to the DOE for permanent disposal of transuranic (TRU) waste generated by defense programs. In this capacity, Sandia continues to support the DOE Carlsbad Field Office (DOE/CBFO) overseeing the maintenance and further development of the WIPP Performance Assessment (PA) system.

Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management (OCRWM), Transportation. Sandia's role in supporting OCRWM activities, other than non-lead lab (License Application support for Yucca Mountain Project) work activities is also evaluated as outstanding. Despite limited DOE funding resources for this past fiscal year, Sandia maintained the ability to support two transportation related activities, including the Spent Fuel Sabotage Project and the RADTRAN computer software package – developed at Sandia and used around the world for evaluating the risks of shipping radioactive materials.

5.3 - Homeland Security Programs

Sandia continues to provide outstanding support to Department of Homeland Security (DHS) and has made significant contributions to multiple directorates of DHS, including Science and Technology, Domestic Nuclear Detection Office (DNDO), Preparedness and Infrastructure Protection, and other DHS agencies and components. Sandia has done exceptional work in testing and evaluating Science and Technology (S&T) Cargo Conveyance security technologies while also providing well written and thorough technical reports to support these testing efforts. Sandia Management has been particularly flexible and has submitted all reports and budgets to DHS S&T in a timely and efficient manner.

The Sandia technical staff members have been regarded by DHS as exceptional in meeting the objectives and delivering the products outlined by S&T. More importantly, Sandia staff members have been professional in working with multiple stakeholders.

DHS S&T's Cargo Conveyance Program test and evaluation team, comprised of Sandia and other DOE laboratories, has proved to be a formidable asset to DHS S&T. Sandia managers have provided the DHS S&T cargo conveyance program with an invaluable pool of Sandia subject matter experts who have collaborated closely and efficiently with personnel from other DOE laboratories to review and analyze cargo conveyance security technologies.

5.4 - Technology Partnerships Program

Sandia continues to demonstrate consistent outstanding performance in Technology Partnerships (TP) as evidenced by several technology outcomes and strengthening of strategic partnerships overall. Sandia TP program continues to support national security missions by enabling the deployment and commercialization of multiple technologies, creating a supplier base for weapons, and supplying technologies for both first responders and warfighters. These partnerships continue to help NNSA laboratories maintain a strong science and technology base while helping to maintain a strong diversified workforce. Sandia continues to attract quality partners that ultimately contribute toward the development of novel technologies that promote both economic and technology development for the nation. Sandia's management of the TP program and all of its components is regarded as outstanding.

5.5 - DOE/OCRWM, Yucca Mountain Project

Sandia's lead laboratory role and support to DOE's OCRWM, for the submission of license application to Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) for Yucca Mountain Project (YMP) was outstanding. Sandia's contribution to the License Application in the development of the Safety Analysis Report (SAR) sections submission was delivered to schedule and certified by Sandia on April 1, 2008. The select aspects of technical products used to support the postclosure safety basis of the license application were thoroughly reviewed by Sandia and delivered to OCRWM.

Significant Accomplishments

Sandia Research Foundations

Computation and Information Sciences

Sandia has completed another successful year by providing computational and informational science tools and platforms critical to national security missions. Sandia's Computer and Information Sciences (CIS) continue to enable a variety of science initiatives. Select notable accomplishments included the ability for the QASPR team to make blind predictions using the CIS Charon code for the qualification of NW electrical systems without the use of 'fast burst reactor' data; creation of Tensor Toolbox for MATLAB which provides ability for large data mining applications; ALEGRA shock physics codes to simulate important experiments for advanced armor development; development of CUBIT 11.0 for advanced improved efficiency of model preparation and simulation; ALEGRA 3D radiation MHD code simulations capability of wire array z-pinches on Sandia Z accelerator; development of a petascale-ready algorithm of Community Climate System Model's (CCSM) atmospheric model; development of novel methods for accelerating interconnect performance in massively parallel supercomputers; and the establishment of synergistic and collaborative multi-institutional partnerships such as IAA and ACES that keep Sandia at the forefront of High Performance Computing.

Pulsed Power Sciences

An external review of the Pulsed Power Sciences Program provided very a favorable review of Sandia's Z refurbishment efforts, startup operations, contributions to NNSA science and engineering campaigns, and further enhancing pulsed power sciences. The most notable accomplishment for pulsed power sciences research foundation was the completion of the major refurbishment of the Z Facility and ability to reestablish experimental platforms, commence the commissioning of the refurbished Z by performing several experimental shots, enhance the science of Inertial Confinement Fusion (ICF), and meet the mission needs for DOE/NNSA. The external review panel (ERP) was very complementary of Sandia's Pulsed Power Sciences program and regarded Sandia's performance as outstanding. The ERP commended Sandia's leadership in pulsed power research, development, and application of fast pulsed power generation. Sandia has performed outstanding in respect to all elements of performance evaluation criteria and continues to make significant progress in planning for future pulsed power research needs such as dynamic plutonium experiments and the planning for the national boost initiative. NNSA shares the same enthusiasm and support as the external advisory board, which regards work performed at Sandia in pulsed power sciences as unique and important research that will require an investment in capabilities in order to continue research in extreme states of matter in the Isentropic Compression Experiments (ICE) field and with z-pinch implosion experiments.



Homeland Security

Sandia's performance in support of Homeland Security programs was outstanding during this past fiscal year. Sandia continues to deliver on very challenging assignments focused in chemical/biological research, explosives sciences, borders/maritime security, and infrastructure/geophysical research and development.

This past year, Sandia hosted senior Customs and DHS Policy officials at a proof-of-concept demonstration of S&T's Cargo Conveyance contractor/vendor technology in Albuquerque, NM. Sandia provided and configured the test site in addition to developing a software interface to support the vendor's technology which allowed DHS officials to interactively participate in the demonstration. Sandia also developed several Testing & Evaluation Master Plans (TEMPs) which were the first to be submitted and officially approved by DHS S&T's Test & Evaluation (T&E) office. The technical specifications which were developed as part of these TEMPs led to the creation of technical requirements documents which were released by the Customs and Border Protection (CBP) agency to the general public. In response to these technical requirements, technology vendors have been given the opportunity to submit their solutions for review and consideration by DHS.

Technology Partnerships

Sandia's Technology Partnerships program continues to deliver on a variety of science advances, technology deployments, and diversification of strategic partnerships. Sandia's outstanding performance is reflected by quality partners who continue to seek out collaborative research and development opportunities that ultimately continue to result in numerous technology transfers, technology deployments, and technology partnerships. The results of these collaborations are reflected in numerous awards given to Sandia, and are a reflection of Sandia's strong science and technology base.

Despite both organizational and personnel changes at Sandia this past year, Sandia has managed an outstanding Technology Partnerships program that services all strategic management organizations of Sandia Corporation, thereby strengthening the technical competencies throughout the laboratory. Technology partnerships have also served to strengthen Sandia's workforce by offering attractive research and development career opportunities for both technical and administrative personnel. Sandia has managed to better define all components of technology partnerships, inclusive of Cooperative Research and Development Agreement (CRADA) administration, Funds-in agreements, Licensing and Intellectual Property Management, and Economic and Technology Development Programs.

DOE/OCRWM Yucca Mountain Project

Sandia worked diligently to support OCRWM in the development of the SAR sections of the License Application that was ultimately submitted to the NRC July 2008. The submission of the SAR was delivered to schedule and certified by Sandia on April 1, 2008. The select aspects of technical products used to support the postclosure safety basis of the license application were thoroughly reviewed by Sandia and delivered to schedule. DOE/OCRWM regarded the accomplishment of this endeavor as outstanding.

Sandia's Performance Assessment Integration Team made it possible to react quickly to events and plan a path forward that would enable the meeting of planned dates with quality work. The scenarios developed for the Total System Performance Assessment (TSPA) were well documented and clearly described in Analysis Modeling Reports. Sandia works proactively with OCRWM to ensure that the Postclosure safety testing program is run efficiently and cost effectively. The Office of Quality Assurance surveillance teams worked with Sandia personnel, processes, products, resulting in a TSPA and SAR sections that met the requirements and expectations of the NRC. Sandia produced an outstanding effort and compiling and drafting potential contentions. Additionally, Sandia created an efficient system for processing Requests for Additional Information. Of particular note is the exceptional degree to which Sandia included the OCRWM technical leads in this process.

Moreover, Sandia provided ongoing exemplary support leading towards the ultimate submission of License Application, inclusive of: continually improving processes with a product-focused progressive reduction of staff and innovative product development to meet technical needs within a restrictive budgetary climate; demonstrated outstanding technical-product management skills for delivering highly-quality products under tight schedule and even tighter budget; superior management and stewardship of OCRWM's technical and scientific data systems; exemplary support to OCRWM for License Support Network searches and overall process improvements towards a timely and accurate record submittals tied to data and analyses; ongoing line support to the Surveillance Teams were outstanding, with senior Line representatives exhibiting an open, questioning attitude consistent with a strong and effective nuclear safety culture; and begun to develop the open, questioning attitude consistent with a strong and effective nuclear safety culture.

Opportunity for Improvement

Technology Partnerships: Sandia could improve on the further maturation of Partnerships, Agreements, and Licensing Systems (PALS) for tracking licensing, intellectual property, CRADA agreements, and other pertinent Technology Partnerships program information.

DOE/OCRWM, Yucca Mountain Project: Sandia could improve on consistently following through on the production of technical reports contained in the fiscal year task plans. These plans should be clearly communicated to assess organizational climate and the results obtained from these efforts.

DOE non-NNSA Programs: Sandia could improve programmatic/operational awareness for SSO by keeping SSO Office of Programs informed of achievements measured against DOE Program Work Authorizations.

Performance Measure 5.1

Maintain a strong multidisciplinary science and technology base, inclusive of Sandia's Research Foundations.

Foundations.			
Rerformance Thirge:	Sandia Self Assessment tating	NNSA Agreemer i	Comments
5.1.1 Programmatic performance, management and planning.	Outstanding	Agree	Pulsed Power Sciences: The overall performance and science developments in this research foundation area are outstanding; however, there are programmatic management and planning areas that could be better administered in FY 2009, including
Evidence: Achievements measured against DOE Program Office Work Plans in the areas of Computer and Information Sciences, Pulsed Power and Bioscience			the following: 1) Shot allocations for Z facility needs to be better integrated with national needs, and a priority review and information flow to users of Z could improve; 2) Cost control of Z single shift operations and efficiency of operations in terms of cost per shot could be evaluated in the future.
5.1.2 Quality of science, technology and engineering. Evidence: Results from technical advisory panels, awards, patents, and significant technical publications.	Outstanding	Agree	Pulsed Power Sciences: Sandia's work in the area of dynamic materials is commendable.
5.1.3 Performance in the technical development and operations of major facilities (where applicable)	Outstanding	Agree	
5.1.4 Relevance to national needs and agency mission. Evidence: Impact of technical achievements on NNSA Laboratories.	Outstanding	Agree	

Performance Measure 5.2

Maintain a strong multidisciplinary science and technology base, inclusive of DOE non-NNSA Programs.

Programs.			
Performance Target	Sandia Self- r Assessment Rating	NNSA /Agreement	Comments
5.2.1 Programmatic performance, management and planning. Evidence: Achievements measured against DOE Program Office work plans in the areas of Fossil Energy, Environmental Management and Civilian Radioactive Waste Management.	Outstanding	Agree	
5.2.2 Quality of science, technology and engineering. Evidence: Results from technical advisory panels, awards, patents, and significant technical publications.	Outstanding	Agree	·
5.2.3 Performance in the technical development and operations of major facilities (where applicable).	Outstanding	Agree	
5.2.4 Relevance to national needs and agency mission. Evidence: Impact of technical achievements on NNSA National Laboratories.	Outstanding	Agree	

Performance Measure 5.3

Maintain a strong multidisciplinary science and technology base to ensure success of programs for Department of Homeland Security (DHS) and Other Federal Agencies.

for Department of Homeland Security (DHS) and Other Federal Agencies.					
Performance Target	Sandla Self- Assessment Rating	NNSA Agreement	L: Comments		
5.3.1 Quality of work and support to the DHS Science and Technology Directorate in the application of science, technologies, and system engineering solutions to matters of national security.	Outstanding	Agree			
5.3.2 Quality of work and support to the DHS Domestic Nuclear Detection Office Directorate in providing relevant radiation-detection sciences, technologies, and system-engineering solutions that enhance national security Quality of work and support to the DHS Domestic Nuclear Detection Office Directorate in providing relevant radiation-detection sciences, technologies, and system-engineering solutions that enhance national security.	Outstanding	Agree			
5.3.3 Quality of work and support to the DHS Preparedness Directorate's Infrastructure Protection Program through the National Information Simulation and	Outstanding	Agree			

Analysis Center program.				
5.3.4 Quality of work and support provided to DHS initiatives defined in interagency agreements which are not covered in 5.3.1 through 5.3.3.	Good	Agree	·	
Evidence for 5.3.1 through 5.3.4: Quality of science, technology and engineering embedded in the SNL-provided technologies, studies, and/or solutions implemented/utilize d by the customer to enhance national security initiatives; programmatic performance, management and planning measured against Interagency Agreement Statements of Work; relevance of deliverables to national needs and agency mission; and performance in the technical development and operations of major facilities (where applicable).				

Performance Measure 5.4

Through the use of our scientific and technical capabilities, ensure success of technology

partnerships.

partnerships.			
Performance Target	Sandia Self- Assessment Rating	NNSA Agreement	Comments
5.4.1 Programmatic management of Technology Partnership Program including administrative performance and intellectual property management.	Outstanding	Agree	
5.4.2 Quality of Science, Technology and Engineering.	Outstanding	Agree	
5.4.3 Performance in technical development and operations of major facilities (where applicable)	Outstanding	Agree	
5.4.4 Relevance to national needs and agency mission.	Outstanding	Agree	
Evidence for 5.4.1 through 5.4.4; Performance as measured by customer satisfaction using sponsor/customer surveys and program reviews			

Performance Measure 5.5

Execute the assigned Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management's (OCRWM) Repository activities, including development, review and defense of DOE's License Application (LA) for a

Nuclear Repository at Yucca Mountain.

Performance Target	Sandia Self Assessment Rating	NNSA Agreement	Comments
5.5.1 Prepare and provide Safety Analysis Report (SAR) sections as	Outstanding	Agree	Sandia Corporation-Lead Lab (SNL/LL) did an outstanding job in preparing and providing the Safety Analysis Report (SAR) sections for submittal. SNL/LL's Performance Assessment Integration Team

assigned for inclusion in the License Application. - By December 31, 2007: Meet License Application Management Plan obligations for preparation and submittal of assigned SAR sections. - By January 29, 2008: Forward SAR sections to Bechtel-SAIC, LLC, for incorporation in the LA.			made it possible to react quickly to events and plan a path forward that would enable the meeting of planned dates with quality work. The scenarios developed for the Total System Performance Assessment (TSPA) were well documented and clearly described in Analysis Modeling Reports. SNL/LL works proactively with OCRWM to ensure that the Postclosure safety testing program is run efficiently and cost effectively. The Office of Quality Assurance surveillance teams worked with SNL/LL personnel, processes, products, resulting in a TSPA and SAR sections that met the requirements and expectations of NRC.
5.5.2 Prepare to support the successful defense of the technical content of these sections in licensing proceedings.	Outstanding	Agree	SNL/LL produced an outstanding effort in compiling and drafting potential contentions. Additionally, SNL/LL created an efficient system for processing Requests for Additional Information. Of particular note is the exceptional degree to which SNL/LL included the OCRWM technical leads in this process.
Quarterly: Review project risks through OCRWM Risk Management Working Group.			
By March 31, 2008, Propose expert witness pool for Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management consideration.			
By March 31, 2008: Complete plan work and evaluate Risk Steps of Change Management Plan associated with internal posture for License Defense.			
Demonstrate organizational preparedness to conduct work in post LA submittal			

program enviro	T		
program enviro By September 30, 2008, create, document and institutionalize revised internal processes for review and approval of responses to Requests for Additional Information and Contentions. By September 30,			
2008, complete internal Readiness Review of internal preparedness to respond to Requests for Additional Information and Contentions.			
By September 30, 2008, complete FY 2009 planning for the continued identification and retention of key personnel and capabilities.			
5.5.3 Develop, maintain and defend the Postclosure Safety Analysis for a Nuclear Repository at Yucca Mountain, including the supporting technical bases. The supporting technical bases includes Analysis and Model Reports that are based upon work of high technical	Outstanding	Agree	SNL/LL continually improved their processes with a product-focused progressive reduction of staff and innovative product development to meet technical needs within a restrictive budgetary climate.

quality, transparent, peer review panel acceptable, traceable, and are in compliance with the Quality Assurance Requirements Document and implementing rocedures.			
By December 31, 2008: Complete the Total System Performance Assessment Analysis and Model Report, demonstrated by March 31, 2008, customer acceptance under OCRWM procedure AP-7.5Q.			,
Total System Performance Assessment calculation and analysis-of-results processes will be examined for possible efficiencies, to include planned rapid response to formal Requests for Additional Information and to informal questions. Quarterly status reports will be provided to support Performance Evaluation Plan review.		-	
5.5.4 Complete work on schedule and within budget, and provide performance metrics to DOE on a monthly basis in accordance with	Outstanding	Agree	In addition to the Sandia self assessment: SNL/LL demonstrated outstanding technical-product management skills for delivering highly-quality products under tight schedule and even tighter budget.

Yucca Mountain Project (YMP) reporting requirements.			
5.5.5 Provide Technical Work Plans that clearly define work to be performed, the [OCRWM procedure AP- 5.5Q]-intended use or purpose of each activity and/or product, methods to be used, schedules for completion of activities, procedures to be followed, expected outcomes, provisions for handling unexpected outcomes or off- normal events, and interfaces with other YMP activities.	Good	Agree	SNL/LL provided superior management and stewardship of OCRWM's technical and scientific data systems.
5.5.6 Submit results of data collection, modeling and analysis to project records management, licensing support network and technical data and software management systems on a timely basis.	Outstanding	Agree	SNL/LL provided exemplary support to OCRWM for License Support Network searches and overall process improvements towards a timely and accurate record submittals tied to data and analyses.
5.5.7 Provide technical experts on an as-needed basis for interactions with regulatory and oversight boards, and for addressing existing and new technical issues.	Outstanding	Agree	Line support to the Surveillance Teams were outstanding, with senior Line representatives exhibiting an open, questioning attitude consistent with a strong and effective nuclear safety culture.
5.5.8 Implement the OCRWM Nuclear Safety Culture and	Good	Agree	SNL/Li_ has begun to develop the open, questioning attitude consistent with a strong and effective nuclear safety culture.

Sandia will manage and operate its environmental, safety, and health; emergency management; nuclear operations; fire protection; safety basis programs in an efficient and cost effective manner using the Integrated Laboratory Management System (ILMS) to fully support successful accomplishment of mission, while protecting the public, the worker, the environment, and national security assets in accordance with the terms and conditions of the contract

Adjactiversteino

this comprehensive, program-wide performance objective. While improvements in performance have been recognized by NNSA during this performance period, NNSA does not agree with Sandia's overall assessment that the EMP is functioning at the Outstanding level. It is important to keep in mind that this program has been in recovery for the past couple of years and is just now beginning to be able to consistently demonstrate that it is capable of meeting performance expectations across the board. NNSA recognizes that in two of the five current performance measures Sandia has significantly exceeded expectations resulting in NNSA agreement with those Outstanding ratings; however, in the remaining three the standard of performance was exceeded although there is room for improvement in some elements. As a result, NNSA has assigned an overall rating of Good for this performance measure.

Sandia has significantly exceeded performance expectations with their efforts associated with establishing and formalizing practices and processes for enhancing interactions and effective relationships with the myriad of off-site organizations and entities that interface with Emergency Management. Throughout the year NNSA recognized the continued partnering by Sandia Emergency Management with off-site groups such as the City of Albuquerque, Bernalillo County, the State of New Mexico, and the various local hospitals. NNSA also recognized an increase in the dialog and involvement with the neighboring Pueblo of Isleta. Representatives from the Pueblo actively participated in several of the drills and exercises conducted throughout this performance period. As a result, lines of communication and levels of cooperativeness between these two entities have been significantly improved during this period. Sandia Emergency Management also took the opportunity to enhance their relationship and increase interactions with other organizations to include the New Mexico Department of Homeland Security, the New Mexico Office of Emergency Management, and the City of Albuquerque regarding Joint Information Center construction activities to name a few.

Sandia continues to improve its level of preparedness for emergency events and has continued to improve and enhance its training program in conjunction with its drills and exercise activities including a formal continuous improvement process. Notwithstanding the level of effort and commitment put into Sandia's Emergency Management drill and exercise program, there are still elements that clearly have some room for improvement based on the outcome and results of some of the drills and exercises conducted during this performance cycle. This includes issues with the timeline for the dissemination of emergency information to the media and the public, and identification and verification of event location to ensure appropriate implementation of protective actions and protective action recommendations. During the third quarter, the last drill before the annual exercise was conducted and several objectives being evaluated were not fully met. As a result, a special training session was held to address the issues that were identified during the drill.

The Sandia and NNSA/SSO Emergency Public Information element has also made great strides with the implementation of several improvements, completion of corrective actions, and the closure of findings during the performance period; however, again there is still room for improvement in this element as evidenced by the results of some of the drills and exercises designed to test this element.

Sandia has been extremely effective and significantly exceeded performance expectations with regard to managing the EMP in order to ensure emergency response activities will result in the implementation and deployment of time-sensitive response actions that are necessary to

minimize or prevent unacceptable consequences to responders, workers and the public.

The Emergency Management organization has undertaken many activities to maintain and strengthen its formal self-assessment program. As part of this effort, Sandia has developed and implemented a lessons learned program for emergency management and continued to ensure full implementation of the readiness assurance requirements prescribed by DOE Order 151.1C. These efforts were scoped to include Emergency Management operations at Sandia California as well.

Sandia Emergency Management successfully completed the corrective actions to close seven of the eight findings identified during the Office of Independent Oversight Inspection conducted in 2006. A validation of the remaining corrective action (Finding 8) was attempted during the NNSA FY 2008 EMP Assessment but failed because the requirements prescribed by the Sandia corporate policy requirements for self-assessments were not being met. Sandia has revisited and revised the corrective action and is preparing to request another validation by NNSA in the near future.

During this reporting period, concerns were raised that several of the Emergency Planning Hazards Assessments (EPHAs) were not updated in accordance with order requirements, and response to NNSA comments on several of the EPHAs had not been received in a timely manner. To address this concern, a specific performance target has been incorporated into the FY 2009 PEP for submittal of a schedule for completing EPHAs, updating existing EPHAs, and developing and implementing temporary orders.

Significant Accomplishments

Sandia performance continued to improve this fiscal year in terms of decreasing TRCR from 1.9 in FY 2007 to 1.72 in FY 2008 a greater than 9 percent reduction and DART a 20 percent reduction in FY 2008 to 0.68.

The HS-64 ES&H Audit results provided validation that improvement was noted in several areas, although there is room for improvement in the key areas discussed in the OFI narrative section.

Sandia successfully completed the Occupational Exposure Assessment project and was complimented by HS-64 and NNSA for performance in this area.

Sandia was subject to several Inspector General audits and reviews with no new major issues reported (among these were reviews of student and intern safety, processes for corrective action management of external findings, etc).

Sandia, in conjunction with the NNSA, developed and implemented a new Emergency Operations Center (EOC) concept of operations. The new concept integrates NNSA response elements into the EOC and has proven to improve Sandia's capability to respond to complex emergencies as demonstrated during this year's annual exercise.

Sandia Emergency Management has significantly increased its interaction and levels of cooperation with off-site agencies – especially with the Pueblo of Isleta and the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI).

During the first quarter of this performance period the Sandia Emergency Management Program

adopted and implemented the "Blue Card" (Command Officer Training and Certification Program) command system for National Incident Management System Type 4 and Type 5 incidents and has worked throughout the remaining performance period with local entities to facilitate the same for emergency response agencies in the Middle Rio Grande Valley. In support of these activities, Sandia Emergency Management put on a two-day Incident Safety Officer "Train-the-Trainer" course for the Middle Rio Grande Valley agencies.

Sandia provided good support of the interface with the DNFSB. Sandia has supported numerous visits by DNFSB staff, has provided timely responses to DNFSB document requests, and has contributed to the rewrite of the DOE Interface Manual, DOE M 140.1.

Sandia's efforts have provided for a collaborative relationship with the DNFSB site representative. And Sandia's support ensured a smooth transition when the DNFSB site representatives changed.

Sandia's support of the revision of the DOE Interface Manual, DOE M 140.1 is a reflection of their ongoing commitment to maintaining a dedicated, productive relationship with the DNFSB.

Opportunity for Improvement

NNSA agrees with the Sandia self assessment (PEAR) that focus is warranted in the following areas:

- Repeat findings (HS-64 inspection finding);
- Work planning and control (HS-64 inspection finding);
- Assurance (HS-64 inspection finding);
- Tech Area V Nuclear Operations (HS-64 inspection finding);
- Electrical safety;
- Subcontractor flow down of requirements;
- Lock out/tag out; and
- Safety culture

Improvement of performance in the above, but most notably in terms of "safety culture" is required if Sandia is to make more than incremental improvement in key outcomes, such as reduced injury and illness incidence rates (TRCR and DART) or undesired events (such as Noncompliance Tracking System (NTS) noncompliances or ORPS reportable cases).

The concerns regarding safety culture or integration of safety have been reported before (past Sandia Corporate Issues included CIM #7 identified in 2005, #28 in 2006 and now #36 issued in 2008) yet resurface as incompletely resolved.

Sandia shall demonstrate commitment to improved safety culture.

With regards to work planning and control at the task level, Sandia has not been effective in terms of critically self assessing performance. Sandia requested that NNSA close Finding #1 (OA 2005 ES&H Audit) as effectively having resolved the issue when there were indicators to the contrary. Sandia must follow through on commitments in the HS-64 2008 Finding C-1 Corrective

Action Plan (CAP) and ensure that expectations for improvement are clear to all and not partially accepted or recognized as applicable by some. As proven during the HS-64 ES&H Audit and again in the HS-64 Special Reviews of Nanomaterial Safety, performance may not be judged fully effective in organizations with perceived established work planning and control systems until these are scrutinized or closely examined for specific hazard recognition, evaluation and control at the task level.

Subcontractors (including Vendors/Warranty/Service Contractor) performance, with clarity of ES&H expectations and documented effective oversight by Sandia, are a target of opportunity for improvement. Indicators such as a rise in electrical incidents attributed to contractors and lack of clarity in oversight of ES&H expectations for certain classes of contracts (service and warranty contracts) raised concerns regarding effective contractor assurance systems in this area. The urgency of Sandia actions has not always been established or the improvement plans (e.g., issues concerning Sandia delegated representative oversight of subs) are reconveyed in successive iterations.

Events over FY 2008 clearly demonstrate TA-V nuclear operations are not conducted with the appropriate level of formality. Sandia has not acknowledged the need for improvement in this area and is not taking appropriate action.

Sandia management's response to issues and events needs improvement. In some cases, NNSA has had to prompt Sandia to investigate issues that point to weakness in the formality of operations. This reflects a lack of a demanding safety culture in the nuclear activities.

Sandia nuclear operations need to be more process-based rather than expert-based. Some of the events in FY 2008 highlight the utilization of the skill-of-the-worker. Sandia should strive to implement and enforce consistent processes in the operations and maintenance of the nuclear facilities.

Sandia needs to ensure safety improvements are a priority. Sandia has not always sought to ensure safety matters are dealt with before pursuing mission goals.

Sandia continues to be weak in the feedback and improvement area, especially in selection and modification of effective leading performance indicators, any benchmarking activities, and the use of an effective lessons learned program to name a few. Improvements to the process need attention as Sandia must implement avenues to use improvement mechanisms.

During this performance period, two repeat (related) OFIs have been identified. This condition gives the indication that previously implemented corrective measures are not being sustained. One of the repeat OFIs has to do with the verification of the reported geographical position (location) of the emergency event. In at least two separate exercises Sandia failed to verify the actual location of the emergency event. This represents a serious concern since the failure to verify the incident location could cause confusion between the Incident Commander (IC), EOC and Consequence Assessment Team and thereby result in the incorrect identification of appropriate protective actions (PA) and/or PA recommendations. This could also lead to response elements being dispatched to incorrect locations and could unnecessarily lengthen critical response times. The other repeat OFI was administrative in nature and was associated

with the sequential numbering of press releases. Nonetheless, Sandia should review their corrective action process to ensure that actions taken in the future to resolve compliance and performance issues are based on effective causal analysis and are periodically reviewed or performance tested when appropriate to ensure the corrective actions put in place are sustained.

Several of the EPHAs were not updated in accordance with order requirements, and response to NNSA comments on several of the EPHAs had not been received in a timely manner.

The Emergency Public Information element must be assessed and revised to improve the efficiency, quality and timeliness of flow of information. This represents area in which Sandia's longstanding performance issues involving both the EOC and the Joint Information Center (JIC) must be improved.

During the last NNSA evaluation of the Sandia Emergency Management Annual Exercise, there were more OFIs identified by NNSA than were identified by Sandia in their own evaluation of the same objectives. This calls into question the rigor and robustness of some of Sandia's performance assurance activities and indicates an area in which there is room for improvement.

The efforts to close Improvement Actions within the six-month performance goal need to be improved so that the current completion rate of 29 percent can be increased.

The overall content of the FY 2008 PEAR did not accurately reflect the actual performance of the EMP. The PEAR write-up focused more on the positive attributes or the things that went well as an end-of-the-year summation versus providing a more balanced representation of some of the challenges that were met and dealt with throughout the year by the Emergency Management staff. The PEAR is a self-assessment report that should provide both Sandia and NNSA management with critical information that can be used determine the status of Emergency Management program performance and compliance. In some cases the report did not meet that objective which in and of itself represents an opportunity for improvement.

Sandia has successfully completed three significant readiness activities [Logistics Nuclear Operation (LNO) Phase 1, Phase 1a, and Sandia Pulsed Reactor Facility/Critical Experiment (SPRF/CX)] in this evaluation period. Although Sandia has satisfactory procedural and program guidance, they continue to struggle with execution.

The most significant issue is the lack of adequate use of lessons learned. Review of the Site Office Line Management Review reports shows that this is a common repeat theme that was originally identified in 2005.

Another common theme is the lack of adequate preparation. This is related to the lack of adequate planning discipline. Sandia typically does not follow the timeline guidance provided to ensure sufficient time for review and preparation. This last minute attitude of Sandia has even drawn the attention of Headquarters personnel.

Performance Measure 6.1

The Sandia Integrated Safety Management System (ISMS) is comprehensive and effectively implemented using the ILMS by all line organizations to ensure worker safety, safety of the public, protection of the environment and mission success.

public, protection of the environment and mission success			
Performance Target	Sandla Self- Assessment Rating	NNSA Agreement	Comments.
6.1.1 Demonstrate adequate implementation of 10CFR851 and demonstrate adequate progress	Good	Agree	There were a number of new 10 CFR 851 non-compliances reported this year (in the NTS). The accumulation of issues which are not readily closed and in particular those related to electrical safety, point to room for improvement.
on closing 10CFR851 gaps.			The Sandia PEAR discussion focuses on completion of tasks rather than the 4 major issues/conditions which led to their reporting in NTS. While the "Occupational Exposure Assessments" noncompliance was closed the remaining "gaps" (e.g. subcontractors' hazard identification and control, work planning and control, and occupational medicine for subcontractors) remain unresolved.
6.1.2 implement Long-Term Stewardship (LTS) Annual Work Plan and Long-Term Environmental Stewardship (LTES) Program Plan.	Outstanding	Agree	Sandia has met the requirements for outstanding in the LTS area.
6.1.3 Track and trend environmental operational data as compared to NEPA (e.g., SWEIS) operational limits.	Satisfactory	Agree	The requirements to meet the satisfactory rating have been met.
6.1.4 Chemical management system improvements.	Outstanding	Agree	
6.1.5 Assess health of the workforce	Outstanding	Disagree Good	Sandia completed some of the factors directly related to the health of the workforce outlined in the FY 2008 PEAR. These included health assessments that covered a portion of the organizations (three divisions) making an overall determination of health difficult to assess.
			There was no mention of the Organizational assessment reports that are required by the PEP Target Narrative FY 2008 PEP. There was no mention of an analysis of the between organizations as mentioned in the PEP.
			The Safety Incident Tracking System (SITS) was a great innovation but not directly related to this

6.1.8 Finalize Implementation of Environmental Protection Processes (CPR 400.1.1, Section 10).	Outstanding	Agree	Sandia completed all elements for outstanding. The implementation of the Environmental Protection Processes was truly outstanding.
6.1.9 Execute the Industrial Facility Safety Basis (IFSB) Process to assure appropriate IFSB results (hazard id, analysis and controls)	Outstanding	Disagree Good	Sandia initially classified the large scale LNG project as "Low". While Sandia completed the required 29 CFR 1910.119 Process Safety Management reviews, NNSA intervention was required and argued that the significance of unmitigated consequence warranted a "Moderate" classification, with its associated higher level of rigor in readiness assurance.
			Although the modification and approval of the High Energy Radiation Megavolt Electron Source (HERMES) SAD is notable, it was NNSA who first noted (in October 2007) that the SAD was out of date and not approved by the proper level of management. NNSA intervention was required (see ISS-FO-10/22/2007-12565). The HERMES III SAD is not approved by the proper level of Sandia management.)
	·		Although Sandia has made considerable changes/improvements related to IFSB; the efforts have been primarily in establishing a springboard upon which proper execution of the IFSB process can be achieved. There are still issues related to proper hazard classification of industnal facilities, configuration control of the approved safety basis, and proper implementation & adherence to the credited controls.
6.1.10 Complete ES&H training courses as scheduled.	Outstanding	Agree	The Sandia PEAR discussion accurately reflects progress made in improving training compliance. The compliance rate was expanded by two courses to make it reflect broader ES&H compliance. Compliance rates have increased. Sandia has developed a training accountability process which will be instituted in FY 2009 to promote further gains in compliance rates.
6.1.11 Analyze and trend ESH indicators.	Good	Disagree Satisfactory	Quarterly Performance Analysis was not reviewed by ES&H Council in a timely manner, therefore potential trends were not investigated. In some instance two quarters of information was missed (ISS-FO-9/17/2008-45949).
6.1.12 Improve and implement a formal critique process for unplanned events.	Satisfactory	Agree	While Sandia did implement requirements to perform critiques in FY 2008 in May 2008, the line organization's conduct of critiques is inadequate. Sandia implemented a requirement to invite the SSO Facility Representative (FR) to the critiques. In the first 11 occurrence reports and NOTES, FRs were only invited to 3 critiques. The SSO and Sandia

			POCs discussed this issue and Sandia presented a path forward to improve this issue. This is still in issue. As late as the early September accidental detonation in building 905, the FR was not invited to the critique, the Sandia employees handling the event were not aware of the requirements, and the employees stated that they had no training on how to conduct a critique.
6.1.13 Monitor Near Miss Reports	Good	Disagree Unsatisfactory	Sandia is basing the grade on partial data for the month of July 2008. The target metric is a rolling three month average of near misses as a ratio of total reportable occurrences [ORPS and Non-Occurrence Trackable Events (NOTES)]. The "meets standard" was agreed to be 0.30 based on FY 2007 data. When the complete data for the month of July 2008 is calculated the ratio is 0.23, which is below the criteria for a satisfactory rating. The ratios for August 2008 and September 2008 are 0.21 and 0.24, respectively. When looking at the ratios for the last three months of the fiscal year, Sandia has shown a decline in reporting near misses over FY 2007.
6.1.14 Monitor Late Occurrence Reports.	Outstanding	Disagree Good	The final tally at the end of September 2008 showed that the percentage of on-time categorization of occurrences to be 86 percent (not the 87 percent which is the outstanding mark). 86 percent is "good". In August 2007, Sandia categorized a Significant Category R occurrence which was noted to be late in categorization, late in written notification and late in final report. (reference: NA-SS-SNL-NMSITE-2008-0002 Recurring Occurrence on Unexpected Equipment Condition, Significant Category R). It needs to be emphasized that this occurrence has a much higher significance than the typical occurrence. A significant category R occurrence should have additional rigor applied to it to be able to recognize and categorize the occurrence in a timely manner and to perform the investigation in a timely and aggressive manner. Also, the ES&H Council is comprised of three senior managers, two additional managers and five staff members. Two of the senior managers and one of the additional mangers did not have the FM/D 104 occurrence reporting training. All of the other ES&H Council members have taken this training. Although some of the members took this training, Sandia as a whole collectively failed to handle this occurrence properly in accordance with requirements.
6.1.15 Improve the Days Away, Restricted, or Transferred Case Rate (DART-CR)	Good	Agree	requirements.

6.1.16 Improve the Total Recordable Case Rate (TRCR).	Satisfactory	Agree	
6.1.17 Improve processes that lead to reduction of the number of electrical incidents that have the possibility of serious or fatal injury.	Satisfactory	Agree	
6.1.18 Management Control of Radiation Exposure.	Outstanding	Agree	The first paragraph of the PEAR discussion is accurate in identifying the improvement in the conduct of Administrative Control Level exceedances investigation in a timely fashion. The first part of second paragraph is not accurate in the radiological issues have resulted in FY 2008 NTS reports and Radiological Protection Improvement Reports (RPIR) reports. These issues by themselves do not demonstrate significant weakness but rather radiation protections maturing efforts to self-identify weaknesses within the program and institute a culture of continuous improvement. NNSA encourages this reporting as part of the transition to systems oversight outline in the model contract.
6.1.19 Reduce repeat findings from external assessments.	Unsatisfactory	Agree	Sandia in the PEAR has acknowledged issues that have hampered internal identification and successful correction of issues prior to external and NNSA identifying them as recurring issues. NNSA concurs with the scoring and encourages Sandia to improve internal processes to identify issues as a way to reduce external repeat findings.
6.1.20 Develop and maintain the annual self-assessment schedule.	Outstanding	Disagree Satisfactory	Review of assessments show improvement of assessment quality is necessary.
6.1.21 Improve the effectiveness of lessons learned.	Outstanding	Agree	Agree with rating although Sandia had to have some urging to work some lessons learned.

Tellonners Serul Stelle NISA Comments Comments Assessment Assessment Secure 1	,
STANDARD AND TO THE STANDARD	Town of the same

			- Finally, does not address pre-incident fire strategies, plans, and operating procedures, including use of water or other neutron-moderating materials for fire suppression in or near areas with a potential for inadvertent nuclear criticality accidents; and including fire-fighting techniques to be used during deactivation, decontamination, and demolition phases {Sec. 3.b. (10), 3.b.(11), 3.b.(12), and 3.b.(17)}. PROGRAM IMPLEMENTING PROCEDURES: According to the scoring criteria negotiated during FY 2008 JPRT meetings, Sandia updated and trained on three fire protection administrative procedures (for hot work, impairments, and exemptions & equivalencies). The hot work procedure was also validated. This validation fulfilled the scoring criteria for "Significantly Exceeds Standard of Performance. Most procedures have been kept up to date. However, two procedures have not: "Pre-Fire Planning," (No Number), Effective Date: 2004 [sic]; and "Facility Saivage Plan," (No Number), Effective Date: 2004 [sic]. While there is a "Building Code Enforcement Administrative Procedure," AP-220, Revision 2A, Effective Date: May 2007, there is no similar procedure for the enforcement of the NFPA National Fire Codes (as modified by DOE requirements). There are also no stand-alone procedures for performing fire protection self assessments and Fire Hazards Analyses.
6.2.2 Fire Hazards Analyses will be completed in accordance to the requirements in DOE O 420.1B.	Outstanding	Disagree Satisfactory	Progress has been made to provide the nuclear facilities with Fire Hazards Analyses over the past couple of years. Sandia has made Fire Hazards Analyses as well as its other facility assessments accessible via the ILMS web site. The accessibility of these documents fulfilled the scoring criteria for "Significantly Exceeds Standard of Performance," but certain relevant analyses are still missing from current Sandia Fire Hazards Analyses.
			Both DOE and NFPA require the Fire Hazards Analyses to look at NFPA 101, Life Safety Code, impacts and issues at facilities. But, as a result of movement of the CFPP toward commitment to enforcement of the International Building Code and away from commitment to enforcement of the NFPA National Fire Codes (as modified by DOE requirements), over the last year, the "Life Safety" Section was stripped out of SNL Fire Hazards Analyses and replaced with a Building Code "Egress" Section.
		80	Because many of the Fire Hazards Analyses are missing relevant analyses of water supply capacity and reliability; a complete set of fire scenarios; and

6.2.3 Fire protection			overhaul/salvage/cleanup of smoke damage/area recovery/decontamination costs analyses for Maximum Possible Fire Loss estimates; the plan this year was to upgrade these analyses using the Manzano Nuclear Facility Fire Hazards Analysis as a model. This did not occur. Over the last two years, SNL/NM fire protection (with
assessment reports will be completed in accordance with applicable contractual	Outstanding	Disagree Good	access to SNL/CA fire protection and other matrixed resources including subcontractors) has made significant progress in working off a backlog and keeping to a schedule for building and facility surveys and fire protection assessments.
requirements.	·		The independent assessment of the CFPP by the LLNL Fire Marshal was performed in January 2008 and was used as the self assessment to satisfy the negotiated scoring criteria. SSO agrees that Sandia met the Standard of Performance (Annual Report and scheduled and completed facility fire protection assessments). SSO agrees that Sandia met the Exceeds Standard of Performance for this target, but the submitted notebook did not contain evidence for Significantly Exceeds Standard of Performance. For that reason, SSO disagrees with the Contractor's Rating of Outstanding.
6.2.4 The Baseline Needs Assessment of the fire protection emergency response organization will be updated to reflect the current capabilities.	Good	Agree	The Sandia Baseline Needs Assessment. Part One, Requirements Document, was initiated in January of this year, and was completed and submitted to NNSA in August of this year. The Baseline Needs Assessment, Part Two; Compliance/Conformance to Requirements Document, was completed and submitted to NNSA in September of this year. Both Parts have been found to be acceptable, and NNSA has the action to approve the Baseline Needs Assessment.
			As part of the approval, NNSA will be requesting follow-on actions: an implementation plan to address gaps and compensatory measures and integrate these with Documented Safety Analyses and Fire Hazards Analyses; a NFPA 1500 evaluation of emergency responder occupational safety and health; and a five-year master plan to predict out-year emergency response needs.
6.2.5 Fire protection systems (including fire barriers) will be inspected, tested, and maintained in accordance with applicable contractual requirements.	Outstanding	Agree	

6.2.6 Sandia maintains an adequate number of fire protection engineering staff who meet the qualification and training standards defined in DOE-STD-1066-99.	Outstanding	Disagree Good	According to the negotiated scoring criteria for this target, Sandia was supposed to provide a benchmark against other DOE sites by September 1, 2008, to rate Exceeds Standard of Performance. Sandia failed to provide said benchmark. However, Sandia did provide the evidence for the SNL/NM Fire Marshal succession plan cited in the Significantly Exceeds Standard of Performance scoring criteria. This is the basis for our rating of Good.
6.2.7 Sandia will provide facility specific information to the Kirtland Air Force Base (KAFB) Fire Department to support their preplans for all Sandia Mission Critical buildings and facilities.	Outstanding	Disagree Good	While Sandia met the Significantly Exceeds Standard of Performance under the negotiated scoring criteria for the 37 Mission Critical Facilities, fire department access and lack of fire crew familiarization tours to the nuclear facilities has continued to plague Sandia throughout this fiscal year. That is why this target is rated Good.
6.2.8 Sandia will perform assessments to verify the closure of fire protection corrective actions.	Outstanding	Disagree Unrated	Due to lack of formality in the closure process, this performance target remains unrated.

Performance Measure 6.3

Timely and compliant Safety Basis (SB) documentation is developed for Sandia hazard category (HC)-2 & 3 nuclear facilities.

Performance Tärget		NNSA Agreement	Comments .
6.3.1 Sandia will develop and maintain SB documents for HC-2 & 3 nuclear facilities in accordance with DOE and Sandia requirements. SB document submittals must have adequate technical bases and be submitted and implemented in a timely manner.	Outstanding	Disagree Good	NNSA identified deficiencies in Sandia's unreviewed safety question (USQ) program. HS-64 identified a deficiency in the analysis of the Annular Core Research Reactor (ACRR) that resulted in the declaration of a potential inadequacy in the Safety Analysis.

րջ խ s.__

Reference Samily Salls L. MRSS	Gomments ⇒
Reference Samily Salls MRSS (Lacrette Assessment) - Agreement (Reference)	Section 1997

			
6.4.2 Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board (DNFSB): Sandia will demonstrate, through processes, procedures, performance measures and assessments, that the system to respond to DNFSB requests will fully meet the applicable requirements of DOE M 140.1-1B Interface with the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board.	Good	Agree Good	Sandia provided good support of the interface with the DNFSB. Sandia has supported numerous visits by DNFSB staff, has provided timely responses to DNFSB document requests, and has contributed to the rewrite of the DOE Interface Manual, DOE M 140.1. Sandia's efforts have provided for a collaborative relationship with the DNFSB site representative. And Sandia's support ensured a smooth transition when the DNFSB site representatives changed. Sandia supported 4 visits in FY 2008. Sandia's planning and coordination ensured each visit achieved the DNFSB needs. Sandia supported 6 document requests. Sandia's efforts to maintain candid communication with both the DNFSB and NNSA enabled them to provide timely and appropriate responses. Sandia's support of the revision of the DOE Interface Manual, DOE M 140.1 is a reflection of their ongoing commitment to maintaining a dedicated, productive relationship with the DNFSB.
6.4.3 Startup and Restart: Sandia will effectively implement the requirements of DOE Order 425.1C, Startup and Restart of Nuclear Facilities, in accordance with the approved schedule identified in the Quarterly Startup Notification Report and programmatic commitments.	Outstanding	Disagree Satisfactory	The following issues have contributed to this determination. Sandia has successfully completed three significant readiness activities [Logistics Nuclear Operation (LNO) Phase 1, Phase 1a, and Sandia Pulsed Reactor Facility/Critical Experiment (SPRF/CX)] in this evaluation period. Although Sandia has satisfactory procedural and program guidance, they continue to struggle with execution. The most significant issue is the lack of adequate use of lessons learned. Review of the Site Office Line Management Review reports shows that this is a common repeat theme that was originally identified in 2005. Another common theme is the lack of adequate preparation. This is related to the lack of adequate planning discipline. Sandia typically does not follow the timeline guidance provided to ensure sufficient time for review and preparation. This last minute attitude of Sandia has even drawn the attention of Headquarters personnel.

Performance Measure 6.5

Provide a satisfactory and effective Emergency Management (EM) Program through demonstrated adherence to agreed-upon commitments as identified in the approved SNL/New Mexico (SNL/NM) EM plans and procedures and in accordance with the requirements set forth in DOE O 151.C Comprehensive Emergency Management System. Other elements to be considered: oversight for SNL remote sites, training facilities and equipment. (NA-43/40.1).

considered: o	versight for SNL rem	ote sites, training	facilities and equipment. (NA-43/40.1).
Performance Target	Sandla Self- Assessment Rating	NNSA Agreementi	Comments :
6.5.1 Establish a formal process which results in increased interactions and effectiveness of these interaction with off-site organizations the interface with EM	s at	Agree	Sandia significantly exceeded expectations in this performance target. Sandia successfully coordinated and attempted to provide a Citizens Emergency Response Team (CERT) class for SNL/NM employees; established interfaces with Tribal officials from the Pueblo of Isleta; expanded Joint Information Center interface with City of Albuquerque; and expanded interactions with state organizations to include the New Mexico Department of Public Safety (DPS), the Office of Emergency Management (OEM), and the New Mexico Emergency Management Association (NMEMA). SNL/NM also was able to reestablish the Metro Emergency Management manager meetings or equivalent. As a result of this body of work, SNL/NM has improved the standardization of response and procedures for offsite responding agencies; and has integrated the capabilities of responders to include communications, training and equipment. Offsite agencies are now represented on the planning team for exercises and most offsite agencies participate in more than one SNL/NM training opportunity annually.
6.5.1.1 Develop and implement a process that defines expectations wit regards to the number and typ interactions with site organization	e of	Agree	See 6.5.1 above

	T		
			NNSA conducted an analysis of the performance related issues that were identified at each of these activities and identified six broad categories. These categories include the communications systems (radios); Incident Commander-Protective Force interface and establishment of a joint command post; Joint Information Center (JIC) information flow and FBI roles and responsibilities in the JIC; timeliness of off-site notifications; and maintaining the most current data on EOC status boards during exercises.
			During the Grave Danger and Cohesive Authority exercise, two of the issues raised were related to issues previously identified during the FY 2007 annual exercise. The first had to do with the Consequence Assessment Team response and the second dealt with the verification of the emergency event location.
			The discussion above points to questions regarding the adequacy and sustainability of corrective actions or management actions put in place by SNL/NM in response to previously identified OFIs.
			Following the Grave Danger exercise, SNL/NM Emergency Management conducted a special training session to address the issues that were identified during the exercises – especially those identified in Grave Danger. This training was mainly a response to the issues identified during Grave Danger and did not appear to consider the broad spectrum of issues being observed throughout the year. Although the training was provided and shortly thereafter the annual exercise was conducted and yielded favorable results, NNSA does not believe that SNL/NM has demonstrated sound and sustainable corrective actions based on effective causal analysis without repeat findings/issues being identified from exercise to exercise and more importantly year to year. Furthermore, the situation indicates that the emergency management program's (EMP) Performance Assurance element has not yet fully matured nor has it demonstrated that it is effective in providing this type of analysis.
6.5.2.1 Revise the existing SNL/NM Training Plan and/or Drill/Exercise Guide to clearly define the proficiency requirements for Emergency	Outstanding	Disagree Good	Based on the results and outcomes of some EOC exercises including the need for additional training leading up to the annual exercise, NNSA believes that the basic performance expectations were met in general; however room for improvement exists

Response Organization (ERO) positions and how those requirements are to be met by members of the ERO.			
6.5.2.2 In conjunction with revisions to the existing SNL/NM Training Plan and/or Drill/Exercise Guide to define the proficiency requirements, establish an appropriate record-keeping and reporting requirement to allow periodic management review of proficiency for ERO positions.	Good	Agree	
6.5.3 Sandia and NNSA/SSO Emergency Public Information (EPI) ERO staff will perform all EPI activities required at the Emergency Operations Center in order to ensure a fully compliant and performance-based deployment during emergericy events.	Good	Agree	Despite many performance improvements in this element of the overall EMP, there continues to be issues associated with the processes for ensuring that information flowing out of the EOC to the JIC is handled in a timely manner in support of press releases and press conferences.
6.5.4 Sandia's management of its EM program response activities will result in the implementation and deployment of time-urgent response actions necessary to minimize or prevent unacceptable consequences to	Outstanding	Agree	The NNSA FY 2008 assessment of SNL/NM's EMP yielded no significant findings or issues.

emergency responders, workers and the public.		,	
6.5.5 Sandia will maintain a formal self-assessment program that provides Sandia management and SSO with critical information allowing them to determine the status of EM performance and compliance against applicable order requirements and regulatory standards. Additionally, the self-assessment program will provide data regarding the status, effectiveness and sustainability of planned and completed corrective actions. (NA-40.3.1) (NA-43/40.3)	Good	Agree	There are three distinct types of actions that SNL/NM has used to meet this performance target and they include assessments, surveillances, and improvement actions. Performance regarding the scheduling and conduct of assessments exceeded expectations and performance regarding surveillances significantly exceeded expectations. However, performance expectations regarding improvement actions were not met. 71 percent of the identified improvement actions took longer than six months to resolve. A validation of the remaining corrective action (Finding 8) was attempted during the NNSA FY 2008 EMP Assessment but failed insufficient evidence was gathered to document that the requirements prescribed by the Sandia corporate policy requirements for self-assessments were being met. Sandia has completed self-assessment activities in each of the 15 Emergency Management Program elements as evidenced by review of the Assessment and Surveillance activity logs and exercise reports. The quality and content of the self-assessment activities were further reviewed during the joint NNSA and Sandia Contractor Assurance System assessment conducted during the fourth quarter of the performance period. Self-assessment findings generated by the Emergency Management staff are tracked and managed using the LESA system.
6.5.5.1 Develop and implement a lessons learned program for EM.	Outstanding	Disagree Good	SNL/NM implemented a lessons learned program for the EMP during the second quarter of this performance period. Lessons learned are collected and analyzed for applicability to the SNL/NM EMP and adopted where appropriate. However, it is apparent by the discussion under Target 6.5.2 above that this element of the SNL/NM EMP has not matured to the point that it is providing the desired level of effectiveness.
6.5.5.2 Continue implementation of all components (as defined in DOE Order 151.1C) of the Readiness Assurance program. (NA-	Good	Agree	Sandia has completed full implementation of most elements within the Readiness Assurance program; however, late in the fourth quarter, the Emergency Management staff was continuing work on procedures for issues management and self-assessments. Several of the EPHAs were not updated in
		80	accordance with order requirements, and response to

43/40.3).	NNSA comments on several of the EPHAs had not been received in a timely manner.
	Additionally, as mentioned above, the rate for completing improvement actions within the six month goal was only 29 percent with 71 percent taking longer than six months to complete while assessments and surveillances were being conducted on time.

Other Considerations None

PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE 3 – Removal of Materials from SNL

Sandia will safely and efficiently remove nuclear material from the site in support of the FY 2006 and FY 2007 de-inventory and regulatory commitments.

Adjectival Rating

Summary of Performance

Overall, Sandia demonstrated outstanding performance in Performance Incentive 3

Significant Accomplishments

- To prevent the accumulation of unneeded materials and chemicals (UMC), Sandia developed and successfully launched the "Get Rid of It" website and the Chemical Exchange Program (web-based application) at both the NM and CA sites. Both of these tools have reduced costs to the line customers and avoided both new purchases of chemicals and chemical waste disposal.
- Sandia exceeded (62 percent) the FY 2008 targets (60 percent) to remove unneeded equipment, metals and materials from the inventory.
- The repackaging and preparing for shipment of non-category III materials was completed in eight months four months ahead of schedule.
- The Sandia/SSO team should be commended for their professionalism, dedication and teamwork in successfully accomplishing Performance Measure 3.1.

Opportunity for Improvement

Sandia must demonstrate implementation of DOE Order 461.1A.

Performance Measure 3.1

Sandia will safely and efficiently remove nuclear material from the site in support of the FY 2006 and FY 2007 de-inventory and regulatory commitments.

and in 2007 de-i			
Performance Target	Sandia Self- Assessment Rating		
3.1.1 Sandia will complete removal of all discrete Security Category I and II Special Nuclear Material by December 2007. Sandia will not be held accountable for issues that are beyond their control	Outstanding	Disagree Good	There were numerous factors that impacted Performance Target 3.1.1 that were beyond Sandia's control. However, Sandia personnel did violate a packaging procedure related to O-ring lubrication in the DT-23 containers. This violation did impact the shipment of material. The shipment of the material was required to take place in December 2007 but did not occur until February 18, 2008.

to include DOE			
concurrence and			
cooperation on			
cross-site issues.			
3.1.2 Sandia will remove the final 18 items stored in NMSF. Sandia will remove off-site 10 items (9 RTGs and 1 Pu plate) in FY 2008. Sandia will complete the necessary FY 2008 process steps to package and ship the remaining items (exclusive of the 18 th item). The 18 th item (trainer) will be staged at TA-V until final disposition.	Outstanding	Agree	
3.1.3 Sandia will	Outstanding	Agree	
complete the FY 2008 necessary			
process steps towards an early FY			
2009 off-site			
shipment date of the sealed sources	,		
and fission foils.			
3.1.4 Sandia will stage the Highly Enriched Uranium Scrap at TA-V until final disposition in 3Q FY09.	Outstanding	Agree	
3.1.5 Sandia will complete the necessary steps to request Termination of Safeguards needed to process 4 containers (of 12) of Fresh Enriched Uranium Oxide (FEU) from the	Outstanding	Agree	
Manzano Storage Bunkers and, if granted in a timely manner, remove			
the 4 containers of FEU off-site in			
FY2008.			

Performance Measure 3.2

Sandia will safely and efficiently remove nuclear material from the site in support of the FY 2006 and FY 2007 de-inventory and regulatory commitments

and FY 2007 de-inventory and regulatory commitments.			
Performance :: Target	Sandla Self- Assessment Rating	NNSA 'Agreement	
3.2.1 Sandia will develop a multi- year schedule and complete FY 2008 commitments needed to sort, characterize, and package TRU waste in order to meet Federal Facilities Compliance Act Requirements.	Outstanding	Agree	·
3.2.2 Sandia will continue to work with the KAFB Explosive Ordinance Division on the identification and disposal of excess explosive materials. During FY 2008, a minimum of 20,000 pounds of explosive material, including rocket motors will be sent for disposal.	Outstanding	Agree	Not only did Sandia work closely and effectively with KAFB but Sandia has also demonstrated effective relationships with other agencies. Sandia has developed relationships that have been very helpful in generating alternative sites and avenues to reduce excess explosives and rocket motor inventories. These established relationships will only enhance Sandia's ability to further reduce their inventory.
3.2.3 Sandia will continue to remove unneeded materials and chemicals (UMC), in accordance with the UMC plan developed in FY 2007.	Outstanding	Agree	Sandia did an outstanding job and exceeded the requirements of their plan.

Performance N	Performance Measure 3.3				
	Sandia will provide support to the Office of Secure Transportation (OST) mission work.				
Performance Target	Sandla Self- Assessment Rating	NNSA Agreement	Comments		
3.3.1 Sandia will submit accurate and complete Transportation Shipment Requests for weapons materials to OST 30 days prior to requested delivery date 95-percent of the time, and 60 days prior to requested delivery date 85-percent of the time.	Unsatisfactory	Agree	SNL/NM, SNL/CA and TTR have all struggled to meet the requirements of Performance Target 3.3.1. SNL/CA has taken on a more proactive and responsive approach to meet the requirements. As a result from an SSO assessment, SNL/CA has pursued system changes and has met the requirements for the last three years. However, SNL/NM has not trained their personnel to meet the minimum requirements of DOE Order 461.1A nor has SNL/NM fully embraced the requirements of the order.		
3.3.2 Perform engineering technical analyses, evaluations, and equipment maintenance to support OST mission.	Outstanding	Agree			

Other Considerations None

PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVE 7- Facilities and Project Management

Sandia will manage and operate its facilities and project management functions in an efficient and cost effective manner using the ILMS to fully support successful accomplishment of mission, while protecting the public, the worker, the environment, and national security assets in accordance with the terms and conditions of the contract.

Adjectival (Reding)

Summary of Performance

Sandia performed well in FY 2008 in the areas of Utilities and Energy, Real Property, Project Management, Site Planning, and Maintenance. Sandia exceeded performance standards and improved processes to be more efficient and effective in the areas of Facilities and Project Management. Sandia exhibited outstanding project management for the MESA and Test Capabilities Revitalization (TCR) Phase II projects. Sandia has identified several opportunities to improve its facilities and project management functions to operate in a more efficient and cost effective manner.

Significant Accomplishments

The Center for Integrated Nanotechnologies (CINT) project received the Secretary of Energy's Award of Achievement.

Sandia successfully upgraded its Asset Management System (MAXIMO) at both the New Mexico and California sites.

An electrical power reliability rate of 99.998 percent was achieved with few unplanned outages and no impact to mission.

Sandia reduced its energy usage (per gross square foot) by approximately 8.9 percent for non-exempt buildings.

Staff occupied the new MESA Weapons Integration Facility and the Building 893 was removed from the site, completing MESA, Sandia's largest and most successful construction project to date. The MESA project also achieved Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) certification, received the Secretary of Energy's Achievement Award, and was awarded the Defense Programs Award of Excellence.

Opportunity for Improvement

Sandia recognizes there is room for improvement with respect to Space Utilization, General Plant Project (GPP) Program, Earned Value Management System and the quality of Preliminary Real Estate Plans (PREPs).

Performance Measure 7.1

Sandia shall implement efficient and cost-effective programs in the functional areas of Utilities and Energy, Real Property, Project Management, Site Planning, and Maintenance.

and Energy, Rear	rroperty, rroject	. Management, S	ite Planning, and Maintenance.
Performance Target	Sandla Self- Assessment - Rating -	NNSA Agreement	Comments
7.1.1 Sandia will track, trend, and meet the requirements of the established FY 2008 Real Property Metrics.	Outstanding	Disagree Good	Overall Performance in Real Property is good. Sandia met all the negotiated goals and achieved outstanding targets for four of the six space and building management measures. Sandia's Space Management Plan and Structured Improvement Activities have resulted in Sandia achieving improvements in the space arena.
			Space Management: Opportunities for improvement: The FY 2008 Building Capacity (offices occupied/offices available) reported at 89 percent for the New Mexico site is less than desired.
			Real Property: Opportunities for improvement: Continuous improvement in the Real Estate processes should continue in order to minimize reworks of PREPs submittals.
7.1.2 Maintenance backlogs will be maintained within the accepted industry norm of 4 to 8 weeks	Outstanding	Agree	The average backlog was within the accepted industry range at 5.4 weeks.
7.1.3 Maintenance expenditures will be maintained within the suggested guideline of a minimum of 2 percent of the representative asset replacement plant value.	Outstanding	Agree	Maintenance expenditures for FY 2008 will be just above the 2 percent requirement. Escalation of the Laboratory's replacement plant value over the last several years makes this a challenging metric to meet.
7.1.4 A robust preventive maintenance program will be maintained with elements of reliability/condition-based maintenance incorporated. The ratio of proactive maintenance to the total will be trended with a target of ≥60	Outstanding	Agree	Sandia met the proactive maintenance target.
percent.			

7.4.5.000000000000	Outstand	<u> </u>	D.C. Latter Committee
7.1.5 Sandia will program and manage the General Plant Projects (GPPs) to meet cost goals from Facilities and Infrastructure Recapitalization Program (FIRP) and Readiness in Technical Base and Facilities (RTBF). Costing plans will be renegotiated for GPP funding received after site splits have been determined. Federal direct contracted projects planning will be included.	Outstanding	Disagree Good	Rating should be "good." The costing for FIRP program, including the line item, was 72 percent. Sandia exceeded the costing targets for D&D, O&M restoration, and Deferred Maintenance. The FIRP Recap GPP costing was 78 percent excluding the Federal Directed Contract that had issues with the contractor. The FIRP Planning costing was below expected levels due to uncertainty of out—year funding levels. Sandia costed approximately 72 percent of the non-FIRP GPP funding received by the end of January 2008. Ninety-four percent of Sandia's GPP's and Major Renovations were within (or projected to be within) cost, scope and schedule.
7.1.6 Sandia will develop and implement quality comprehensive master planning processes and documentation (Long-Range Development Plan) to assist and guide Sandia's management in implementing a strategic vision.	Outstanding	Disagree Good	Rating should be "Good". Sandia's Long-Range Development Planning goals appear to have diverged from NNSA efforts to reduce the overall footprint, reduce overall costs, capture program use in NNSA facilities, and facilitate full cost recovery. Sandia goals include developing a new campus center external to the existing footprint. Since many of the products from FY 2008 planning processes (products mentioned in the PEAR) remain draft or internal to Sandia management, it is difficult for NNSA to agree with a rating of "Outstanding".
7.1.7 Corrective actions and findings will be implemented as required by the self assessment and lessons learned conducted on the Ten Year Site Plan (TYSP) in FY 2007.	Outstanding	Agree	There has been much improvement in timeliness and responsiveness related to this target. Sandia had six observations and made changes to address them.
7.1.8 The TYSP will be aligned with DOE/NNSA and Sandia mission assignment requirements and will meet DOE	Outstanding	Agree	Sandia was able to respond when NNSA/HQ revised the process for TYSP approval. Headquarters reviewed the document and provided 14 comments back to Sandia. Sandia addressed these comments and submitted the final TYSP to Headquarters on September 2, 2008.

Application (MESA) Weapons Integration Facility will receive Critical Decision 4 by May 19, 2008. The MESA Project will be complete and closed out, including demolition of the Compound Semiconductor Research Laboratory, by September 30,			30, 2008 milestone.
2008. 7.1.12 The cumulative Cost Performance Index and Schedule Performance Index will exceed 0.95 for all Line Item and Environmental Restoration projects with approved baselines.	Outstanding	Agree	The Cumulative Cost Performance Index (CPI) in August ranged from 1.00 to 1.46 for all projects. The cumulative Schedule Performance Index (SPI) in August ranged from 1.00 to 1.01. In addition, Sandia's implementation of DOE O 413.3A was reviewed by NNSA/HQ and received a perfect score on all 7 elements for the project management. However, there are some opportunities for improvement such as; the Heat Systems Modernization project (HSM) did not meet the CD-3B milestone, HSM missed 5 of 12 level 2 milestones, and the FY 2007 HSM accounts were slow in closing out.
7.1.13 Sandia will analyze the GPP Program to address areas needing improvement. A mutually acceptable plan will be provided to the SSO in 1st Quarter FY 2008.	Good	Disagree Satisfactory	Rating should be Satisfactory. Sandia and NNSA did not come to an agreement on the GPP Improvement Plan during the entire reporting period. However, Sandia did modify their GPP Program Plan to include many improvements. NNSA has reviewed this plan and has found it to be acceptable.
7.1.14 Sandia will implement Energy Management program lessons learned from the comparative analysis (2007 benchmarking effort) based on priority and budget availability.	Good	Agree	Sandia has implemented two lessons learned that were identified through participating in the Energy Efficiency Working Group.
7.1.15 Sandia will input the 2007 energy usage information into the	Outstanding	Disagree Good	NNSA rates this target as "Good". The goal was met in a quality manner.

Energy Management System data base by November 15, 2007. 7.1.16 Sandia will present the results of the comparative analysis on energy management and prepare a lessons learned report to share with the participating member labs.	Outstanding	Disagree Good	NNSA rates this target as "Good". The comparison analysis report and lessons learned were shared with the Energy Efficiency Working Group through email. For an outstanding rating, our expectations would be to meet and discuss the report and lessons learned with participating laboratories.
7.1.17 Sandia will install two on-site Renewable Energy systems, one of which is net-metered.	Outstanding	Disagree Good	NNSA rates this target as "Good". Sandia installed two net-metered renewable energy systems. For an outstanding rating, NNSA expectations would have been the installation of more than two systems.
7.1.18 Sandia will obtain by May 30, 2008, an initial proposal for SNL/NM from an Energy Service Company (ESCO) for a comprehensive energy survey, a feasibility report for on-site renewable energy generation, water conservation measures, an advance metering program covering all electric, thermal, and water consumption, as well as a pollution prevention opportunity assessment and implementation plan.	Outstanding	Agree	The ESCO reported that there was not enough energy reducing projects with a good payback to warrant a project which is an indication of the fine job Sandia is doing in energy conservation.
7.1.19 Sandia, based on a review of the ESCO's initial proposal, will provide recommendations, on which energy	Outstanding	Disagree No Rating	See comment above. Since ESCO did not submit any proposals this effort could not be performed.

conservations measures should be pursued, as well as which protocols should be used for operations and maintenance and monitoring and verification by July 31, 2008.			
7.1.20 Sandia will investigate the possibility of obtaining an initial proposal from an ESCO at the SNL/California site. This initial proposal will be developed in conjunction with the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL) as part of Phase 2 of the Energy Savings Performance Contract currently being developed. An initial proposal or an expected date for the initial proposal will be provided by July 31, 2008.	Outstanding	Disagree No rating	This effort was put on hold due to the potential of transfer to another landlord.
7.1.21 Energy usage per gross square foot, excluding SSO approved exempt buildings, is 1.0% less than the previous year.	Outstanding	Agree	Sandia's energy reduction was 8.9 percent.

Other Considerations None

Sandia will manage and operate its operational safeguards and security and counterintelligence functions in an efficient and cost effective manner using the ILMS to fully support successful accomplishment of mission, while protecting the public, the worker, the environment, and national security assets in accordance with the terms and conditions of the contract.

Opportunity for Improvement

S&S: S&S transition planning represents an area that yielded several areas of concern for NNSA. Originally, the expectations for a transition plan to be developed were included in the FY 2007 Annual Operating Plan (AOP). NNSA further expected that Sandia would execute the transition plan in FY 2008. However, by the end of FY 2007 Sandia was not able to develop an adequate and comprehensive plan. Transition planning continued well into FY 2008 and was monitored under a follow on milestone in the FY 2008 AOP. At the beginning of FY 2008, Sandia was still working to identify staffing and operational requirements relative to the new site protection strategy. Sandia made an attempt to provide an acceptable transition plan; primarily the plan addressed only Protective Force and Physical Security. The original plan did not address TTR or other significant S&S programs, e.g., human reliability, barriers, access controls, searches, etc. This shortcoming with respect to the scope of the plan necessitated significant engagement by the NNSA/SSO S&S staff. The NNSA requested and Sandia agreed to initiate monthly status meetings to ensure the forward progress of this effort was correctly established and maintained through completion. In the fourth quarter of the FY 2008 performance period, Sandia continues to analyze their current staffing in terms of overall numbers and more importantly skill sets necessary to meet the new and reduced protection strategy requirements. While some parts of the transition plan have been executed others remain in progress.

As the Sandia contractor assurance system moves toward full maturity, the overall condition of the technical base becomes more and more critical. While NNSA does not have any specific data directly associated with the technical base that raise concerns in S&S performance, NNSA believes that there are plausible leading indicators that should be analyzed and monitored to preclude potential future performance issues within the S&S program. First, NNSA is concerned with seemingly high attrition and turnover rates within the S&S organization. Current corporate staffing documentation indicates that the attrition rate for Sandia S&S during the first three quarters of FY 2008 to be approximately 11.4 percent for an estimated staff population of 308. This represents the third highest rate across all Sandia organizations. The data also revealed that Sandia S&S is sixth in terms of transfers out of the organization and second with respect to separations. In addition to the corporate level data, the "Sandia Site Office/Sandia National Laboratories FY 2008 End-of-Year Budget Execution Review" presented to NA-70 on September 12, 2008 reflected the total S&S attrition rate, including protective force to be 16.3 percent with a staff population of 283. NNSA/SSO has asked that Sandia conduct an analysis of this particular condition so that its impact on the overall security program, from a training, development, recruitment and retention perspective can be better understood by NNSA. In conjunction with the attrition rates being reported by S&S, NNSA is also concerned that although Sandia S&S has established a "best in class" S&S training program, its effective application of this training amongst S&S professionals, especially some managers, is questionable considering some of the issues raised during the recently completed Program Management self-assessment which was shadowed by a representative from NNSA. It was observed that the individual development plans and other training-related documents for some of the managers currently in place within the S&S organization did not appear to adequately characterize training needs to ensure the affected managers were qualified in the appropriate subject matter areas for which they have responsibility. There are several underlying issues that may be contributing to this condition such as reorganization and realignment of work assignments of the S&S staff due to the changes

resulting from special nuclear material de-inventory activities that have been accomplished during this performance period.

During this performance period, communication between the NNSA/SSO S&S office and the Sandia S&S offices has become less effective and as a result, there have been some indications that the overall effectiveness of the S&S program may be affected. NNSA/SSO and Sandia S&S Senior managers have met and discussed this area of concern with the intent of identifying underlying issues and contributing factors that seem to be compromising the healthy and successful relationship between the NNSA and Sandia for the past several years. Both sides recognize, understand and appreciate the importance of this relationship and the communications between both offices and have begun to collaborate on a plan to ensure highly effective communications are restored and maintained into the future.

While not specifically identified as a performance measure or target in the FY 2008 PEP, it has been noted on several occasions that Sandia has quality and content issues within the S&S organization when it comes to S&S program documentation. In several instances during this performance period key S&S program documents and AOP deliverables were provided to NNSA after being reviewed and signed off by the appropriate staff members and managers. Upon review, NNSA noted these documents had serious issues regarding quality and content. As an example, Sandia provided NNSA with the FY 2009 Implementation Plan (formerly referred to as the Annual Operating Plan) on the established due date; however, NNSA's review of the plan revealed several significant issues with the content of the plan that needed to be resolved before the plan could be approved and sent on to NNSA Headquarters (HQ). As a result, the plan was formally rejected by NNSA and returned to Sandia for correction. Meanwhile, NNSA had to request and negotiate an extension to the original due date established by NNSA HQ in order to give Sandia additional time necessary to revise this important program document. In addition to those quality related concerns mentioned above, other quality issues have also been noted during the performance period with respect to initial corrective action plans and deviations submitted to NNSA for consideration. In several instances requests for deviations are rejected by NNSA and returned to Sandia for rework. Sandia currently has metrics in place to gauge their performance and quality with regard to initial CAPs; however, there are no metrics in place for measuring the overall quality and content of deliverables being generated by the Sandia S&S organization. Other examples of quality-related issues can be seen in the FY 2008 PEAR submittal. For example, there were errors regarding the discussion on event-based versus assessment based approach, the description of activities under the Material Control and Accountability topic was limited to one very specific event, and ratings were assigned to a target (8.2.3) that because of a lack of activity in this area during the performance period should have been "not rated." The PEAR is intended to be the key document that illustrates to the NNSA how well Sandia is managing and assessing its performance against the requirements of the contract and should really showcase the quality of work that the laboratory is capable of performing.

Counterintelligence:

(b)(2)High

(b)(2)High

8.1.2.1 The contractor Site Annual Operating Plan (AOP) is developed and submitted for approval in accordance with established time lines.	Good	Agree	The AOP was in fact submitted on time; however, because of significant quality and content issues it was rejected by NNSA and returned to Sandia for revision. As a result, the document could not be submitted to NNSA HQ in accordance with the original due date and an extension had to be requested thereby making the submittal late.
8.1.2.2 Successfully accomplish all performance targets contained in the approved FY 2008 Safeguards & Security (S&S) AOP. (SSO-1) (PIP-1.1.1/1.1.2/1.2.1/1.2.2/1.2.3/1.2.4/1.2.6/1.2.7/1.2.8/1.2.9/1.2.11).	Outstanding	Agree	At the conclusion of this performance period, Sandia has completed approximately 96 percent of all milestones and deliverables in accordance with established schedules in the FY 2008 AOP. The four site deliverables that were not met included: 1.2.2.3.2 (inspections of security areas), 1.2.4.1.4 (monthly incident status reports), 1.2.1.5.5 material asset tracking system for PF) and 1.2.7.1.2 annual MC&A plan).
8.1.2.3 The contractor FS-20 Budget Submission is performance-based and clearly links resources to outcomes.	Outstanding	Agree	
8.1.3 S&S Staffing: Sustain efforts toward managing the security program challenges of personnel recruitment, retention, development and training. (SSO-2).	Satisfactory	Agree	Current corporate staffing documentation indicates that the attrition rate for Sandia S&S during the first three quarters of FY 2008 to be approximately 11.4 percent for an estimated staff population of 308. This represents the third highest rate across all Sandia organizations. The data also revealed that Sandia S&S is sixth in terms of transfers out of the organization and second with respect to separations. In addition to the corporate level data, the "Sandia Site Office/Sandia National Laboratories FY 2008 End-of-Year Budget Execution Review" presented to NA-70 on September 12, 2008 reflected the total S&S attrition rate, including protective force to be 16.3 percent with a staff population of 283. The higher than normal attrition rates in the Protective Force are due in part, to de-inventory and the change in site protection strategy.
8.1.4 S&S Replacement Project: Complete Safeguards & Security project-	Outstanding	Agree	The SSRP has been managed and executed in a manner that has resulted in several major project milestones being completed in accordance with the project schedule and within scope and cost. Additionally, cost savings of approximately \$2.3M

			Personnel Security implemented HSPD-12
			Personnel Security implemented many unprogrammed but directed changes in the access authorizations area to include expanded drug testing.
			; (b)(2)High
			Sandia California and the Tonopah Test Range received special limited scope surveys. (b)(2)High
			(b)(2)High NNSA also conducted surveys at Sandia's remote locations in Washington, D.C., Minnesota and Kauai. Each of these programs received Satisfactory composite ratings.
8.2.3 All security topical and subtopical areas are rated as Satisfactory during NNSA or DOE oversight inspections.	Outstanding	Disagree Not Rated	Despite the performance shortcomings discussed in Target 8.2.2 above, Sandia has maintained an effective protection program. However, no inspections were performed by either DOE or NNSA HQ during this performance period; therefore, this target and its subordinate targets should not have been rated.
8.2.3.1 Protective Force Program topical area is rated Satisfactory.	Outstanding	Disagree Not Rated	
8.2.3.2 Physical Security Program topical area is rated Satisfactory.	Outstanding	Disagree Not Rated	
8.2.3.3 Unclassified Visits and Assignments by Foreign Nationals topical area is rated Satisfactory.	Outstanding	Disagree Not Rated	

8.2.3.4 Information Security Program topical area is rated Satisfactory.	Good	Disagree Not Rated	
8.2.3.5 Personnel Security Program topical area is rated Satisfactory.	Satisfactory	Disagree Not Rated	
8.2.3.6 Materials Control & Accountability topical area is rated Satisfactory.	Good	Disagree Not Rated	
8.2.3.7 Program Management topical area is rated Satisfactory.	Outstanding	Disagree Not Rated	

Performance Measure 8.3

Plan for, resource, and implement the 2005 Design Basis Threat (DBT) policy and security modernization improvement program. DNS Goals 2 and 3: Security complex modernization, infrastructure recapitalization, and transformation.

- Target	Sandia Self- Assessment Rating		Comments
8.3.1 Implement an effective 2005 DBT policy for non-Category I Special Nuclear Material.	Outstanding	Disagree Good	While Sandia S&S has completed its portion of this corporate effort, Sandia in general, has not met the performance expectation for this target. As a result, NNSA disagrees with the rating of Outstanding. Sandia S&S developed a unique and effective methodology to characterize Threat Level designations for facilities at NNSA sites. The Sandia approach and methodology has been endorsed by NA-10 and NA-70 and is being considered as an NNSA-wide standard. Using this methodology Sandia S&S completed the security analysis of its own mission critical facilities. The Sandia Program Office was tasked by NNSA to provide final programmatic impacts in March 2008. To date, this deliverable has not been provided. Therefore the plan remains incomplete. Interim guidance from NA-10 and NA-70 pending the determination of final programmatic impacts is to characterize Sandia as a Threat Level 3 facility requiring a Threat Level 4 protection strategy.

8.3.1.1 Develop and provide to Defense Nuclear Security (DNS) a comprehensive DBT implementation plan for non-	Outstanding	Disagree Good	See discussion above under Target 8.3.1.
Category I Special Nuclear Material. 8.3.1.2 Unless provided an exception by DNS, fully resource the 2005 DBT	N/A	Agree	
Implementation Plan for non- Category I Special Nuclear Material in the FY 2008 budget and out year budget requests.			
8.3.2 Develop a site security modernization and infrastructure recapitalization program.	Outstanding	Disagree Not Rated	Although this target was identified as a DNS goal, it did not apply to Sandia during FY 2008 as a result of SNM deinventory activities. It was included in the AOP for FY 2008 as a placeholder in the event a project was identified that met this particular goal. A review of Sandia's AOP Milestone reporting tool reflects that there were no deliverables provided for this target. Throughout the performance period, Q1 – Q4 were reflected as "N/A.".
8.3.2.1 Develop and provide to DNS a comprehensive site modernization and infrastructure recapitalization plan	Outstanding	Disagree Not Rated	See Target 8.3.2
8.3.2.2 Provide a cost-benefit analysis of proposed alternatives to be funded in FY 2010-2013 (Future-Years Nuclear Security Program).	Good	Disagree Not Rated	See Target 8.3.2

8.3.3 Assist in the development and implementation of NNSA's Complex Transformation (previously "Complex 2030").	Agree	
--	-------	--

Performance Measure 8.4

Counterintelligence Program - Detect, deter, and mitigate Foreign Intelligence collections and espionage efforts and international terrorists' threats against NNSA personnel, classified and

other sensitive programs, and information architecture. (SSO-4).

Performance Target	Sandia Self- Assessment Rating	SSO Agreement	Comments
8.4.1 Counterintelligence Training and Awareness Program – Provide a counter- intelligence training and awareness program that will assist personnel with their understanding of the general risks posed by foreign intelligence services and international terrorist organizations.	Outstanding	Agree	
8.4.2 Counterintelligence Investigations Program – Implement and manage an effective counterintelligence investigations program that addresses the latest specific threats through the investigation and assessment of any suspicious activities that may occur	Outstanding	Agree	

during contacts between NNSA employees and persons from sensitive countries or international terrorist organizations.			
8.4.3 Counterintelligence Information and Special Technologies Program – Implement and manage a counterintelligence information and special technologies program capable of identifying and mitigating cyberbased threats against NNSA.	Outstanding	Agree	
8.4.4 Counterintelligence Analysis Program –	Satisfactory	Agree	(b)(2)High
Provide a counterintelligence analysis program capability that can effectively analyze, compile and provide appropriate threat information to senior leadership in NNSA, Department of Homeland Security and other elements of the U.S. intelligence community.			
Other Conside None	rations		

PERFORMANCE OBJECTIVE 9 – Business System Performance

Sandia will manage and operate its Business functions in an efficient and cost effective manner using the ILMS to fully support successful accomplishment of mission, while protecting the public, the worker, the environment, and national security assets in accordance with the terms and conditions of the contract. Business functions include: Information Technology, Cyber Security, Supply Chain, Human Resources, and Finance.

Adjectival Rating

Summary of Performance

Effective business programs and functions are integrated into all work activities throughout Sandia to maintain effective and efficient operations and support mission objectives. Performance in the areas of Information Technology (IT), Cyber Security, Supply Chain, and Contractor Human Resources were measured through negotiated performance objectives matrices developed for each of these programs, in conjunction with a subjective assessment of their overall policy area program performance. The Finance program was evaluated through objectives and measures established by the NNSA Chief Financial Officer (CFO). To sustain improvement and mature work processes, Sandia continued their effort to seek or maintain third party certification in several business areas. Additionally, Sandia demonstrated complex-wide leadership with implementation of initiatives in cyber security and supply chain. Sandia exceeded the standard of performance by deploying integrated business processes throughout its infrastructure in support of mission and other work activities. While Sandia did not significantly exceed performance in all business functions, NNSA rated business system overall performance as outstanding.

Significant Accomplishments

Sandia should be commended for their effort on a number of business fronts during this fiscal year. They addressed their extensive computer footprint by starting a multi-pronged reduction in the second quarter. During the evaluation period, they eliminated 3000 desktops and "virtualized" 177 servers. This effort reduced overall IT costs while also limiting their cyber security exposure. This effort is expected to continue in FY 2009. Additionally, the Information Solutions and Service Center achieved Capabilities Maturity Model Index Level 4 certification. This is just one of several corporate centers receiving, or recertifying, the quality of their processes through a third party appraiser. Sandia also maintained their International Organization for Standardization (ISO) certification for Computing Support, Telephone and Network organizations. Sandia made impressive strides through complex-wide initiatives with the development of the Enterprise Secure Network and its resident security infrastructure, and their continuing contribution to cyber forensics and incident remediation work. Other cyber accomplishments of note include Sandia's implementation of two-factor authentication for all remote network access and an intrusion detection system capable of monitoring internal network traffic. Sandia achieved an outstanding 57.2 percent in overall awards to Small Businesses

which well exceeded their goal of 48 percent. However, there is some room for improvement in the subcategories of HubZone and Veteran owned small business. Sandia awarded three Staff Augmentation contracts, each with a separate focus, to three small businesses. This was a tremendous accomplishment with very little disruption to day-to-day activities. During the transition period, they were able to maintain a 99 percent retention rate among staff augmentation personnel. It is anticipated that the new awards will provide efficiencies as well as a cost avoidance of approximately \$1,000,040/year. They also won a Fleet Services Safety partnership Award from New Mexico Department of Public Safety. Sandia's Finance functional area received the NNSA Administrator's first ever Award for Excellence in Internal Controls and were recognized by the Office of Field Financial Management for their Contractor Assurance System implementation.

Opportunity for Improvement

Two areas providing opportunity for improvement in the Business measure are in cyber security and the communication of critical business decisions. (b)(2)High

(b)(2)High

(b)(2)High

For

communications, Sandia needs to obtain NNSA advance coordination or approval, as may be contractually required, while providing sufficient substantiation for proposed actions and adequate processing time. This advanced coordination or approval is particularly important on critical business decisions that are precedence setting or have potential complex-wide implications.

Performance Mo	easure 9.1					
Business System: Business programs and functions are integrated into all work activities						
			t operations and support mission objectives.			
Performance Target	Sandia Self-	NNSA Agreement	Comments/			
9.1.1 Sandia will develop and implement an effective and efficient program for Information Technology in accordance with applicable Federal requirements. Performance will be measured through a variety of means to include the established goals in the negotiated performance	Outstanding	Agree	Sandia's disaster recovery initiatives are to be commended for meeting all milestones. Backup procedures are critical for ensuring systems are restored in order of priority as well as testing contingency plans for reliance. Sandia has followed through with their testing and bringing up their servers to ensure continuing, uninterrupted operations. NNSA would like to see more progress towards reaching the goals addressed by the Energy Policy Act of 2005, Executive Orders, and the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR). Sandia has made progress toward these goals, by their server/workstation consolidation efforts. However, Sandia needs to make more improvements to eliminate excess equipment.			
measures objectives matrix for Information Technology.			In May 2008, NNSA placed a procurement hold on an \$8 million high performance computer acquisition known by Sandia as Project Y. NNSA placed a hold			

established goals in the negotiated performance measures matrix for Cyber Security.			was rejected by NNSA. A revised implementation plan was not submitted by Sandia until September 18, 2008 – more than a year later, despite requests from NNSA for a status update on the implementation plan on January 2, April 21, and July 29, 2008. As a result, Sandia's progress over the past year on the requirements identified in the letter was minimal. In light of the excessive workload imposed on the Sandia Cyber Security staff in 2008 through numerous external inspections and the introduction of a completely new set of cyber security policy requirements from NNSA, NNSA concurs with Sandia's overall proposed rating of Good for Performance Target 9.1.3. (b)(2)High
			(O/(2): "gii
9.1.3.1 Sandia ensures that managers, cyber security professionals, and users are made aware of the applicable requirements and security risks associated with their activities through the dissemination of Corporate Process Requirements and ensuring that organizational personnel are adequately trained to carry out their assigned cyber security duties and responsibilities.	Good	Agree	
9.1.3.2 Sandia must: (1) establish an operational incident handling capability for all systems that includes adequate preparation, detection, analysis, containment, recovery, and user response activities; and (2) track, document and report incidents to	Outstanding	Agree	

appropriate organizational officials and/or authorities			
9.1.3.3 Sandia must provide effective oversight of the tools, techniques, mechanisms, and personnel used to provide information system security, to include periodic assessments.	Good	Agree	
9.1.3.4 Sandia regularly scans all systems for vulnerabilities and performs timely maintenance to mitigate or eliminate new vulnerabilities as they are identified.	Outstanding	Agree	
9.1.4 Sandia takes appropriate actions to research and respond to negative trends indicated by the cyber security performance measures matrix.	Good	Agree	
9.1.5 Sandia successfully completes all milestones contained in the approved FY 2008 Cyber Security Annual Operating Plan.	Outstanding	Agree	
9.1.6 Sandia will develop and implement an effective and efficient Supply Chain management program in accordance with applicable Federal requirements. Performance will be measured through a variety of means to include the established goals in	Outstanding	Agree	Sandia earned an overall rating of "Outstanding" for this measure. They received equivalent "outstanding" scores in 18 of 20 metrics in the Supply Chain objective Matrix. No rating in the Matrix received lower than a satisfactory. Sandia Procurement recertified International Organization for Standardization (ISO) 9001:2000 and an OMB-123 Audit of Fleet Service concluded effective operational controls. Finally, Sandia Property achieved an "Outstanding" on a local Accountability Statement exercise with an overall Sandia response rate of over 96 percent. Although an overall Supply Chain performed exceptionally, certain discrepancies were noted.

deviation from past customs or practices. Sandia's efforts to initiate a seniority based right of first refusal lay off to union employees was not currently in any of the three collective bargaining agreements, offered an incentive that was more generous than currently offered at any other NNSA site and independently offered an incentive that could set a precedence for other NNSA sites. Although Sandia *may* ultimately be able to demonstrate the additional costs were justified given the unique facts and circumstances, they acted without prior NNSA knowledge.

 Although Sandia provided NNSA/SSO and NNSA HQ with a timely presentation of their proposed labor negotiation approach, they did not provide timely or complete information regarding the impact of their approach on long-term costs or reasonableness of resulting benefits, nor did they provide alternatives to the approach after NNSA repeatedly advised that the approach was not acceptable because it increased long-term liabilities. NNSA acknowledges numerous meetings with Sandia; however, written supporting documentation from Sandia to facilitate an NNSA decision was lacking. For instance, in May NNSA requested Sandia to provide the benefit value impact for each proposed benefit change. In June, NNSA reminded Sandia that a complete and thorough package was necessary for consideration and suggested all the information be consolidated into one package. NNSA further explained that the package should include but not be limited to items such as valuations, planned documents, full actuarial developments, a contact point, impact to long-term liabilities and benefit value with explanations, explain what each and all the changes would cost, and articulate why the changes are in NNSA's best interests.

While Sandia facilitated discussions between the actuaries for NNSA and Sandia concerning its cost and liability estimates, there continued to be disagreements over the substantiating data and NNSA communicated to Sandia that the pension approach was not acceptable to NNSA. During the discussions, NNSA also indicated if Sandia wanted to extend the bargaining agreements, further discussions could take place but NNSA could not currently approve the pension approach. Despite the late receipt of the Sandia data, there had been no other proposed alternatives relative to pension issues.

 Sandia's annual Compensation Increase Plan (CIP) submittal to the NNSA did not comport to the direction of the NNSA/SSO Manager. As a result,

		·	
			the Sandia proposal did not include compensation data in their justification for the FY 2009 CIP proposal that the NNSA/SSO Manager specifically stated should be included in the FY 2009 CIP proposal. After the CIP decision was rendered by NNSA, Sandia provided information questioning the validity of the approach required by NNSA 11 months earlier. Sandia has taken some initiative to reduce its
			Hewitt Benefit value for existing employees to meet the range of acceptability of 105 (i.e., no more than 5 percent above the comparator for other organizations). While this initiative is expected to produce little impact with an expected employer paid index value in 2010 of 119.6 compared to the most recent index of 121.6, the initiative follows a Secretarial initiative to offer DOE contractor employees benefits that are competitive with market comparators while reducing the government's long-term liability. These are positive, first steps, Sandia can build upon to pursue an overall value of employee benefits consistent with DOE Order requirements.
			While HR does not directly oversee the management of the workforce in the Manufacturing Science & Technologies Service Center (MS&TSC), Sandia was not efficient in the management of its MS&TSC workforce. This inefficiency lead to increased idle time, questionable subcontracting, and increased costs. NNSA estimated idle time equivalent to approximately 12 employees, while other employees were working overtime, and Sandia was outsourcing approximately \$10M to \$20M without written documentation for such decisions. NNSA acknowledges Sandia is now giving this matter attention and is taking steps to reduce idle time in the machining operations and make those operations as efficient as possible. Although NNSA recognized the idle time costs, the cost allowability determination stated that Sandia could have applied more effective management which could have mitigated the conditions that resulted in these costs. Notwithstanding cost allowability, the management of the MS&TSC offers opportunity for improvement.
9.1.9 Sandia will establish and monitor performance metrics to ensure that Contractor Human Resource programs and projects are operating within	Outstanding	Disagree Good	Sandia did not "significantly exceed" the standard of performance in all areas. They did exceed the standard of performance in many areas but there is room for improvement. Sandia's self-assessment rating is based primarily on the Objectives Matrix but other factors contributing to the health of the Human Resource program are evaluated and a consideration of all the factors resulted in an overall rating of "Good"
		122	

established control levels and in accordance with applicable Federal requirements. When negative trends are noted, Sandia will take effective corrective action. SSO will have access to these metrics and be able to measure and monitor Sandia performance.			Sandia has made great strides with populating and organizing ILMS in the HR area; however, HR has not addressed all the criteria identified in Sandia's five Corporate Process Requirements. Therefore, SSO cannot adequately measure and monitor Sandia's performance. For example, Sandia has not adequately documented trends and any necessary follow-up corrective actions or reassessment of risks through the monitoring of its performance measures. It is not apparent that HR is using ILMS as a management and/or decision-making tool. While SSO recognizes the sensitivity associated with some HR data, not all data is sensitive. While Sandia has performed benchmarking, there is not significant evidentiary data to support positive and sustainable results. The return on investment has not clearly been demonstrated. In addition, benchmarking plans and rationale for organizations selected for benchmarking are not documented in ILMS. SSO acknowledges the efforts Sandia has made to demonstrate ILMS through its talented HR professionals.
9.1.10 Sandia will develop and implement an effective and efficient program for Finance in accordance with applicable Federal requirements. Performance will be measured through a	Outstanding	Disagree Good	Sandia's hard work in this area has been recognized by NNSA over the past year. They received the NNSA Administrator's first ever Award for Excellence in Internal Controls and were recognized by the Office of Field Financial Management for their Contractor Assurance System implementation. Additionally, Sandia continued to meet or exceed most performance measures. Although overall they have a strong program certain areas could use additional attention.
variety of means to include the established goals in the negotiated performance measures for Finance.			Sandia received an overall unsatisfactory rating in two areas: 1) Requests for funding determinations are submitted in accordance with prescribed guidance and are of sufficient quality to achieve concurrence/approval; and 2) At each fiscal month end, ensure that no legal cost violations occur in regards to the management of appropriations at the IC, Allottee, or Departmental levels for which controls have been established.
			Sandia has continuously had Obligation Control Level (OCL) and administrative overcosts throughout the fiscal year. A memo was sent by the OFFM CFO requesting Sandia develop a corrective action plan identifying what course of action and improvements Sandia will implement to ensure reported costs will not exceed OCLs. A Corrective Action Plan was developed and submitted by Sandia.
			Sandia had a fourth quarter balancing edit in August caused by Sandia processing a correction entry prior to it being reflected in their AFP. During the August Supplemental reporting process, the WFO team

notified Sandia that if they processed the entry it would result in a balancing edit. The entries processed by Sandia have the same accounting effect as a refund (de-obligation from one fund and re-obligation to another fund).

The failure of compliance with government standard business apparating procedures as it relates to Project.

The failure of compliance with government standard business operating procedures as it relates to Project Y was primarily IT. However, finance has culpability as they should have been the "safety net" for an acquisition of this size and ensured the procurement of Project Y complied with all requirements.

In order to receive an outstanding rating, Sandia must follow protocol, be free of systemic issues that can cause potential legal and civil penalties, and have no unsatisfactory ratings throughout the fiscal year. As a result of Sandia's issues, an Outstanding rating is not warranted in FY 2008.

Other Considerations

Sandia Corporation will manage the Laboratories through the utilization of the ILMS/CAS, Contractor Accountability and Standards Management to ensure that the programs are managed in an effective and efficient manner, continuously improving, and support the accomplishment of mission.

Adjustive Reting (tru)()

Performance Measure 10.1

Continue to improve implementation, use, communication, and assurance results from the ILMS/CAS in accordance with Clause H-3 "Contractor Assurance System," of the contract.

ILMS/CAS in acco	ordance with Clau	ise H-3 "Contrac	tor Assurance System," of the contract.
Performance Target	Sandia Self- Assessment Rating	NNSA Agreement	Comments
10.1.1 Continue to seek third-party certification of the ILMS in accordance with the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) 9001 elements of the FY 2008 Enterprise Transformation Plan delivered on September 21, 2007.	Outstanding	Agree	Sandia is effectively executing the phases as defined in the FY 2008 Enterprise Transformation Plan (ETP) and is on schedule to seek ISO registration of the Integrated Laboratory Management System (ILMS)/ Contractor Assurance System (CAS) in 2009.
10.1.2 Complete the FY 2008 Enterprise Transformation Plan (to be delivered on September 21, 2007) milestones related to ILMS on schedule. This will include closing transparency gaps and effectiveness gaps identified by the Sandia selfassessment and SSO in FY 2007.	Outstanding	Disagree Good	The target focuses on closing transparency gaps and effectiveness gaps identified by the Sandia self-assessment and NNSA in FY 2007. Discussions between NNSA, Sandia and a member of the Sandia Board Governance Committee in FY 2007 resulted in recognition that the ETP was not being used as a planning tool but instead to status progress. It is commendable Sandia delivered the first work product reflecting the formalized project management structure, although this was late in the year (May 2008). In late 2007, NNSA discussed the need for Sandia to revise the ETP to reflect effectiveness gaps that had been identified in the Risk Based Oversight Procedure (RBOP) assessment of CAS effectiveness of implementation and transparency. NNSA noted during the first three quarters JPC PO 10 quad charts a weakness existed in that Sandia had not updated the ETP to reflect effectiveness gaps. The Sandia PEAR accurately reflects that closing such gaps remained an open issue throughout FY 2008.
10.1.3 Establish performance measurements, setting of performance targets and comparison to best-in-class metrics. Ensure they are effectively deployed,	Outstanding	Agree	Sandia efforts with respect to this target are exemplary. The structured approach involved utilization of external expertise to augment Sandia experts. The independent external assessment, performance measure development training, institutional development of the 400 plus hierarchical measures, the selection, purchase and installation of Performance Soft software and subsequent population of the application were critical steps executed in a well managed project.

integrated with other associated goals and objectives, and continuously improved using best industry practices or other information from high-performing/best-inclass organizations. Provide FY 2008 measures and targets to SSO by November 15, 2007. Track metrics and achieve targeted performance.			
10.1.4 Ensure ILMS communication and training are effectively deployed, integrated and continuously improved using best industry practices or other information from high-performing/best-inclass organizations.	Outstanding	Agree	Sandia developed a communication and training strategy and plan and executed it in a well managed fashion. Noteworthy is the involvement of a communications specialist to spearhead and develop the work products and the supporting performance measures that were implemented to assure progress and performance.
10.1.5 Continue to improve the ILMS website incorporating input from the ILMS Users Needs Assessment to provide the SSO with effective, efficient, and timely access to Sandia assurance information.	Outstanding	Disagree Good	Sandia designed and conducted a statistically controlled usability study to further improve ILMS. ILMS has continued to mature and become a more robust management tool as result of Sandia's prioritization and implementation of improvements of user needs from the FY 2007 assessment that included NNSA. Sandia was also very responsive to requests from NNSA to improve access by designing, testing, and implementing a new architecture. As a result, ILMS access improved over the last quarter of the fiscal year due to the personal involvement of Sandia senior management. However, NNSA personnel only receive visitor privileges in most Metagroups and not all links are available and visible to NNSA/SSO staff. NNSA ILMS access has improved however, NNSA assessments of CAS have found the following repeat systemic issues with implementation of ILMS throughout Sandia:

	1. Transparency issues remain in all functional areas. 2. Processes on ILMS reflect Joint Performance Review Team Objective Matrix (OM) results. 3. Data population is improving, but items like FY 2009 Corporate Self-Assessment Schedule is not fully populated. 4. Data supporting OM results not available or easily accessed through ILMS or supporting software, LESA. 5. Unable to see and/or access all documents available to Sandia personnel and leadership. 6. Critical business activity/decisions not communicated through ILMS.
--	---

Performance Measure 10.2

Continue to improve the quality of products and the assessment of operations, programs, and business systems. Identify deficiencies and implement needed improvements in accordance with contract Clause H-5 "Accountability."

contract Clause n			
	Sandia Self- Assessment Rating	NNSA Agreement	Comments
10.2.1 Demonstrate that NNSA oversight is not being relied upon by the Laboratory in assessing its performance.	Good	Agree	The Sandia Assurance Review Board (SARB) process is maturing and demonstrating that Sandia has ownership and responsibility for the quality of its products and the assessment of operations, programs and business systems. NNSA has requested the SARB process better document the agreed upon path forward resulting from quarterly briefings to the EO/LLT. NNSA also believes the SARB needs to be more self-critical of Sandia operational performance to ensure the effectiveness of this critical management assurance process.
10.2.2 Execute the Sandia FY 2008 self assessment schedule, collect self assessment data, combine with other assurance information, perform tracking and trending, and input issues to Issues Management for resolution in accordance with the ILMS assurance processes.	Outstanding	Disagree Satisfactory	Sandia has improved processes and products over the last year, but opportunities exist for continued improvement. Noted below are areas of weakness and strength: Self Assessment Weaknesses: 1. Sandia self assessments need improvement. NNSA assessments of ILMS/CAS have found the following repeat systemic issues with implementation of ILMS/CAS throughout Sandia: a. Lack formal planning for most scheduled self-assessments, b. Observed as a weakness due to lack of rigor, depth and quality, and c. Narratives do not correlate with the adjectival ratings, i.e., grade deflation. 2. Self assessments are not tied to the Sandia Risk Matrix. A three year cycle of self assessments is not identified to ensure all risks have been schedules and

implemented.

- More self assessments were conducted. However, fewer findings have been identified and the vast majority of those few findings are categorized as minor findings or observations, even when they are significant.
- 4. The table used to identify if a finding is a significant finding does not include systemic issues or repeat findings. Therefore, systemic issues and repeat findings are being downplayed as minor findings or observations.
- 5. In LESA, decisions are made to not perform causal analysis and there is no rationale documenting these decisions.
- 6. Self assessments are performed with findings identified. These findings are rolled up to higher level findings but there is no track record of closing the original findings by closing the higher level finding.
- 7. Not all of the NNSA findings have been inserted into Corrective Action Tracking System (CATS).
 8: The line organizations are being held accountable
- and findings are being assigned to the line.

 Nevertheless, the line organizations remain too
 dependent on the NNSA to identify and address
 problems.
- 9. NNSA questions how well Sandia has trained supervisors and employees on its CAS. As Sandia transitions from its CPRs for CAS to the 13 corporate procedures, an opportunity exists to improve training so as to avoid the concurrent process of roll-out and implementation leading to inconsistent results.

 10. With the number of assessment requirements, a formal flow-down and crosswalk of the DOE and regulatory requirements and Sandia procedures should be performed to ensure that departmental procedures capture and align to the overarching

Self Assessment Strengths:

requirements.

- 1. The Security Tactical Assurance Team (STAT) is a group comprised of managers representing all Sandia Divisions and is chaired by a senior security manager appointed by the Corporate Security Officer. The STAT's objective is to drive more security assurance to line organizations and, is a good first-step in establishing a mechanism to improve line and security integration now (tactical) and in the future (strategic)
- 2. The S&S Assurance organization has a well documented, structured, and executed program as it relates to planning and scheduling of S&S self-assessments and Resolution of Findings for both external and internal S&S findings. The challenge for Sandia is to utilize this experience to transfer effective processes and greater responsibilities to the line organizations and improve their assurance

with senior Sandia executive involvement demonstrates a commitment to improve security by Sandia. This project not only responds to concerns raised by DOE's Office of Enforcement but, as structured, relies on integrated (line and security support) teams to reduce security risks in selected areas using proven project management methodologies.

Issues Management Weaknesses:

- A consistent Corporate Issues Management roll-up process has not rolled down to all Sandia divisions.
 Therefore, many qualifying issues are not being raised to the Corporate level.
- 2. Not seeing concerns raised by NNSA and external sources, i.e., GAO, IG, etc. NNSA recognizes that Sandia is implementing a new software tool to aid in identification and linkage of critical issues.
- 3. The Assessment Team found little evidence that "Corporate Issue Criteria" has been applied to address and resolve a issue. Since the purpose of the Corporate Issues Management Process is to "proactively identify, analyze, and mitigate broad, cross-cutting, or high-impact weaknesses and prevent recurrence," it appeared to the Team that some of the significant issues qualified as corporate issues based on the criteria in the CPR.

Management Assurance Weaknesses:

- 1. For the Management Assurance Corporate
 Process Requirement, meaningful performance
 measures were not developed in many areas until
 late in the year. In addition, these measures were not
 rolled up to corporate and transparent to NNSA.
 There is little to no evidence of tracking and trending
 of these performance measures.
- 2. A corporate lessons learned process has not been implemented in all organizations.
- 3. A corporate benchmarking process has not been implemented in all organizations.
- 4. Benchmarking/Comparative Analysis process should be formalized to ensure the results of the comparative analysis are properly documented and dispositioned.
- 5. High-level metrics that meet the intent of the CPR requirements are being maintained by Sandia and presented using ILMS on the ES&H dashboard. However, PEP process indicators focus on deliverables of what is being measured (assessment and surveillances completion, and timeliness of improvement actions) rather than the performance/quality of the deliverables. Moreover, the indicators may be expressed at too high of a level to benefit specific improvements for the EM program contractor assurance functions.

6. Transparency continues to be an issue in the EM policy area. The level of information available through ILMS regarding the results of the implementation of CAS is still lacking.

Management Assurance Strengths:

- 1. Some policy areas pursued robust benchmarking activity while working toward third party certification. Findings are evaluated and results documented. 2. A highly collaborative relationship and partnership between the NNSA and the Sandia Security Program Assurance Team is evident. Such an exemplary relationship provides opportunities for both NNSA and Sandia to support future Sandia initiatives to improve line management execution of their security responsibilities including security assurance activities. 3. The S&S program has a very mature analysis and trending process. Every quarter, metrics, assessments, performance tests, and other emerging trends are assessed and reported in the Quarterly Sandia S&S Data Analysis and Trending Report. Security Program leaders then meet to review these trends and identify corrective or follow-up actions. The process, if more effectively applied to the line's performance, can help the line organizations enhance assurance of their activities.
- 4. Sandia has improved transparency of ES&H operational information and assessments. Responsibilities and action completion have better documentation and is available for oversight review. Work file share systems are now available and most information is no longer restricted from NNSA oversight, LESA records have dramatically improved in the last six months.

The threshold for entry of issues into the corporate database tracking system is so high that many issues are tracked at a department level using local database tracking systems. OFITS does not screen issues for potential Price Anderson Act Amendment (PAAA) noncompliance nor is formal periodic trending performed to evaluate for repetitive and recurring issues. Although not evaluated during this performance period, it is highly suspected that this weakness is not an individual isolated case. Moreover, even if individual departments were screening issues for potential PAAA noncompliance, there is no process to roll-up the potential noncompliant issues from the various local corrective action tracking systems to perform trending and evaluation for repetitive and recurring issues.

Performance Measure 10.3

Continue to regularly benchmark with industry to identify best commercial standards and best business practices that will improve site operations with the goal of improving performance where cost effective in accordance with contact Clause H-6 "Standards Management."

cost effective in accordance with contact Clause H-b "Standards Management.				
Performance 4	Sandia Self- Assessment in Rating	(NNSA Agreement	Comments	
10.3.1 Provide SSO with the FY 2008 benchmarking schedule by November 15, 2007 and execute it	Good	Disagree Satisfactory	Sandia executed key benchmarking activities consistent with the benchmarking plan. However, the list of targeted areas cited in the Sandia PEAR are not related to identifying best commercial standards and best business practices that would improve site operations with the goal of improving performance where cost effective in accordance with contract Clause H-6. In this context, the term "standard" encompasses DOE Directives, DOE/NNSA requirements and mandates, and national and international consensus and generally accepted standards in accordance with NNSA policy.	
			NNSA provided feedback to Sandia during the first three quarters JPC meetings that the Standards Management Plan was not being executed.	
10.3.2 Develop proposals according to an institutionally established process for tailoring of standards to be used at the Laboratory using the jointly agreed upon NNSA process.	Good	Agree	Sandia provided evidence and justification that many elements of the NNSA Model Contract implemented by Sandia at the end of FY 2007 meet all the requirements of the DOE Order. These include contract Clause H-3, Contractor Assurance System, Contract Clauses H-5, Accountability, and contract Clause H-6, Standards Management.	
10.3,3 Execute project plans to adopt approved industry standards in lieu of DOE practices.	Satisfactory	Agree		

Other Considerations

Establish enduring SNL practices for assessing and achieving efficiency and effectiveness of Laboratory-wide processes that are comparable to world class performers in Sandia's peer group

Adjectival (Saling)
OUTSTANDING

Performance Measure 4.1

Continue development of and institutionalize business practices for managing key Sandia end-to-end processes for sustained high-efficiency and effectiveness.

Cita processes for			
Target	Sandia Self- Assessment Rating	Agreement	Comments ^a
4.1.1 Develop and institutionalize key Lab-wide end-to-end business and operational processes per an Enterprise Transformationapproved project plan.	Outstanding	Pass	
4.1.2 Provide SSO with a quarterly report that summarizes progress on the labwide end-to-end business and operational process plan implementation and the associated efficiencies.	Outstanding	Pass	

Performance Measure 4.2

Achieve SNL-wide business and operational efficiencies that annually offset the projected FY 2008 - FY 2012 standard labor rate cost increases that are caused by increased benefits costs.

Performance Target	Sandia Self- Assessment Rating	NNSA Agreement 1	Comments
4.2.1 Sandia will identify to SSO and implement improvement initiatives that result in demonstrated lab-wide cost efficiencies that, at minimum, offset standard labor rate increases caused by benefit costs (\$13M). By October 30, 2007, provide SSO with the FY 2008 projected cost savings and the project plan for achieving them. Provide quarterly reports to SSO summarizing progress on the plan.	Outstanding	Pass	Sandia implemented some excellent new processes that resulted in current year savings and future year cost avoidance. In addition, Sandia achieved efficiencies resulting in cost savings and both current and future cost avoidances. These efforts resulted in Sandia exceeding the performance target of \$13M. However, the actual amount is less than the \$69.6M reported in the PEAR. Sandia achieved efficiencies resulting in cost savings of \$8.2M and current and future year cost avoidance of \$24.4M. Sandia claimed \$34M in savings associated with procurement contracting activities. NNSA disagrees with that assertion. Sandia identifies 14 different types of reportable savings from contracting efforts. The type of savings and dollar amount are recorded within each Procurement Action Summary document. However we determined that not all of the savings qualifies. There were saving "types" (such as the difference between original vendor proposal and final agreement) we deemed unacceptable for this measure. There was not sufficient detailed evidence to make a determination as to the actual amount of savings from the acceptable types. Thus, Sandia's cost savings, and current and future year cost avoidance is greater than the \$32.6M NNSA validated, but appreciably less than the \$69.6M claimed in the PEAR.
4.2.2 For Lab-wide Finance, Human Resources, Procurement, and Information Technology processes, as defined and measured by the 2006 Hackett benchmark, achieve the FY 2008 cost efficiencies required to achieve targeted improvement toward Sandia's peer group by FY 2010.	Outstanding	Pass	Sandia has made significant progress and in FY 2008 started to execute improvement plans based on the 2006 Hackett Benchmarks. Their activities centered on reducing complexity within and across the five Hackett-identified functional areas (Finance, IT, HR, Procurement, and Corporate Services). Sandia demonstrated physical process progress (reduced cycle time or resources) in all areas with financial progress in four of the five. They will need to springboard FY 2008 progress to meet all FY 2010 milestones.

4.2.3 Reduce	Cand	Dana	
actual cost from FY	Good	Pass	
2007 business			
meals, regardless			
of the funding			
source, by 20			
percent to \$1.3M.			

Other Considerations None

PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE 5 - NA-10 Multi-Site

NA-10 Multi-Site.

Adjectival Rating

Summary of Performance

Sandia had leadership responsibilities for two of the Multi-Site performance targets in FY 2008: Performance Target 5.9 [Implement Elements from FY 2007 developed Multi-Site Enterprise Information Technology (IT) Plan] and Performance Target 5.10 [Implement Requirements Modernization Initiative (RMI) Phase II Implementation]. Sandia's leadership resulted in outstanding performance in fulfillment of both performance targets. In two other cases — Performance Target 5.12 [Build six New W88 Pits and Install Equipment in FY2008 to increase pit capacity to 80 pits per year by the operational date of a Chemistry and Metallurgy Research-Replacement (CMRR)-Nuclear facility], and Performance Target 5.13 (Reduce Uncertainty in Warhead Performance) — Sandia did not play a role in meeting the performance target. In each of the other ten performance targets, Sandia contributed significantly to the Complex's response to the performance target expectation, and exercised leadership in many instances, as illustrated in the below performance target summaries.

Sandia participated with other sites in the Complex to meet the challenges presented in the FY 2008 Multi-Site Performance Incentive. As reflected below, Sandia's performance in this regard was outstanding. This performance resulted in significant and noteworthy work being accomplished on the B61-7/11, the W76-1, the W76, the W78 and the W88. Sandia's efforts enabled dismantlement of the B53 as well. Sandia supported NWC infrastructure improvements including supply chain management, information technology management, and requirements update and clarification. Sandia contributions enabled NWC capability enhancements, both in high performance computing and in Pantex operations. Sandia provided support for Complex Transformation activities and accomplished disposition of certain categories of Special Nuclear Material (SNM).

Significant Accomplishments

- Sandia completed Level II Milestone #2725 for qualification tests with the alternate material, to issue qualification test reports, and to complete peer review of analysis on the impacts of the alternate material to Sandia components in support of the W76-1 LEP CSA FPU.
- Sandia supported and successfully completed the Engineering Evaluation (EE) activities at Pantex (PX) and released the required Qualification Engineering Release (QER) necessary to authorize rebuild work to meet program control document (PCD) requirements at PX.
- Sandia supported Contractor Readiness Assessment and findings resolution from the NNSA's Readiness Assessment (RA) and provided weapon response for completion of the SS-21 Bay Hazard Analysis Report (HAR) submitted by the W88 SS-21 PT.
- The Responsive Neutron Generator (NG) Center completed all FY 2008 Directive Schedule requirements to a 100 percent on-time delivery level. Sandia made the following neutron

generator shipments supporting Directive Schedules: W76--224 units in 21 shipments, W78--148 units in 19 shipments, UK--14 units in three shipments.

- Sandia and Kansas City co-led the Information Technology (IT) Multi-site team and met all
 milestones for the strategic focus areas. The governance team, led by Sandia, developed a
 governance framework with processes, standard templates, scoring methods, and
 governance-specific entities.
- For the RMI Phase II implementation, Product Definition and Configuration (PDC), Technology Maturation, and Integrated Phase Gates (IPG), all processes were delivered and are in draft form awaiting final review and approval.
- Sandia completed its final shipment of SNM discrete Security Category I and II materials to Nevada Test Site Device Assembly Facility (NTS/DAF) in February 2008. Sandia was no longer an "SNM Sec-Cat I/II possessing" site.

Opportunity for Improvement

Performance Target	Sandia Self. Assessment Rating	NNSA Agreement	Comments
5.1.1 Down-select W76 Life Extension Program (LEP) Canned Sub- Assembly (CSA) material.	Outstanding	Pass	Sandia completed all requirements in support of the September 30, 2008, FPU including the Independent Laboratory Peer Review Response and Close Out Report, the draft Major Assembly Release (MAR), and the preparations for the Design Review and Acceptance Group (DRAAG). Sandia completed Level II Milestone #2725 for qualification tests with the alternate material, to issue qualification test reports, and to complete peer review of analysis on the impacts of the alternate material to Sandia components. Support of the JT4A-2D included the mechanical test series and report and the mass properties testing and report.
5.1.2 Deliver 861- 7/11 LEP Quantities to DoD On Time per P&PD.	Outstanding	Pass	Sandia supported and successfully completed the Engineering Evaluation (EE) activities at Pantex (PX) and released the required QER necessary to authorize rebuild work to meet PCD requirements at PX. The first B61-11 rebuild was completed and delivered in May 2008. Sandia supported the PCD rebuild requirements at PX, which include incorporation of alterations (ALTs) 357/358 for the mod-7 and ALTs 357/359 for the mod-11. Sandia continues to provide ongoing engineering support as Pantex executes against ALT357 B61-7/11 LEP PCD requirements.

5.1.3 Approve	Outstanding	Pass	Sandia supported the W88 Bay Engineering/
W88 S5-21 HAR	Ĭ		Evaluation Process verification tryout and readiness
			verification through a very tight schedule. Sandia
			supported Contractor Readiness Assessment (CRA)
			and findings resolution from the NNSA's RA. Sandia
			supported the SS-21 Nuclear Explosive Safety Study
			(NESS) in-briefs and ensured demonstrations and
1			deliberations were completed on schedule. Sandia
1			provided weapon response for completion of the SS-
1			21 Bay Hazard Analysis Report (HAR) submitted by
			the W88 SS-21 PT.
5.1.4 Complete	Outstanding	Pass	Sandia coordinated internally and externally with
Complex 2030			Subject Matter Experts (SMEs) to develop and deliver
NEPA Process by			high quality input to the Supplemental Programmatic
AUG08.			Environmental Impact Statement (SPEIS) process on
			or ahead of schedule. The SMEs delivered this input
			to NNSA points of contact as formal and detailed
]			descriptions through the Sandia Complex
j			Transformation Site champion. Sandia supported the
			development of the Preferred Alternative and
			Independent Business Case analysis processes, Integrated Transformation Planning and associated
			red teams. Sandia fully supported and participated in
i	•		SPEIS public meetings in communities of interest to
			Sandia such as: Tonopah, NV; Las Vegas, NV;
			Socorro, NM; Albuquerque, NM; Tracy, CA; and
			Livermore, CA. Sandia fully participated in the
			Accelerated Complex Transformation (ACT) initiative
			led by the NWC Integration Council, collaborating
			with other NNSA sites to improve systems, business
			practices and integration across the complex.
5.1.5 Match 2007	Outstanding	Pass	Sandia provided weapon systems engineering
Dismantlements	- Culotanianig	1 400	support to Pantex to keep the dismantlement lines
			operating. Pantex dismantlements exceeded 2007
			numbers and met directive schedule commitments.
			Sandia, working as part of the multi-site B53 SS-21
			Project Team (PT), defined the B53 SS21
			dismantlement process. Ninety-five percent of the
ļ			Pantex production tooling was designed, fabricated,
			and demonstrated using B53 trainers in Sandia's
			Building 809 facility. The B53 SS-21 dismantlement
			process is on track to meet an October 2009
			authorization as defined in the approved B53 SS-21
			Project Plan maintained by Pantex. Weapon
			response technical issues at Lawrence Livermore
			National Laboratories delayed the W84 SS-21
			Baseline Schedule and Project Execution plan. The
			approved SS-21 project plan and schedule were
			released in June and are maintained by Pantex. The
			initial and conceptual walk downs of the baseline
			disassembly are complete per the SS-21 Project
			Plan. Sandia's Component Characterization for
			Disposition (CCD) team completed characterization
			of over 10,700 parts, including all Enduring Stockpile components identified in Stockpile Dismantlement
			components identified in Stockpile Dismantiement

- generator shipments supporting Directive Schedules: W76--224 units in 21 shipments, W78--148 units in 19 shipments, UK--14 units in three shipments.
- Sandia and Kansas City co-led the Information Technology (IT) Multi-site team and met all
 milestones for the strategic focus areas. The governance team, led by Sandia, developed a
 governance framework with processes, standard templates, scoring methods, and
 governance-specific entities.
- For the RMI Phase II implementation, Product Definition and Configuration (PDC),
 Technology Maturation, and Integrated Phase Gates (IPG), all processes were delivered and are in draft form awaiting final review and approval.
- Sandia completed its final shipment of SNM discrete Security Category I and II materials to Nevada Test Site Device Assembly Facility (NTS/DAF) in February 2008. Sandia was no longer an "SNM Sec-Cat I/II possessing" site.

Opportunity for Improvement

Performance 1	Sandia Self, #1 Assessment Rating	NNSA Agreement	Comments 4
5.1.1 Down-select W76 Life Extension Program (LEP) Canned Sub- Assembly (CSA) material.	Outstanding	Pass	Sandia completed all requirements in support of the September 30, 2008, FPU including the Independent Laboratory Peer Review Response and Close Out Report, the draft Major Assembly Release (MAR), and the preparations for the Design Review and Acceptance Group (DRAAG). Sandia completed Level II Milestone #2725 for qualification tests with the alternate material, to issue qualification test reports, and to complete peer review of analysis on the impacts of the alternate material to Sandia components. Support of the JT4A-2D included the mechanical test series and report and the mass properties testing and report.
5.1.2 Deliver 861- 7/11 LEP Quantities to DoD On Time per P&PD.	Outstanding	Pass	Sandia supported and successfully completed the Engineering Evaluation (EE) activities at Pantex (PX) and released the required QER necessary to authorize rebuild work to meet PCD requirements at PX. The first B61-11 rebuild was completed and delivered in May 2008. Sandia supported the PCD rebuild requirements at PX, which include incorporation of alterations (ALTs) 357/358 for the mod-7 and ALTs 357/359 for the mod-11. Sandia continues to provide ongoing engineering support as Pantex executes against ALT357 B61-7/11 LEP PCD requirements.

5.1.3 Approve W88 S5-21 HAR	Outstanding	Pass	Sandia supported the W88 Bay Engineering/ Evaluation Process verification tryout and readiness verification through a very tight schedule. Sandia supported Contractor Readiness Assessment (CRA) and findings resolution from the NNSA's RA. Sandia supported the SS-21 Nuclear Explosive Safety Study (NESS) in-briefs and ensured demonstrations and deliberations were completed on schedule. Sandia provided weapon response for completion of the SS-21 Bay Hazard Analysis Report (HAR) submitted by the W88 SS-21 PT.
5.1.4 Complete Complex 2030 NEPA Process by AUG08.	Outstanding	Pass	Sandia coordinated internally and externally with Subject Matter Experts (SMEs) to develop and deliver high quality input to the Supplemental Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (SPEIS) process on or ahead of schedule. The SMEs delivered this input to NNSA points of contact as formal and detailed descriptions through the Sandia Complex Transformation Site champion. Sandia supported the development of the Preferred Alternative and Independent Business Case analysis processes, Integrated Transformation Planning and associated red teams. Sandia fully supported and participated in SPEIS public meetings in communities of interest to Sandia such as: Tonopah, NV; Las Vegas, NV; Socorro, NM; Albuquerque, NM; Tracy, CA; and Livermore, CA. Sandia fully participated in the Accelerated Complex Transformation (ACT) initiative led by the NWC Integration Council, collaborating with other NNSA sites to improve systems, business practices and integration across the complex.
5.1.5 Match 2007 Dismantlements	Outstanding	Pass	Sandia provided weapon systems engineering support to Pantex to keep the dismantlement lines operating. Pantex dismantlements exceeded 2007 numbers and met directive schedule commitments. Sandia, working as part of the multi-site B53 SS-21 Project Team (PT), defined the B53 SS21 dismantlement process. Ninety-five percent of the Pantex production tooling was designed, fabricated, and demonstrated using B53 trainers in Sandia's Building 809 facility. The B53 SS-21 dismantlement process is on track to meet an October 2009 authorization as defined in the approved B53 SS-21 Project Plan maintained by Pantex. Weapon response technical issues at Lawrence Livermore National Laboratories delayed the W84 SS-21 Baseline Schedule and Project Execution plan. The approved SS-21 project plan and schedule were released in June and are maintained by Pantex. The initial and conceptual walk downs of the baseline disassembly are complete per the SS-21 Project Plan. Sandia's Component Characterization for Disposition (CCD) team completed characterization of over 10,700 parts, including all Enduring Stockpile components identified in Stockpile Dismantlement

	Г		0.1-1
			2. Interoperability & Information Sharing,
			3. Strategic Acquisitions, and
			4. Improved Cyber Security Practices &
			Infrastructure.
			All milestones for the strategic focus areas were met.
			The governance team, led by Sandia, developed a
			governance framework with processes, standard
			templates, scoring methods, and governance-specific
			entities. The Information Sharing team established a
			process using a collaborative wiki (a website that
			allows users to add and update content on the site
			using their own web browser) to facilitate sharing of
			IT and Cyber Security knowledge across the NWC.
			Sandia was a key contributor to this team. The
			purpose of the Strategic Acquisitions strategy is to
			identify opportunities to leverage complex-wide
			procurements for IT products and services, identify
			standardization opportunities, reduce total complex-
			wide cost of ownership, and consolidate site IT
			spending with partnering suppliers. The initiative
			included leveraging the Enterprise Wide Agreement
			team activity to address complex-wide licensing
			needs. The Cyber Security strategic area addressed
			the issue of Need to Know (NTK) across the complex.
			The project brought together NNSA and M&O leaders
			to develop consistent business practices for making
			NTK decisions about access to weapons program
			data in a way that understands risks and maintains
			security. The PRIDE Executive team sponsored a
			widely attended NTK business practices workshop for
			the complex. With input from the workshop, the team
			developed a NTK to-be action plan (WFS762550)
			and milestones for FY 2009. The multi-site team also
			developed a mobile devices protection policy, which
			was approved and implemented by all eight sites.
5.1.10 Implement	Outstanding	Pass	For PDC, Technology Maturation, and IPG, all
Requirements			processes were delivered and are in draft form
Modernization			awaiting final review and approval. The Sandia
Initiative (RMI)			support for tools (e.g., Sharepoint, PRP Online,
Phase II			Explorer, and RMI website) was outstanding. The IT
implementation.			personnel went above and beyond in supporting
			mission needs, facilitating the success that the
			complex achieved. The core team released Gates A,
			B, C, D, E, and F task lists into the RMI Explorer PPI
			Site under Document Explorer, Core Mission,
			Weapons Acquisition Life Cycle, and released the
			Technology Readiness Level (TRL) and
			Manufacturing Readiness Level (MRL) Assessment
			Criteria onto the RMI PPI site under Document
			Explorer, Technology Assessment. These releases
E 1 11	0.4-4. "		successfully completed Level II milestone 2778.
5.1.11 Implement	Outstanding	Pass	The ASC TriLab TriPoD operating environment
Advanced			software was successfully installed, tested, and
Simulation and			verified by all three laboratories on the common Tri-
Computing (ASC)			Lab Linux Capacity Cluster (TLCC) hardware
Tri-Lab Productivity			delivered to each site in FY 2008. Sandia team
		4.40	

on Demand (TriPoD) Initiative by 30SEP08			members accomplished the testing and integration of the TnPoD software environment while simultaneously managing a major repair effort required due to a manufacturing defect in the TLCC equipment which was not discovered until the system was delivered, installed and undergoing test at Sandia. The Sandia team discovered and identified the error condition and worked with LLNL and LANL to verify the presence of the problem on all TLCC hardware.
5.1.12 Build six New W88 Pits & Install Equipment in FY 2008 to increase pit capacity to 80 pits per year by the operational date of a CMRR-Nuclear facility.	N/A	N/A	Sandia was not a participating site in this target.
5.1.13 Reduce Uncertainty in Warhead Performance.	N/A	N/A	Sandia was not a participating site in this target.
5.1.14 Remove 11 metric tons of SNM From NNSA Sites by 30SEP08.	Outstanding	Pass	Sandia completed its final shipment of SNM discrete Security Category I and II materials to Nevada Test Site Device Assembly Facility (NTS/DAF) in February 2008. Sandia was no longer an "SNM Sec-Cat I/II possessing" site. While the site-wide SNM inventory will continue to roll up to Security Category II, it will be protected by Security Category III measures. The SNM de-inventory project is now closed except for a low level ongoing effort to maintain and support Sandia's storage presence at the Nevada Test Site Device Assembly Facility.

AWARD TERM INCENTIVE 1 - PARENT CONTRIBUTIONS AND SANDIA REACHBACK.

The Parent Organization provides measurable contribution to improve performance and Site management and Sandia effectively reaches back to the Parent Organization for support.

Summary of Performance

Sandia met NNSA's Award Term-1 Parent Contributions and Sandia Reachback performance objective expectations for the parent to provide measurable contribution to improve performance and site management and Sandia effectively reached back to the Parent Organization for support.

Significant Accomplishments

Sandia demonstrated significant accomplishment in reachback to the parent. Notable areas included the Board of Directors, Executive Recruiting, Independent Assessments and Audits, Management Review, Strategic Management Unit Support and Technical Support. LMC demonstrated significant accomplishment in contribution to Sandia improved performance. Notable areas included the Board of Directors, numerous Policy Areas that include Environment, Safety and Health (ES&H), Facilities, Finance, Human Resources, Laboratory Management, Safeguards & Security, Supply Chain Management, and Technology Maturation.

Opportunity for Improvement

None

	Performance Measure 1.1				
Performance Target	Sandia Self- 😽	NNSA	ntractor performance and site management. •Comments		
1.1.1 Sandia shall provide quarterly reports that describe the measurable contributions of the corporate parent to improving site management and performance.	Outstanding	Pass	Sandia's efforts that focused on formalizing and institutionalizing the Parent Contributions and Sandia Reachback process by involving Lockheed Martin Corporation expertise in governance processes, proactive communication with NNSA, support of Sandia executive management, and inclusion of the Governance Committee are considered exemplary.		

1.1.2 Sandia shall provide quarterly reports as to how it is using its parent corporation's private-sector expertise to improve contractor performance.	Outstanding	Pass	Sandia's efforts that focused on formalizing and institutionalizing the Parent Contributions and Sandia Reachback process by involving Lockheed Martin Corporation expertise in governance processes, proactive communication with NNSA, support of Sandia executive management, and inclusion of the Governance Committee are considered exemplary.
---	-------------	------	--

Sandia effectively and efficiently manages its litigation. Adjustively atting

Performance Measure 2.1

Sandia manages its litigation in accordance with Clause I-91, Insurance-Litigation and Claims, DEAR 970.5228-1, (MARCH 2002); 10 C.F.R. Part 719; and the SSO-approved Sandia Corporation Legal Management Plan, as modified from time to time, in order to ensure that the

conduct of litigation serves broader NNSA and Sandia interests.

Performance Target	Sandia Self Assessment Rating	NNSA Agreement	Comments.
2.1.1 Sandia proactively identifies and implements "lessons learned" from litigation outcomes.	Outstanding	Pass	The Sandia Legal Division developed and implemented a litigation lessons-learned process to ensure the rigorous review of all litigation cases at their conclusion. The purpose of the process is not only to evaluate the Legal Division's management of the litigation itself, but, moreover, (1) to identify underlying causes, e.g., Sandia policies, management practices, external factors, and the like, that may have contributed to the litigation, (2) facilitate the development of effective legal risk mitigation measures, and (3) establish a formal mechanism for effective communication of such measures and legal recommendations to Sandia management. The Legal Division appropriately collaborated with NNSA/SSO Site Counsel in development of the process and solicited feedback re: mitigation measures and recommendations. First year experience with the process suggests it has added considerable value by (1) heightening management awareness of the significant legal risk inherent in certain Sandia policies and practices, (2) underscoring the importance of communication between Sandia management and the Legal Division, (3) educating Sandia management on the current litigation environment in New Mexico, and (4) illustrating the need for management decision making informed by legal advice and counsel. NNSA/SSO expects the process will produce long-term benefits by reducing the number of litigation cases filed against Sandia and reducing legal costs.
2.1.2 Sandia demonstrates effective strategies for prevention and mitigation of litigation risk.	Outstanding	Pass	The Sandia Legal Division made significant contributions to Sandia's leadership and managerial training program by providing briefings and training to address specific concerns identified by the litigation lessons learned process. The Sandia Legal Division collaborated on an internal review of the Entrepreneurial Separation to Transfer Technology (ESTT) program that led to a revised corporate policy requiring more robust conflict of interest mitigation.
2.1.3 Sandia shall benchmark its litigation management processes against industry standards	Outstanding	Pass	The Sandia General Counsel and the NNSA/SSO Site Counsel negotiated and signed a Legal Management Plan that (1) meets or exceeds the minimum requirements of 10 C.F.R. Part 719, (2) addresses areas of special interest to NNSA, (2)

THE STATE OF THE S
Performance Sanita Self. : INISA Comments :
The state of the s
Assessment Annual Annual Company
Ratio
The state of the s
The state of the s

to demonstrate	emphasizes acknowledgement of Sandia's duty to
effective and	support and further the best interests of the
efficient	government, inherent in Sandia National
stewardship of	Laboratories' status as a Federally Funded Research
litigation activities	and Development Center (FFRDC), and (3) sets forth
and funds.	legal management best practices that, if achieved,
	will set Sandia apart from its peers in the NNSA
	Complex. Sandia's benchmarking activities suggest
	that the Sandia Legal Division is smaller and
	operates at a lower cost than comparable corporate
	entities, but further analysis appears to be warranted.
	Future benchmarking should focus on comparing
	Sandia's litigation management processes, including
	identification of litigation risk and prevention, with
	comparable business entities, and not be limited to a
	companson of size of the legal organization and total
	(in-house and outside counsel) legal costs.

AWARD TERM INCENTIVE 3 – Systems Integration Technical Support

Provide systems integration technical support to Federal Program Managers within Defense Programs for: (1) planning, organization and management of weapon and stockpile activities to include Life Extension Programs, stockpile analyses, new programs and on-going stockpile surveillance; (2) the maintenance and improvement of federally-directed requirements processes; and (3) other tasks as requested by the program managers to include independent research and analysis, tradeoff studies, cost analyses, and systems analyses. As requested by Federal program managers and agreed upon with the Principal Assistant Deputy Administer for Operations at NNSA, provide planning, research, analyses and studies, as well as integrated schedule management, products and other systems engineering and integration activities as required.

Adjectival Rating

Summary of Performance

Overall, Systems Integration Technical Support (SITS) provided outstanding support to the Defense Programs Federal Program Managers.

Significant Accomplishments

- SITS did an outstanding job in facilitating discussions to determine technical drivers for weapon refurbishments, and the appropriate programmatic response.
- SITS outstanding support to the Complex Transformation Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement was a significant contribution to the Complex Transformation initiative.

Opportunity for Improvement

None

Performance Measure 3.1

Demonstrate that Systems Integration Technical Support (SITS) is improving the integration of the complex through meaningful initiatives and excellent deliverables.

Performance Target	Sandla)Self- Assessment Rating:	NNSA Agreement	Comments
3.1.1 Establish and collect metrics to	Outstanding	Pass	
represent progress integrating the			
Nuclear Weapons Complex.			

Performance Measure 3.2

Assist Federal Staff in Decision Making.				
Performance Target	ALTERNATION OF THE PARTY OF THE		Comments	
3.2.1 Complete FY 2008 planned activities (including planned requirements and functional analysis) in support of Complex Transformation activities. 3.2.2 Complete NA-11 Science &	Outstanding	Pass Pass	SITS provided excellent support to the Complex Transformation Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement preparation and completion of business cases to support development of preferred alternatives. They made a significant difference in advancing the objectives of Complex Transformation.	
Technology Roadmapping.				
3.2.3 Complete analyses deemed useful by NA-10, i.e., improve the "impact" of work performed by SITS.	Outstanding	Pass	SITS support to the stockpile transformation planning effort was outstanding, as well as their support to the Nuclear Transformation Working Group (NTWG) and Transformation Coordinating Committee (TCC). They were very timely with their inputs, consistently being proactive in their support, and always willing to support last minute tasks.	

Performance Measure 3.3

Assist Federal staff in Program Integration.

Target	Sandia Self- Assessment Rating	NNSA Agreement	Comments **
3.3.1 Assist Federal staff in development of integrated program plans and implementation	Outstanding	Pass	SITS provided outstanding support in this area. They were very helpful in facilitating discussions to determine technical drivers for weapon refurbishments, and the appropriate programmatic response. Additionally, they were very helpful in

plans for	Green Book and Strategic Framework development.
Campaigns, DSW,	
and RTBF.	

Performance Measure 3.4 Assist Federal staff in project integration.				
Performance Target	Sandja Self≓ Assessment Rating	NNSA Agreement	Comments.	
3.4.1 Successfully lead and complete planned activities for the integration effort associated with "Transformation of the Nuclear Weapons Complex" (also referred to as the blue-bar/greenbar activity) per the NA-10 approved Management Plan. Activities include: establishing the current view of transformation; integrating the Preferred Alternative into existing NA-10 program planning; developing an integrated Resource-Loaded Logic and Schedule; and documenting the performance baseline for transformation.	Outstanding	Pass	Sandia support to transformation activities has been outstanding.	

Performance N	Performance Measure 3.5				
Assist NNSA in Ci	reating a Systems	s-Engineering ba	ised approach. Comments		
Parformance	Assessment Rating	NNSA Agreement			
3.5.1 Share Quality Management transformation activities and products from	Outstanding	Pass	The RMI Program Office managed through Sandia within organization 00522 did an outstanding job providing the project management support to the project, the content writers to the sub team leads, the development and release of the RMI Portal Explorer		

within Sandia to Requirements Modernization & Implementation			and logistics and meeting support.
(RMI) and the rest of the complex.			
3.5.2 Implement program and systems engineering rigor in establishing management systems and operations protocol for SITS, e.g., lead by example.	Outstanding	Pass	

Participate and ensure support for implementation of the Complex Transformation measures at SNL. In addition, facilitate communication and contractor support for overall Complex Transformation integrating activities to develop efficient business practices and systems integration processes across the Complex.

Adjectival Rating

Parlonnence Semila Self NNSA Connectuse Parget Assessment Concernent = Security	
Kalino	5

A.1.2 Develop and implement efficient business practices and systems integration processes across the Complex. 4.1.3 Sandia shall fully participate in and provide input to a collection of planned efforts to achieve improved operations of the NWC. Activities will include but not be limited to development of: (3) a complex-wide master integrated schedule process; (4) a complex-wide master integrated schedule process; (5) a responsive infrastructure assessment methodology and management plan; (5) a responsive infrastructure assessment process; and (6) a common information system for planning, budgeting, cost collection, and performance measurement.				
fully participate in and provide input to a collection of planned efforts to achieve improved operations of the NWC. Activities will include but not be limited to development of: (1) a National Work Breakdown Structure; (2) a common approach to cost estimation; (3) a complex-wide master integrated schedule process; (4) a complex-wide risk assessment methodology and management plan; (5) a responsive infrastructure assessment process; and (6) a common information system for planning, budgeting, cost collection, and performance	business practices and systems integration processes across the Complex.	Outstanding	Pass	RMI project, including excellent work in leading several sub-teams.
I INCOCCIONAL I	4.1.3 Sandia shall fully participate in and provide input to a collection of planned efforts to achieve improved operations of the NWC. Activities will include but not be limited to development of: (1) a National Work Breakdown Structure; (2) a common approach to cost estimation; (3) a complex-wide master integrated schedule process; (4) a complex-wide risk assessment methodology and management plan; (5) a responsive infrastructure assessment process; and (6) a common information system for planning, budgeting, cost collection, and performance	Outstanding	Pass	Work Breakdown Structure (NWBS) activities and

ACRONYM LIST

ACREM	Accountable Classified Removable Electronic	CIP	Compensation Increase Plan
ACRRF	Media Annular Core Research	CIS	Computer and Information Sciences
	Reactor Facility	CMC	Cooperative Monitoring
AF&F ALTs	Arming Fuzing and Firing Alterations	CME	Center Component and Material
AOP	Annual Operating Plan	OIVIL	Evaluation
AP	Additional Protocol	CMRR	Chemistry and Metallurgy
ASC	Advanced Scientific		Research-Replacement
,,,,,	Computing	CMS	Code Management System
ASIC	Application Specific	CPI	Cost Performance Index
	Integrated Circuits	CPR	Corporate Process
ATI	Award Term Incentive		Requirement
CA	California	CRADA	Cooperative Research and
CAC	Corrective Action Complete		Development Agreement
CAP	Corrective Action Plan	CSA	Canned Sub-Assembly
CAS	Contractor Assurance	DART	Days Away and Restricted
	System	D.D.T.	Duty Case Rate
CASA	Common Adaptable	DBT	Design Basis Threat Department of Homeland
	System Architecture	DHS	Security
CAT	Consequence Assessment	DM	Deferred Maintenance
0.4.T.0	Team	DNDO	Domestic Nuclear Defense
CATS	Corrective Action Tracking System	DNDO	Organization
CBP	Customs and Border	DNN	Defense Nuclear
CBP	Protection	Diviv	Nonproliferation
CD	Critical Decision	DNFSB	Defense Nuclear Facilities
CDM	Concurrent Design and		Safety Board
ODIVI	Manufacturing	DoD	Department of Defense
CDM	Customer Delivery Metrics	DOE	Department of Energy
CDNS	Chief of Defense of	DOI	Direct Optical Initiation
05110	Nuclear Safety	DP	Defense Program
CERT	Citizens Emergency	DSP	Doctoral Studies Program
	Response Team	DSW	Directed Stockpile Work
CFO	Chief Financial Officer	EE	Engineering Evaluation
CFPP	Corporate Fire Protection	EMP	Emergency Management
	Program	EMO	Program Environmental
CHR	Contractor Human	EMS	Management System
	Resources	EPI	Emergency Public
CI	Counterintelligence	CFI	Information
CIN	Center for Integrated	EOC	Emergency Operations
	Nanotechnologies	200	Center

EPHA	Emergency Preparedness Hazard Assessment	ICPT	Integrated Contractor Purchasing Team
ERO	Emergency Response Organization	IFSB	Industrial Facility Safety Basis
ERP	External Review Panel	ILMS	Integrated Laboratory
ES&H	Environment, Safety, and	ILMIO	Management System
LOGIT	Health	IMQIP	Issues Management and
ESCO	Energy Service Company	man	Quality Improvement
ESN	Enterprise Secure Network		Process
ESTT	Entrepreneurial Separation	IPG	Integrated Phase Gates
LOTT	to Transfer Technology	ISMS	Integrated Safety
ETP	Enterprise Transformation	101110	Management System
	Plan	ISO	International Organization
FBI	Federal Bureau of	100	for Standardization
1 151	Investigations	ΙΤ	Information Technology
FCI	Facility Condition Index	JIC	Joint Information Center
FE	Office of Fossil Energy	JNSS	Joint Nuclear Surety Study
FEU	Fresh Enriched Uranium	JPC	Joint Performance Council
1 20	Oxide	JPRT	Joint Performance Review
FFRDC	Federally Funded	01 111	Team
	Research and	JTA	Joint Test Assembly
	Development Center	KAFB	Kirtland Air Force Base
FIRP	Facilities Infrastructure	KB	Knowledge Base
	Replacement Program	KCP	Kansas City Plant
FPU	First Production Unit	LANL	Los Alamos National
FSU	Former Soviet Union	D 114E	Laboratory
FY	Fiscal Year	LEP	Life Extension Program
GBD	Global Burst Detector	LESA	Laboratory Enterprise Self
GIPP	Global Initiatives for	220/ (Assessment
· · ·	Proliferation Prevention	LLCE	Limited Life Component
GNEP	Global Nuclear Energy	2202	Extensions
0714	Partnership	LLNL	Lawrence Livermore
GPP	General Plant Project		National Laboratory
GSF	Gross Square Footage	LNG	Liquid Natural Gas
GTS	Gas Transfer System	LNO	Logistics Nuclear
HAR	Hazard Analysis Report	2.110	Operation
HC	Hazard Category	LTES	Long-Term Environmental
HERMES	High Energy Radiation	2120	Stewardship
,0	Megavolt Electron Source	LTS	Long-Term Stewardship
HEU	Highly Enriched Uranium	MAEC	Mangyshlak Atomic Energy
HQ	Headquarters	WIN CES	Complex
HR	Human Resources	MC	Mission Critical
HSM	Heating System	MD	Mission Dependent
110111	Modernization	MESA	Microsystems and
IC	Incident Commander	2071	Engineering Sciences
ICF	Inertial Confinement		Applications
	Fusion	MNF	Manzano Nuclear Facility
		156	

MS&TSC	Manufacturing Science & Technologies Service	PAAA	Price Anderson Act Amendment
	Center	PCD	Program Control Document
NAPS	NNSA Policy Letters	PDC	Product Definition and
NDA	Nondestructive Assay		Configuration
NEPA	National Environmental	PDM	Product Data Management
142171	Policy Act	PEAR	Performance Evaluation
NFPA	National Fire Protection	LAN	and Assurance Evaluation
MITA		PEP	and Assurance Report
NG	Association	FEF	Performance Evaluation Plan
NHI	Neutron Generator	PER	
	Nuclear Hydrogen Initiative	PER	Performance Evaluation
NMSF	Nuclear Materials Storage	DEDT	Report
NINIOA	Facility	PERT	Procurement Evaluation
NNSA	National Nuclear Security	5.	and Re-engineering Team
	Administration	P!	Performance Incentive
NOTE	Non-Occurrence Trackable	PM	Program Manager
	Event	PO	Performance Objective
NOV	Notice of Violation	PREP	Preliminary Real Estate
NRC	Nuclear Regulatory		Plan
	Commission	PRT	Product Realization Team
NTK	Need to Know	PX	Pantex
NWBS	National Work Breakdown	QASPR	Qualification Alternatives
	Structure		for the Sandia Pulsed
NWC	Nuclear Weapons Complex		Reactor
OCL	Obligation Control Level	QE	Qualification Evaluation
OCRWM	Office of Civilian		Qualification Engineering
	Radioactive Waste		Release
	Management	QMU	Quantity, Margins, and
OEA	Occupational Exposure		Uncertainties
	Assessment	QRB	Quality Review Board
OFFM	Office of Field Financial	RA	Readiness Assessment
	Management	R&D	Research & Development
OFI	Opportunities for	RBOP	Risk Based Oversight
	Improvement		Procedure
OFITS	Opportunities for	RDD	Radiological Dispersal
	Improvement Tracking		Device
	System	RMI	Requirements
010	Office of Independent		Modernization Initiative
010	Oversight	RPPs	Realize
OM	Objectives Matrix	111 1 0	Product Procedures
OST	Office of Secure	RRW	Reliable Replacement
001	Transportation	17174	Warhead
P&PD	Production & Planning	RTBF	Readiness in Technical
FORED	Directive	IVIDI	Base and Facilities
PA	Performance Assessment	S&S	Safeguards and Security
	Protective Actions		Science and Technology
PA	1 Totactive Actions	S&T	Joience and Toomising)

SAGSAT	Strategic Advisory Group Stockpile Assessment	TRCR	Total Recordable Case Rate
	Team	TRU	Transuranic
SAR	Synthetic Aperture Radar	TSPA	Total System Performance
SARB	Sandia Assurance Review		Assessment
	Board	TSR	Technical Safety
SB	Safety Basis		Requirements
SCMC	Supply Chain Management	TTR	Tonopah Test Range
	Center	TYSP	Ten Year Site Plan
SFI	Significant Finding	UMC	Unneeded Materials and
	Investigation		Chemicals
SITS	Safety Incident Tracking	USI	Unreviewed Safety Issue
	System	USQ	Unreviewed Safety
SITS	System Integration		Question
	Technical Support	VNIITF	All Russia Scientific
SLD	Second Line of Defense		Research Institute of
SNL	Sandia National		Technical Physics
	Laboratories	WETL	Weapons Evaluation Test
SNL/LL	Sandia Corporation Lead		Laboratory
0.1-	Laboratory	WFO	Work For Others
SME	Subject Matter Expert	WIF	Weapons Integration
SNM	Special Nuclear Material		Facility
SPEIS	Supplemental	WIPP	Waste Isolation Pilot Plant
	Programmatic	WPC	Work Planning and Control
	Environmental Impact	WQAP	Weapons Quality
ODI	Statement		Assurance Plan
SPI	Schedule Performance	WSSX	Warhead Safety and
000	Index		Security Exchange
SPR	Strategic Petroleum	YMP	Yucca Mountain Project
00110	Reserve		
SQMS	Supplier Quality		
CDM	Management System		
SRM	Spin Rocket Motor		
SSO	Sandia Site Office		
SSRP	Security Systems		
CTC	Replacement Project		
STS	Stockpile-to-Target		
STAT	Sequence		
SIAI	Security Tactical		
TCD	Assurance Team		
TCR	Test Capabilities		
TEMPo	Revitalization		
TEMPs	Testing and Evaluation		
TLCC	Master Plans		
ILCC	Tri-Lab Linux Capacity		
TP	Cluster		
i F	Technology Partnerships		